Selection of Multiple-Antibiotic-Resistant (Mar) Mutants of *Escherichia coli* by Using the Disinfectant Pine Oil: Roles of the *mar* and *acrAB* Loci
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Pine oil is a disinfectant used in products designed for household use. The possibility that such products might select for antibiotic resistance was investigated. In initial studies of a formulation whose active ingredient was pine oil (Pine-Sol; Chlorox Co., Oakland, Calif.), mutant colonies of *Escherichia coli* sometimes grew within the zone of inhibition surrounding a 6-mm absorbent paper disc impregnated with the product and placed upon a bacterial lawn. These and other pine oil-resistant mutants were tested for resistance to multiple antibiotics, and the genetic basis for the observed phenotype was examined.

**Selection of mutants resistant to pine oil formulation or pine oil.** Mutants resistant to pine oil formulation or pine oil were obtained from stationary-phase *Escherichia coli* WEC (wild-type strain 15-5068 from Carolina Biological Supply Co., Burlington, N.C.) and AG100 (9) at 30°C on nutrient agar (NP3.5GP) or *Escherichia coli* agar with 2 to 3 days of incubation in a variety of ways: by the 6-mm-disc method or by plating cells on plates or gradient plates (8) containing pine oil formulation (obtained in supermarkets; contains pine oil [the active ingredient], isopropanol, and surfactants) or pine oil itself (obtained from the White Cap Co., Lister, Pa.). All mutants were single-step isolates and occurred at a frequency of about 10−8.

**Resistance to antibiotics of mutants selected on pine oil formulation or pine oil.** Antibiotic susceptibility was measured at 30°C by using antibiotic susceptibility discs (Carolina Biological), gradient plates with the drug in the top agar (8), or agar dilution plates (concentration steps of 1.5-fold; inoculum of 10^5 cells/μl spot). Mutant NP3.5GP, selected on pine oil formulation from strain WEC, was more resistant than the parent strain to tetracycline, ampicillin, and chloramphenicol (Table 1). Nalidixic acid was included in further tests of 11 independent mutants from strain AG100. While there was a variety of resistance phenotypes, all pine oil formulation-pine oil-selected mutants were also multidrug resistant. For genetic studies, we chose three mutants of AG100 which were resistant to all four antibiotics (Table 1): AP1 and AP5 (selected on pine oil) and APS3 (selected on pine oil formulation).

**Role of *mar*, *soxRS*, and *robA* loci.** Mutations in the repressor gene *marR* or in its operator gene *marO* in the *E. coli marRAB* operon (2, 17, 28) lead to enhanced *mar* expression and multiple antibiotic resistance. In host strain HH180, with the entire *mar* region deleted, plasmids pHMM188, pHMM191, and pHMM193 each contain a cloned 9-kb fragment including the entire *mar* locus. In pHMM188, the *mar* locus was wild type, while in the latter two plasmids, *marR* was mutated, resulting in a Mar phenotype (6). These Mar mutants, as well as AG102 (bearing a chromosomal *marR* mutation [6]), were resistant to pine oil formulation (Table 1) and to 100% pine oil (assayed by discs on MacConkey agar [data not shown]) compared to the respective wild-type strains. The *marCORAB* locus was deleted in the pine oil formulation-pine oil mutants and in AG102 by P1 transduction (26) with AG100/Kan (17) as the donor strain and selection on kanamycin. The deletion caused a 60 to 70% reduction in the resistance of all mutants to pine oil formulation (Table 2), down to approximately a wild-type level. The same was true for mutant NP3.5GP (data not shown).

Northern blot analysis for expression of *marA* mRNA in the absence and presence of the inducer salicylate (7) revealed that, like Mar mutant AG102, mutants AP5 and NP3.5GP showed an overexpression of *marA* that was enhanced by salicylate (Fig. 1). Overexpression was also seen in mutant APS3 (data not shown). The wild-type AG100 and the pine oil mutant AP1 showed no detectable signal (Fig. 1). We concluded that AP5, NP3.5GP, and APS3, but not AP1, were probably Mar mutants.

Overexpression of *soxS* and *robA*, two other regulatory genes with homology to *marA*, can also lead to multiple antibiotic resistance (2, 3, 11, 18, 19). We inactivated the *soxRS* and *robA* loci in the pine oil formulation-pine oil and Mar mutants via P1 transduction, with donor strains DP901, bearing a deletion in *soxRS* very closely linked to zjc2204::Tn10Km (3, 12), and RA4468, which has a Kan^r insertion in *robA* (3). The inactivations of *sox* or *rob* caused decreased resistance to pine oil formulation only in the mutant AP1 (Table 2). However, mutant AP1 did not overexpress *soxRS* (data not shown; the probe
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TABLE 1. Susceptibility of pine oil formulation-pine oil and Mar mutants to pine oil formulation and to antibiotics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain (reference)</th>
<th>Characteristic(s)</th>
<th>By discs (diam of clearing [mm])</th>
<th>By gradient plates (MIC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEC derivatives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEC</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP3.5GP</td>
<td>Mutant of WEC selected on PS gradient (0–1.5%)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG100 derivatives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG100</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP1</td>
<td>Mutant of AG100 selected by pine oil on disc</td>
<td>&gt;3.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP5</td>
<td>Mutant of AG100 selected for AP1</td>
<td>&gt;2.9</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS3</td>
<td>Mutant of AG100 selected on PS gradient (0–1.5%)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG102 (9)</td>
<td>Mar mutant of AG100, selected on TC (two steps)</td>
<td>&gt;4.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH180 (6)</td>
<td>Deletion of 39 kb including mar locus; has zdd-239::Tn9 (Cmr); in host strain MM294</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH188 (6)</td>
<td>HH180 containing pHHM183 (mar+)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH191 (6)</td>
<td>HH180 containing pHHM191 (marR2)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH193 (6)</td>
<td>HH180 containing pHHM193 (marR5)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Abbreviations: PS, pine oil formulation; AP, ampicillin; CM, chloramphenicol; NAL, nalidixic acid; TC, tetracycline.

** Gradient plate values were the averages of two to four experiments, except in the case of chloramphenicol, which involved a single determination.

* Host strain is Cm+ due to Tn9, so values were not determined (ND).

* Reference.

was a 432-bp EcoRI-HindIII fragment from pSXS [1], and the constitutive overexpressing strain JTG1078 [11] was a positive control.

Role of the acrAB locus. The acrAB locus, positively regulated by MarA (16) and SoxS and RobA (15), specifies a proton-motive-force-dependent multidrug efflux pump for a wide variety of mostly lipophilic substances (16, 20, 21, 24). Mar mutants and wild-type strains with this locus deleted become equally hypersusceptible to antibiotics (22), suggesting that the acrAB pump confers an intrinsic resistance level which is then enhanced in Mar mutants. We mutated the acrAB locus in wild-type and mutant strains by P1 transduction, with strain JZM120 (bearing a Kan cassette replacing most of acrA and half of acrB [16, 22]) as the donor. Deletion of the acrAB locus produced a dramatic increase in the susceptibility to pine oil formulation in all strains (Table 2). Since AP1 was affected by inactivation at mar, sox, rob, or acr, yet did not overexpress sox or mar, this mutant may have a mutation in rob; alternatively, it may have a mutation in acrR (leading to overexpression of acrAB [22]) that requires that the wild-type mar, sox, and rob loci be intact for the full resistance phenotype.

Extracted from pine tree wood, pine oil is a clear fragrant liquid relatively insoluble in water; its major ingredients are alpha-terpineol (65%), methyl chavicol (10%), fenchyl alcohol (8%), and mentholans (4%) (5). All but chavicol are uncharged nonaromatic cyclic hydrocarbons. Our re-

FIG. 1. Northern blot analysis of marRAB mRNA. Strains, grown at 30°C in the absence (−) or presence (+) of 5 mM sodium salicylate, were lysed in 3.4% sodium dodecyl sulfate–50 mM Tris–HCl–50 mM Na EDTA, pH 8. RNA was prepared by a CsCl method as described elsewhere (4), except that no guanidine was used and an acid phenol-chloroform step was added prior to the alcohol precipitation. After gel electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose and blotting (10) onto a Nytran Plus membrane (Schleicher and Schuell), the blot was incubated with an [α-32P]dCTP-labeled 387-bp PCR marR probe. The hybridization signal was visualized by a PhosphorImager and processed by a linear contrast setting with ImageQuant (both from Molecular Dynamics). AP1, AP5, and NP3.5GP are pine oil formulation-pine oil mutants. AG100 is wild type. AG102 is a Mar mutant. The arrow indicates the marRAB transcript. A white asterisk marks an artifactual spot covering both AG102 lanes.

TABLE 2. Effect of inactivation of mar, sox, rob, or acr locus upon susceptibility to pine oil formulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>mar&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>sox&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>rob&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>acr&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AG100</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG102</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Relative MIC is the MIC for the inactivated strain divided by the MIC for the strain before inactivation. Values in boldface indicate notable increases in susceptibility. Values obtained from both gradient plate and agar dilution experiments were averaged.

<sup>b</sup> Inactivated locus.
results suggest that the AcrAB pump can export one or more of these pine oil constituents.

Pine oil formulation-pine oil and Mar mutants showed no resistance to household disinfectants containing hydrogen peroxide, hypochlorite, alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride (a quaternary amine), or chloroxylenol (a phenol) as their active ingredients (data not shown). However, deletion of acrAB (but not of mar) caused a more than 10-fold increase in the susceptibility of strains to the products containing the quaternary amine or chloroxylenol (data not shown), suggesting that AcrAB was also involved in efflux of those two disinfectants.

Concluding remarks. Plasmid-mediated resistance to antibiotic and disinfectant compounds is common among strains of Staphylococcus aureus septic and disinfectant compounds is common among strains of that AcrAB was also involved in efflux of those two disinfectants such as QuaC (23, 27) or Smr-QuaC (13) which cause efflux of a variety of lipophilic cations. Antibiotic resistance to household disinfectants containing hydrogen peroxide, hypochlorite, alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride (a quaternary amine), or chloroxylenol (a phenol) as their active ingredients (data not shown), however, deletion of acrAB caused a more than 10-fold increase in the susceptibility of strains to the products containing the quaternary amine or chloroxylenol (data not shown), suggesting that AcrAB was also involved in efflux of those two disinfectants.

To our knowledge, the selection of chromosomal antibiotic resistance, albeit low level, by a disinfectant has not previously been reported for gram-negative bacteria. Whether pine oil in products meant for household use could lead to a significant problem of antibiotic resistance is not known. However, given the cathodic capabilities of pumps such as AcrAB, it seems possible that additional disinfectants might be capable of selecting for resistance to antibiotics and vice versa.
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