In Vivo Activity of Amphotericin B Lipid Complex in Immunocompromised Mice against Fluconazole-Resistant or Fluconazole-Susceptible Candida tropicalis
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We compared four doses of amphotericin B lipid complex (ABLC) with three doses of fluconazole in temporarily neutropenic mice in a murine model of disseminated candidiasis due to four different isolates of Candida tropicalis. The mice were infected with a 90% lethal dose of four strains of C. tropicalis for which the fluconazole MICs ranged from 1 to >125 mg/liter 3 days after receiving 200 mg of cyclophosphamide/kg of body weight. Treatment was started 18 h after infection and lasted for 7 days. ABLC (1, 2, 5, and 10 mg/kg) was administered once a day intravenously, fluconazole was administered by oral gavage once daily (25 and 50 mg/kg/day) or twice daily (125 mg/kg). MICs determined in five different ways with 24- and 48-h endpoints were also compared. The overall survival rates were controls, 14%; fluconazole, 64%; and ABLC, 82%. Treatment with ABLC at 2 to 10 mg/kg increased survival compared to controls (P < 0.0001) and was also superior to fluconazole at 25 and 50 mg/kg (P = 0.006). In the fluconazole-resistant C. tropicalis model (MIC, 128 μg/ml), ABLC at 2 to 10 mg/kg was superior to fluconazole at 250 mg/kg and ABLC at 10 mg/kg was superior to all fluconazole doses (P < 0.05). Fluconazole at 250 mg/kg daily was superior to both 25 and 50 mg/kg at reducing mortality with most isolates. ABLC was superior to fluconazole (P < 0.01), and fluconazole at 250 mg/kg was superior to fluconazole at both 25 and 50 mg/kg (P = 0.02) in all models at reducing C. tropicalis counts in the kidneys. Neither drug consistently sterilized the brain or kidneys. A 48-h endpoint reading with the NCCLS susceptibility testing microtiter variation overestimates resistance to fluconazole. ABLC is an effective treatment for fluconazole-resistant C. tropicalis at all doses tested.

The incidence of candidal bloodstream infections has increased dramatically over the last 3 decades, and they are now a commonplace complication of many surgical and medical therapies. Recently, there has been a huge increase in the frequency of non-albicans candidemia (1, 17, 20). Increases in Candida tropicalis (4 to 24%) have been noted particularly from blood cultures from leukemic, oncology, and intensive-care unit patients.

Amphotericin B lipid complex (ABLC) consists of the antifungal agent amphotericin B complexed to two phospholipids in a 1:1 drug-to-lipid ratio. ABLC has been shown to be better tolerated than conventional amphotericin B therapy, particularly with regard to nephrotoxicity (21, 22).

Resistance to antifungal drugs among pathogenic yeasts is increasingly being recognized, particularly with the azole group of drugs (2). Recently, C. tropicalis resistance to fluconazole (FLU) (48%) has been highlighted as a problem in the North West of England, with treatment failures leading to fatal outcomes (6). However, the optimal method for susceptibility testing of C. tropicalis is uncertain, with some authors recommending a 24-h endpoint (14), but there is no consensus on other susceptibility testing parameters. The present broth macrodilution methodology recommended by the NCCLS (National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards) is cumbersome and is not applicable to the routine clinical setting. In this study, therefore, we compared two broth microdilution methods, that of NCCLS and the EUCAST (European Committee for Standardisation of Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing) proposed standard, with one broth macrodilution method using high-resolution (HR) medium and one plate method, E-test on RPMI agar, of MIC measurement.

In this study, we tested ABLC in an immunocompromised-mouse model (10) of disseminated candidiasis against FLU-resistant and FLU-susceptible C. tropicalis and compared its efficacy to that of FLU.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Three clinical isolates of C. tropicalis from Hope Hospital and one isolate from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC 750) were used for this study. C. tropicalis FA1572 was isolated from a throat swab, FA2317 was isolated from the sputum of an intensive-care unit patient, and FA2542 was isolated from a tracheal aspirate of an intensive-care unit patient. The strains were maintained on slopes of Oxoid Sabouraud dextrose agar (Unipath Limited, Basingstoke, England) supplemented with 0.05 g of chloramphenicol/liter. Long-term storage was at −70°C in nutrient broth (Unipath Limited) supplemented with 15% glycerol (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, Dorset, United Kingdom).

In vitro susceptibility testing against FLU. Four methods were compared and are summarized in Table 1.

(i) NCCLS M27A method using the microtiter variation. The in vitro susceptibility results of the isolates were tested on three occasions using the NCCLS M27-A broth microdilution method (9). The stock suspension of the organism (0.5 McFarland standard) was diluted 1:100 in saline followed by a 1:20 dilution in RPMI 1640 broth (Sigma-Aldrich). The organisms were added to previously prepared dilutions of FLU in the range from 0.03 to 128 μg/ml in a microdilution plate and incubated at 37°C. The plates were read on a Molecular Devices (Menlo Park, Calif.) Thermomax microplate reader at 400 nm after 24- and 48-h incubations using an 80% reduction in the optical density endpoint.

(ii) EUCAST method in development. The EUCAST method (J. L. Rodríguez-Tudela, personal communication) is also a broth microdilution method, but it uses an inoculum of 1 × 10^5 to 5 × 10^5 organisms/ml prepared spectrophotometrically and then diluted in RPMI plus 2% glucose (Sigma-Aldrich) buffered with morpholinepropanesulfonic acid (MOPS) (Sigma-Aldrich). One hundred
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mixed well and then incubated at 37°C and read at 24 and 48 h. After being
 vortexed, the tubes were read by eye, with an 80% reduction in growth taken as
the endpoint.

For the E-test (E-test technical guide 4b), 90-mm
diameter plates containing RPMI agar to a depth of 4 mm were prepared. The
RPMI agar was buffered with MOPS or phosphate buffers, and 0.5 McFarland
standard inocula were applied to the agar surface with a cotton swab and then
allowed to dry. E-test strips (AB Biodisc, Solna, Sweden) were then applied to
the surface. The plates were incubated at 37°C and read at 24 and 48 h. The MIC
was read as the point where growth touched the strip. When a diffuse growth of
microcolonies within the zone of inhibition was observed, the endpoint was
selected at the point of 80% inhibition of growth touching the strip.

In vitro susceptibility testing against amphotericin B: antibiotic medium 3
microdilution method. Inoculum concentrations of 2-10^5 organisms/ml were
prepared in antibiotic medium 3 (Difco, Detroit, Mich.) (13), and 100 μl of the
suspending was added to 100 μl of amphotericin B (range, 0.015 to 16 μg/ml) in
a sterile microdilution plate (final concentration of organisms, 10^5/ml; final range
of amphotericin B, 0.00075 to 8 μg/ml). The plates were mixed well and then
incubated in a moist chamber at 37°C and read after 48 h of incubation at 490 nm
on a Thermomax microplate reader with an 80% reduction in the optical density
endpoint.

Animal models. (i) Animals. Male C57B1 mice 5 to 6 weeks old and weighing
between 22 and 25 g were purchased from Charles River UK Ltd. (Margate,
Kent, United Kingdom). The mice were acclimatized to the diet and water
available to food and water. The mice were randomized into groups of 10.

(ii) Immunosuppression. Cyclophosphamide (Sigma-Aldrich) was adminis-
terated intravenously via the lateral tail vein to all animals at a dose of 200 mg/kg
of body weight. A state of profound neutropenia was achieved 3 days
after administration and lasted for at least 4 days (3).

(iii) Preparation of inoculum. For each experiment, an isolate was thawed and
then incubated overnight on Sabouraud dextrose agar (Unipath Limited).

A colony was transferred into 25 ml of Sabouraud dextrose broth (Unipath Lim-
it). The broth was incubated on an orbital mixer for 8 h at 37°C and then
incubated overnight on Sabouraud dextrose agar (Unipath Limited). One
isolates were cultured by gavage (0.125 ml) either once daily for the 25- and 50-mg/kg
doses or twice daily for the 250-mg/kg dose (two doses of 125 mg/kg at 12-h
intervals). All treatments started 18 h after infection and continued for 7 days
postinfection. Control mice were infected but received no active treatment. One
group received 5% glucose intravenously, and the second received saline plus
0.03% agar by gavage. Mice unable to reach the feeder or in severe distress were
euthanized.

On day 11 of the experiment, all surviving mice were culled. The brains,
kidneys, livers, and lungs were removed and transferred into 2 ml of sterile
phosphate-buffered saline (BDB, Poole, Dorset, United Kingdom). The organs
were homogenized using a tissue grinder (Pobron, Kinematics AG, Lucerne, Swit-
zerland) for approximately 15 to 30 s and then diluted 10^-1, 10^-2, and 10^-3. One
hundred microliters each of the neat and diluted suspensions was then trans-
ferred to Sabouraud dextrose agar (Unipath Limited), and the liquid was spread
over the surfaces of the plates. The plates were incubated at 37°C in a moist
atmosphere and examined daily for 5 days. Colony counts were recorded from all
plates that showed growth.

(vi) FLU pharmacokinetics. Blood samples were collected from a separate
group of mice by cardiac puncture to determine the pharmacokinetics of the
FLU treatment (all levels were collected in duplicate). In this study, all mice were
immunosuppressed with 200 mg of cyclophosphamide/kg administered 4 days
before the first dose of FLU (as in the C. tropicalis models), but they were
dilution. Samples were collected in plain tubes and allowed to clot at room
temperature. Serum was then removed and stored at -20°C until it was analyzed.
Samples were thawed and analyzed as a batch in biosassays using RPMI MOPS
agar and the Candida leify San Antonio strain (Technical note on bioassay of
fluconazole and other antifungal agents, Pfizer Central Research, Sandwich,
Kent, United Kingdom).

(vii) Statistical analysis. Mortality and culture data were analyzed using the
Mann-Whitney U test or the Kruskall-Wallis test if the Mann-Whitney test was
not possible (i.e., if all values were identical in one group). Two-sided P
values are given. Mice which died before day 10 were assumed to have organ
colony counts at least as high as the highest counts in surviving mice in the calculation
of culture result statistics. All data analysis was performed using the computer
package Arcus Quik Stat (Addison Wesley Longman Ltd.). Two-sided probabil-
ity values are quoted in the text.

RESULTS

In vitro FLU susceptibility data. The isolates were selected to
include one unequivocally FLU-resistant strain (FA1572),
one unequivocally susceptible strain (FA2317), and two strains
with variable results.

In vitro susceptibility data is shown in Table 2. The final
interpretations of the in vitro susceptibility data were as follows:
FA1572 was resistant by all methods (MIC, 64 to >256
μg/ml), FA2317 was susceptible by all methods (MIC, 0.5 to 4
μg/ml), and both FA2542 and ATCC 750 had trailing end-
points in the NCCLS test at 48 h but were susceptible by the
other methods.

In vitro amphotericin B susceptibility data. Susceptibilities
were determined on at least three occasions using an antibi-
otic medium 3 microdilution method (13). All strains were
susceptible to amphotericin B at the following MICs: C. tropi-
calis FA1572 and ATCC 750, 0.015 μg/ml, and FA2317 and
FA2542, 0.03 μg/ml.

In vivo mortality data. The mortality in each experiment is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>NCCLS</th>
<th>EUCAST proposed</th>
<th>HR medium</th>
<th>Test tube</th>
<th>E-Test</th>
<th>RPMI-MOPS</th>
<th>RPMI-phosphate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Microplate</td>
<td>Microplate</td>
<td>HR medium</td>
<td>McFarland</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>McFarland</td>
<td>McFarland 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>RPMI, 2×</td>
<td>RPMI, 2×</td>
<td>10^10/ml</td>
<td>McFarland</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>McFarland</td>
<td>McFarland 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inoculum</td>
<td>5 × 10^1–2 × 10^1/ml</td>
<td>1 × 10^5–5 × 10^5/ml</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>24 and 48</td>
<td>24 and 48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>See technical guide 4b</td>
<td>See technical guide 4b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading time (h)</td>
<td>24 and 48</td>
<td>24 and 48</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endpoint determination</td>
<td>Spectrophotometer</td>
<td>Spectrophotometer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 1. Summary of in vitro susceptibility methods against FLU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RPMI-MOPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPMI-phosphate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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shown in Fig. 1 to 4 and Tables 3 to 7. Control mice had mortalities of 60 to 100% in all models, demonstrating the very high mortality of this model with no active intervention. In all models, the animals became very sick within 24 h of infection, showing reduced mobility and a hunched appearance. Many animals never recovered from this morbidity and were culled when they were no longer able to reach food and water. After this initial period of severe morbidity, the condition of the mice gradually improved, and most mice surviving to day 11 showed no signs of severe disease. The LD$_{90}$ of ATCC 750 was slightly higher than those of other strains and caused a relatively rapid 100% mortality (in 3 days). Marginally lower infecting doses of this strain caused much lower and unpredictable mortality (data not shown). The efficacy of ABLC at 10 mg/kg was demonstrated in all models, with 80 to 100% of the mice surviving. Slightly reduced survival rates were seen for ABLC at 5 and 2 mg/kg, with both having overall survival rates of 70 to 100%. ABLC at 1 mg/kg was less effective (30 to 90% survival) in all models, but the differential between 10 and 1 mg/kg varied substantially. The efficacy of FLU at 250 mg/kg/day was variable (40 to 100% survival), and these results were affected by what appeared to be drug toxicity in the ATCC 750 model. FLU at 50 and 25 mg/kg/day produced variable survival rates (30 to 80%), and these rates were dependent on the strain causing infection.

In the FA1572 model treated with ABLC at doses from 2 to 10 mg/kg, treatment was effective (87.5 to 100% survival), but a lower dose was less so (50% survival). Treatment with FLU was most successful with 250 mg/kg/day, but only 40% survival was achieved with 25 or 50 mg/kg/day. The data are consistent with a partially resistant, intermediate, or susceptible dose-dependent MIC interpretation. However, serum drug concent-

![FIG. 1. Plot of cumulative mortality against time in a murine model against C. tropicalis FA1572. ×, ABLC at 10 mg/kg; ▲, ABLC at 5.0 mg/kg; ■, ABLC at 2.0 mg/kg; ●, ABLC at 1.0 mg/kg; ●, FLU at 250 mg/kg; ○, FLU at 50 mg/kg; ●, FLU at 25 mg/kg, □, agar control; Δ, 5% glucose control.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>NCCLS$^a$</th>
<th>EUCAST$^b$</th>
<th>HR medium$^c$</th>
<th>E-Test$^d$</th>
<th>Interpretation$^e$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>48 h</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>48 h</td>
<td>RPMI MOPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA1572</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA2317</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA2542</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>&gt;128$^f$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATCC 750</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8$^g$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ NCCLS M27A protocol.  
$^b$ EUCAST method in final development.  
$^c$ HR broth method.  
$^d$ RPMI, RPMI 1640 agar; Phos, phosphate buffer.  
$^e$ R, resistant; S, susceptible.  
$^f$ Trailing endpoint (a gradual reduction in amount of growth in wells with increasing drug concentration rather than reduction occurring over one or two wells as in a normally susceptible isolate). The MIC is recorded as the drug concentration at which >80% inhibition is achieved.
trations of 50 mg/kg/day were relatively high by human standards.

In the FA2317 model, 40% of the control mice survived with no active treatment. Survival after all doses of ABLC was between 60 and 80%. Treatment with FLU at 250 and 25 mg/kg/day produced 60 to 70% survival (only 30% of the mice survived after treatment with FLU at 50 mg/kg/day. These data are consistent with a susceptible MIC interpretation.

In the FA2542 model only 15% of the control mice survived. All ABLC regimes produced a survival rate between 90 and
100%. FLU at 250 mg/kg/day produced 100% survival, which was not significantly superior to the 25- and 50-mg/kg/day doses (78 to 80% survival). These data are consistent with a susceptible MIC interpretation.

In the ATCC 750 model, rapid mortality occurred with no active treatment (all controls had died by day 3). Treatment with ABLC at 2 to 10 mg/kg allowed 80 to 100% survival, but treatment with ABLC at 1 mg/kg/day was less effective, with only 30% survival. FLU treatment at 250 mg/kg/day appeared toxic, as only 40% of the mice survived, whereas with 25 and 50 mg/kg/day, 60 to 70% of the mice survived. Therefore, once again these data are consistent with a susceptible MIC interpretation. The reason for the FLU toxicity at 250 mg/kg/day in this model is uncertain, but it was notable that in the early stages of infection with this strain, the mice were particularly severely affected and stopped eating and drinking. It is likely that the combination of high drug levels and severe dehydration caused increased mortality, but this is speculative. No toxicity was noted with 250 mg of FLU/kg/day in immunosuppressed but uninfected mice.

Organ culture data. Geometric mean colony counts of the brain, kidneys, liver, and lungs are shown in Tables 3 to 6.

In the FLU-resistant model, C. tropicalis FA1572, the organ colony counts were significantly lower in the kidneys and the livers of the group receiving ABLC at 10 mg/kg than in those of mice receiving all FLU treatments and the group receiving ABLC at 1 mg/kg (P = 0.02). In the same model, the kidneys had lower colony counts in the groups receiving ABLC at 2 and 5 mg/kg than in any of the FLU groups (P = <0.03). Liver colony counts after treatment with ABLC at 10 mg/kg/day were significantly lower than after all FLU treatments (P = <0.02), but after ABLC treatment at 2 or 5 mg/kg, the counts were significantly lower than only those receiving FLU at 25 and 50 mg/kg/day (P = <0.04). Counts for the brains of the group receiving ABLC at 2 to 10 mg/kg were not available.

In the model with isolate FA2317, the kidney colony counts

![FIG. 4. Plot of cumulative mortality against time in a murine model against C. tropicalis ATCC 750. ×, ABLC at 10 mg/kg; ▲, ABLC at 5.0 mg/kg; ■, ABLC at 2.0 mg/kg; ●, ABLC at 1.0 mg/kg; ●, FLU at 250 mg/kg; ○, FLU at 50 mg/kg; ◊, FLU at 25 mg/kg; □, agar control; Δ, 5% glucose control.](http://aac.asm.org/)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Survivors/no. in group (%)</th>
<th>Sterilizeda</th>
<th>Mean countb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC (10 mg/kg)</td>
<td>10/10 (100)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC (5 mg/kg)</td>
<td>7/7 (88)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC (2 mg/kg)</td>
<td>10/10 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC (1 mg/kg)</td>
<td>5/5 (50)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.8 × 10^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLU (250 mg/kg)</td>
<td>8/8 (80)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLU (50 mg/kg)</td>
<td>4/4 (45)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.1 × 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLU (25 mg/kg)</td>
<td>4/4 (40)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.8 × 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agar-glucosec</td>
<td>0/0 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Sterilized, number of mice in which all cultures were negative.

b All counts are expressed as CFU/ml of organ homogenate.

c Control groups combined (n = 20).

d ND, not determined.
in the group receiving ABLC at 2 to 10 mg/kg were not significantly lower than those in the group receiving FLU at 250 mg/kg but were significantly lower than those in the groups receiving FLU at 50 and 25 mg/kg (P < 0.015). All treatments other than ABLC at 1 mg/kg and FLU at 50 mg/kg/day lowered liver colony counts to a level superior to that in controls. ABLC at 2 to 10 mg/kg and FLU at 250 and 25 mg/kg/day significantly lowered brain colony counts.

With isolate C. tropicalis FA2542, the kidney colony counts were significantly lower in the groups receiving ABLC at 2 to 10 mg/kg than in those receiving all FLU regimes (P < 0.015). The brain culture results after treatment with FLU at 250 mg/kg/day were significantly superior to those of all other groups (P < 0.016). The other treatment groups were superior to that receiving ABLC at 1 mg/kg/day, and all active-treatment groups were superior to the control regimes (P < 0.01). All of the treatment regimes significantly lowered liver colony counts in comparison to those of controls.

In the ATCC 750 model, the kidney colony counts were significantly lower in the groups receiving ABLC at 2 to 10 mg/kg than in those receiving all FLU regimes (P < 0.01). The group receiving ABLC at 5 to 10 mg/kg had significantly lower liver colony counts than the groups receiving FLU at 250 and 25 mg/kg/day (P < 0.002) but not the group receiving 50 mg/kg/day. The brain culture results in all the treatment groups did not show significant differences, but the counts in the group receiving FLU of 250 mg/kg/day were numerically lower.

**Serum FLU concentrations.** Mouse serum FLU concentrations are shown in Fig. 5. In the first 24 h, a dose-dependent serum concentration was seen, with maximum concentrations of drug in the serum of 74, 21, and 9 μg/ml for the 250-, 50-, and 25-mg/kg/day doses, respectively. The maximum concentrations were maintained for both the 50- and 25-mg/kg/day doses over the 5-day assay period, whereas a gradual reduction was seen with the 250-mg/kg/day dose.

**DISCUSSION**

C. tropicalis is one of the three most commonly isolated non-albicans Candida species (NAC) and accounts for 4 to 25% of those isolated (and 20 to 45% of NAC isolated from blood cultures). Equally importantly, C. tropicalis also produces higher overall mortality (33 to 90%) than Candida albicans or other NAC (5, 17) regardless of therapy. Breakthrough candidemias while patients are on treatment or prophylaxis have an even worse prognosis. C. tropicalis was long considered universally susceptible to FLU, but over the last few years, rapid development of resistance to FLU has been recorded (the MICs for up to 20% of isolates were 16 μg/ml) (12, 15). As amphotericin B is also relatively ineffective in the clinical setting, new approaches to therapy are urgently required.

Substantial efforts by a large number of investigators have resulted in reproducible and meaningful susceptibility testing methods for FLU against C. albicans (9; EUCAST proposed standard; J. L. Rodriguez-Tudela, personal communication). Although there are ongoing discussions about appropriate breakpoints, a broad assumption has been made that the same methods used for C. albicans can be used for all NAC. Recently published work has suggested that a 24-h reading of the MIC is superior to a 48-h endpoint (7). As in our work, the authors used carefully controlled conditions pertaining to animal models. We have also been concerned about the validity of susceptibility test results for C. tropicalis, having documented an apparent rise from 0 to 80% of FLU resistance to susceptibility after treatment. With active treatment, this increase is probably due to a selection of more FLU-resistant strains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Survivors/no. in group (%)</th>
<th>Sterilized&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Mean count&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kidneys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Liver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lungs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC (10 mg/kg)</td>
<td>8/10 (80)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5 × 10&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC (5 mg/kg)</td>
<td>8/10 (80)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.6 × 10&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC (2 mg/kg)</td>
<td>7/10 (70)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.8 × 10&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC (1 mg/kg)</td>
<td>6/10 (60)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5 × 10&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLU (250 mg/kg)</td>
<td>7/10 (70)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.8 × 10&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLU (50 mg/kg)</td>
<td>3/10 (30)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.5 × 10&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLU (25 mg/kg)</td>
<td>6/10 (60)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.6 × 10&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agar-glucose&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8/20 (40)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.0 × 10&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC (10 mg/kg)</td>
<td>8/10 (80)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.2 × 10&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC (5 mg/kg)</td>
<td>8/10 (80)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.9 × 10&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC (2 mg/kg)</td>
<td>7/10 (70)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.1 × 10&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC (1 mg/kg)</td>
<td>6/10 (60)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.1 × 10&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLU (250 mg/kg)</td>
<td>7/10 (70)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.6 × 10&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLU (50 mg/kg)</td>
<td>3/10 (30)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.2 × 10&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLU (25 mg/kg)</td>
<td>6/10 (60)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.5 × 10&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agar-glucose&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8/20 (40)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.4 × 10&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Sterilized, number of mice in which all cultures were negative.

<sup>b</sup> All counts are expressed as CFU/ml of organ homogenate.

<sup>c</sup> Control groups combined (n = 20).
TABLE 6. Geometric means of organ culture counts for C. tropicalis ATCC 750

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Survivors/</th>
<th>Sterilized</th>
<th>Brain</th>
<th>Kidneys</th>
<th>Liver</th>
<th>Lungs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no. in group (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC (10 mg/kg)</td>
<td>10/10 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3 × 10²</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC (5 mg/kg)</td>
<td>10/10 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3.7 × 10²</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC (2 mg/kg)</td>
<td>8/10 (80)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4.9 × 10⁵</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC (1 mg/kg)</td>
<td>3/10 (30)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>2.2 × 10⁶</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLU (250 mg/kg)</td>
<td>4/10 (40)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3 × 10⁵</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLU (50 mg/kg)</td>
<td>9/10 (90)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4.2 × 10⁴</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLU (25 mg/kg)</td>
<td>6/10 (60)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>4.2 × 10⁴</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agar-glucosec</td>
<td>0/20 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Sterilized, number of mice in which all cultures were negative.
b All counts are expressed as CFU/ml of organ homogenate.
c Control groups combined (n = 20).

(MIC ≥ 25 μg/ml) in our intensive-care unit (6; L. A. Joseph, C. B. Moore, D. Law, D. Thornton, B. Bowles, and D. W. Denning, Abstr. 4th Congr. Eur. Confed. Med. Mycol., abstr. P91, 1998). We selected four isolates to test, one resistant, one susceptible, and two with intermediate or variable MICs depending on the test method. We used a wide dose range of FLU yielding serum concentrations at and above those found in patients. For example, the peak dose in our model after the 250-mg/kg/day regime was 74 mg/liter, whereas peak levels in human sera rarely exceed 20 mg/liter. Likewise, the ABLC dose range encompassed all those used in humans, typically 5 mg/kg.

The model with FA1572 showed substantial dose dependency with FLU, suggesting that it might be possible to treat an infection by this strain with extremely high doses of FLU. Assuming our susceptible isolate (FA2317) is truly susceptible (all in vitro data suggest that it is), FLU is slightly more effective than controls at reducing mortality, but it is still not very effective, even though the model was less acute than the other three. It is notable that this is the only isolate yielding a consistent pulmonary infection virtually untouched by therapy. Strains FA2542 and ATCC 750 are best classified as susceptible, although a lesser degree of dose dependency was seen. It should be noted that some FLU toxicity occurred in the high-dose regime in the treatment of ATCC 750 which was not seen with other isolates.

A variety of in vitro testing formats have been examined in this study, and it is clear that for some strains (FA1572 and FA2317) any of these methods read at 24 h would correctly predict the outcome of an animal treatment model. Unfortunately, some strains do not have as clear an endpoint because of a trailing phenomenon (gradual reduction of growth over a series of wells). This produces severe problems with the NCCLS M27A methodology, which requires an 80% reduction of growth at 48 h. This is not always achieved. We would therefore recommend adopting the EUCAST standard for C. tropicalis, as the RPMI with glucose plus a heavy initial inoculum produces more luxuriant growth after 24 h of incubation and a 50% cutoff avoids problems with a trailing endpoint. It may be that a 24-h endpoint with the NCCLS microtiter variation would be as good for C. tropicalis, but in a clinical setting, selecting different endpoints for different species is problematic.

The E-test also correctly predicted the in vivo response, but this test was difficult to read due to the production of small numbers of well-defined colonies within the zone in the strains which demonstrated a trailing phenomenon. If strains do not demonstrate these phenomena (and most do not), the E-test can be used to reliably predict the MIC. Other groups have compared the MICs in the E-test and the NCCLS broth microdilution methods against large numbers of C. albicans and C. tropicalis isolates and also found the method easy to use and interpret (11, 16, 19, 20). Furthermore, it has also been reported that if small or poorly thriving, less pigmented colonies (trailing endpoints) within the E-test zone are ignored, the results are in good agreement with those of broth dilution (11).

ABLC consists of the antifungal agent amphotericin B complexed with two phospholipids. It has been shown to have impressive activity against Candida spp. in vitro (4) and against C. albicans in vivo (2, 8, 18). Few data have been published about its activity against FLU-resistant Candida spp. strains in vivo, and no data are available about its activity against FLU-resistant strains of C. tropicalis in vivo. The present study compared the activity of ABLC with that of FLU in four mouse models of invasive candidiasis.

The activity of ABLC at 10 mg/kg daily was superior to that of any of the FLU regimes in all models. ABLC at 2 mg/kg daily was the only treatment to significantly improve survival rates compared to those with no active treatment in the FA2317 FLU-resistant model. A clear dose response was demonstrable with ABLC, with 10 mg/kg being superior to all other doses and 5 mg/kg being significantly superior to controls. The combined data from all models demonstrate the dose dependency of ABLC in these infections, with 1 mg/kg being substantially less effective than higher doses. This dose dependency is not seen with FLU. There are numerical differences between the response rates after treatment with ABLC at 2 to 10 mg/kg, but these differences do not reach statistical significance; it is possible that the differences would become significant if larger groups were examined.

Although there are dangers in extrapolating to the clinical setting, the data presented here suggest that the maximum tolerated dose of ABLC should be used in the treatment of

TABLE 7. Probability values (two sided) of mortality in models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inferior regime</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Probability* with superior regime of:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ABLC (10 mg/kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLU</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>NS/NS/NS/0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLU</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.04/NS/NS/NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLU</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.01/NS/NS/NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NS/NS/NS/NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS/NS/NS/NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABLC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.009/NS/0.004/0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.003/NS/0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Probabilities are shown as follows: FA1572/FA2317/FA2542/ATCC 750. NS, not significant.
b ABLC at 5 and 2 mg/kg was superior to FLU at 250 mg/kg/day in the ATCC 750 model.
C. tropicalis infections and that it should be as effective against FLU-resistant isolates as against FLU-susceptible isolates.
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