Early Bactericidal Activity of Paromomycin (Aminosidine) in Patients with Smear-Positive Pulmonary Tuberculosis
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The early bactericidal activity (EBA) of an aminoglycoside drug reflects its ability to kill the rapidly multiplying organisms present in the cavities of pulmonary tuberculosis patients. It is determined by measuring the rate of decrease in viable CFU of Mycobacterium tuberculosis per milliliter of sputum during the first 2 days of treatment with the drug under investigation (10). It has been used to evaluate and compare both new (13, 14) and established (3, 15) antimicrobial drugs and to determine the lowest effective dose of a drug (3).

Paromomycin, also known as aminosidine, is a broad-spectrum aminoglycoside closely related structurally to neomycin and kanamycin and less closely related to streptomycin (5). Although its main use at present is for the management of visceral leishmaniasis (kala-azar) (6, 16), it has been shown, both in vitro (8) and in animal experiments (9), to have considerable activity against M. tuberculosis, including multidrug-resistant strains, and there are anecdotal reports of its use for the management of pulmonary tuberculosis (17). It lacks cross-resistance with streptomycin and other antimycobacterial agents. The MIC of paromomycin for M. tuberculosis ranges from ≤0.09 to ≤1.5 μg/ml (8). In humans, peak concentrations of paromomycin of 11.6 to 25.6 μg/ml 1 h after a 500-mg intramuscular dose have been reported (4). In this pilot study, the EBA of paromomycin at dosages of 7.5 and 15 mg/kg of body weight was evaluated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was undertaken in the Department of Internal Medicine of Tygerberg Hospital, the teaching hospital of the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Stellenbosch. Tygerberg Hospital serves a number of socioeconomically deprived communities in the Western Cape province of South Africa, a region with a notified tuberculosis incidence of >500 cases/100,000 people in 1998. The study was undertaken between November 1997 and June 1998.

Patients. Patients had newly diagnosed, previously untreated, pulmonary tuberculosis, were between 18 and 40 years of age, and weighed more than 40 kg. Patients in poor general condition or suffering from other serious medical complications, women who were pregnant or lactating, and patients unable to produce at least 10 ml of sputum overnight were excluded from the study, as were those in whose initial sputum or urine specimens traces of isoniazid or its metabolites could be detected. Also excluded were those with any known hypersensitivity to any aminoglycoside and those whose initial M. tuberculosis isolates were found to be resistant to isoniazid, rifampin, streptomycin, or paromomycin. Resistance to isoniazid, rifampin, or streptomycin, if found, would indicate that the patient might have received previous antituberculosis treatment. Thirty-two patients were randomized to receive either 15 mg of paromomycin per kg (18 patients) or 7.5 mg of paromomycin per kg (14 patients). The results from 10 patients, 7 from the 7.5-mg/kg group and 3 from the 15-mg/kg group, were excluded from the analysis. The reasons for exclusion were resistance to isoniazid in the isolates for five patients, resistance to isoniazid and inadequate homogenization of the sputum specimen for one patient, and no growth or only very poor growth for four patients.

Sputum collection and drug administration. Patients were actively encouraged to cough, and a 16-h collection of sputum was done from 0800 on the day of admission to 0800 the next day (S1 sputum sample). Soon after 0800, paromomycin was given by intramuscular injection, and the sputum collection procedure was repeated to obtain sputum specimens following the first and second doses of paromomycin (S2 and S3, respectively).

On completion of the study protocol after the S3 sputum collection, the patients were commenced on isoniazid, rifampin, pyrazinamide, and ethambutol as recommended by the South African National Tuberculosis Control Programme.

Microbiologic methods. Sputum in the S1, S2, and S3 collections was examined conventionally by direct smear, culture, and sensitivity testing. CFU counts on the sputum collections were carried out as described previously (13). Without preliminary centrifugation, 20 μl of the dilutions were set up on thrips of triPLICATE plates of selective 7H110 medium. Drug resistance did not develop during the 3 days of the study. Analysis of sputum and urine specimens for isoniazid and its metabolites was done by a previously described high-performance liquid chromatography method (12).

Statistical methods. The EBA for each patient was calculated by first obtaining the mean CFU count (X) at the most appropriate dilution, which was that permitting counting of between 20 and 200 colonies. Then, we used the equation

\[ Y = \log_{10}(f(X)) \]

where \( f \) is the dilution factor and \( Y \) is \( \log_{10} \) CFU per milliliter of sputum. Statistical significance of the results was determined by Student's t test for paired samples. The early bactericidal activity (EBA) of an antituberculosis drug in doses of 7.5 and 15 mg/kg of body weight was measured in 22 patients with previously untreated smear-positive pulmonary tuberculosis. The fall in log10 CFU per milliliter of sputum per day during the first 2 days of treatment for 7 patients receiving a paromomycin dosage of 7.5 mg/kg/day was 0.066, with a standard deviation (SD) of 0.216 and confidence limits from 0.134 to 0.266, and that for 15 patients receiving 15 mg/kg/day was 0.0924, with an SD of 0.140 and confidence limits from 0.015 to 0.170. The difference between the mean and zero was not significant for the 7.5-mg/kg dose group but was significant for the 15-mg/kg dose group \((t = 2.55, P = 0.023)\). Since paromomycin has no cross-resistance with streptomycin and has no greater toxicity than other aminoglycosides, these results suggest that it has the potential to substitute for streptomycin in antituberculosis regimens and may be a particularly valuable addition to the drug armamentarium for the management of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis.
The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Stellenbosch. All patients entered in the study gave written informed consent for their participation.

### RESULTS

The 22 patients included in the final analysis had a mean age of 36 years and a mean weight of 52 kg. All but one patient were found to have multicavitary disease by chest radiography. The means and standard deviations of the CFU per milliliter sputum for the S1, S2, and S3 sputum samples and the mean EBA, with standard deviations and 95% CI, are shown in Table 1 and compared to the results obtained for the no-drug control groups. A one-way analysis of variance comparing the mean EBA of the six groups gives an \( F_{5,57} \) of 1.75 and a \( P \) value of 0.137, indicating no clear evidence of real differences between group means. Since there was considerable heterogeneity in the intragroup variances (with variances increasing substantially with the timing of the study), the group means were further compared using the nonparametric trend test for trends across nonparametric observations per group, giving an intercept of 0.011 with a standard error of 0.013 and a slope of 0.00562 with a standard error of 0.00169. The slope differs significantly from zero, with a \( t \) of 3.329 and a \( P \) value of 0.029. Fitting a line through the six mean points and basing the test of significance on just four degrees of freedom is a very conservative approach, yet the result supports the hypothesis that there is a dose effect. The fitted straight line and 95% confidence band are also shown in Fig. 1.

Of the patients excluded from analysis because of resistance to isoniazid, three received a dose of 7.5 mg/kg, and their EBA results were 0.053, 0.019, and 0.156 (mean, 0.076). The remaining two isoniazid-resistant patients received a dose of 15 mg/kg and had EBA values of 0.049 and 0.102 (mean, 0.076).

### DISCUSSION

In this study, paromomycin produced a small but statistically significant increase in EBA, as shown by both the nonparametric trend test \( (P = 0.03) \) and the regression analysis on the group means \( (P = 0.029) \). Streptomycin, given in a dosage of approximately 20 mg/kg of body weight to a group of four patients, had a similar EBA, 0.094 (7). The CL of both estimates are so wide that comparison is inconclusive. The finding that paromomycin at a dose of 7.5 mg/kg did not have a detectable effect compared to zero suggests that the antituberculosis activity may be limited.

Our findings and the results of earlier in vitro (8) and in vivo (9) studies suggest, but do not prove, that paromomycin has an antituberculosis activity similar to that of streptomycin. Importantly, paromomycin has no cross-resistance with streptomycin and does not appear to have any higher incidence of ototoxicity or nephrotoxicity than that found with other aminoglycosides (1, 2, 6, 11). Paromomycin may therefore be a valuable addition to the antituberculosis drug armamentarium, particularly for the management of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis.
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**Table 1. Viable counts of CFU of tubercle bacilli in sputum collections S1, S2, and S3 and in groups receiving no drug**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dosage (mg/kg) or no-drug group (reference no.)</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Log_{10} CFU/ml of sputum (mean [SD]) for:</th>
<th>EBA</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>95% Confidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>S3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.513 (0.898)</td>
<td>6.449 (0.715)</td>
<td>6.381 (0.774)</td>
<td>0.066 (0.210)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.961 (0.611)</td>
<td>6.956 (0.761)</td>
<td>6.777 (0.754)</td>
<td>0.092 (0.140)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A (13)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.762 (0.461)</td>
<td>6.770 (0.460)</td>
<td>6.791 (0.464)</td>
<td>-0.015 (0.013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (14)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.924 (0.326)</td>
<td>6.935 (0.320)</td>
<td>6.949 (0.361)</td>
<td>-0.011 (0.052)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (15)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.236 (1.177)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.161 (1.206)</td>
<td>0.038 (0.047)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.002 (0.757)</td>
<td>6.827 (0.757)</td>
<td>6.921 (0.753)</td>
<td>0.041 (0.113)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The difference between the means and zero was nonsignificant for the 7.5-mg/kg group but significant \( (t = 2.55, P = 0.023) \) for the 15-mg/kg group.

ND, not determined.

**Fig. 1. Plot showing linear regression of EBA of the 7.5- and 15-mg/kg paromomycin groups and the no-drug groups.**
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