A Population Pharmacokinetic Model for Vancomycin in Pediatric Patients and Its Predictive Value in a Naive Population

PATRICE LAMARRE,1,2 DENIS LEBEL,2 AND MURRAY P. DUCHARME1*

Faculté de Pharmacie, Université de Montréal,1 and Département de Pharmacie, Hôpital Ste-Justine,2 Montréal, Canada

Received 9 April 1999/Returned for modification 21 July 1999/Accepted 1 November 1999

The objectives of this study were to (i) construct a population pharmacokinetic (PK) model able to describe vancomycin (VAN) concentrations in serum in pediatric patients, (ii) determine VAN PK parameters in this population, and (iii) validate the predictive ability of this model in a naive pediatric population. Data used in this study were obtained from 78 pediatric patients (under 18 years old). PK analyses were performed using compartmental methods. The most appropriate model was chosen based on the evaluation of pertinent graphics and calculation of the Akaike information criterion test. The population PK analysis was performed using an iterative two-stage method. A two-compartment PK model using age, sex, weight, and serum creatinine as covariates was determined to be the most appropriate one to describe serum VAN concentrations. The quality of fit was very good, and the distribution of weighted residuals was found to be homoscedastic (Wilcoxon signed rank test). Fitted population PK parameters (mean ± standard deviation) were as follows: central clearance (0.1 ± 0.05 liter/h/kg), central volume of distribution (0.27 ± 0.07 liter/kg), peripheral volume of distribution (0.16 ± 0.07 liter/kg), and distributional clearance (0.16 ± 0.07 liter/kg). The predictive ability of the developed model (including the above-mentioned covariates) was evaluated in a naive population of 19 pediatric patients. The predictability was very good. Precision (±95% confidence interval [CI]) (peak, 4.1 [±1.4], and trough, 2.2 [±0.7]) and bias (±95% CI) (peak, −0.58 [±2.2], and trough, 0.63 [±1.1] mg/liter) were significantly (P < 0.05) superior to those obtained using a conventional method (precision [±95% CI]: peak, 8.03 [±2.46], and trough, 2.7 [±0.74]; bias: peak, −7.1 [±2.9], and trough, −1.35 [±1.2] mg/liter). We propose the use of this population PK model to optimize VAN clinical therapies in our institution and others with similar patient population characteristics.

There has been a major increase in the clinical use of vancomycin (VAN) in the last 20 years. This may be partly due to the increased and prolonged use of intravenous lines (Hickman catheters, etc.) and to the development of aggressive immunosuppressive therapies. This increase in the utilization of VAN may be responsible for the clinical emergence of enterococcal (3) and staphylococcal (8) strains resistant to this drug (1). It is therefore crucial for clinicians to use this antibiotic in a more rational manner.

Monitoring serum VAN concentrations is still a subject of controversy. Administration of doses to pediatric patients that are based only on body weight have frequently been associated with inappropriate concentrations (10, 14). Some authors have therefore suggested utilizing demographic data (such as age, sex, and height) as well as the patient’s estimated renal function in order to attain desired concentrations in serum in the majority of their treated patients (2).

Little is known about the pharmacokinetics (PK) of VAN in children and adolescents. In fact, only one described PK study involving 18 patients in that age group has been published (16). We propose to improve the PK knowledge of VAN in pediatric patients. The objectives of this study are (i) to construct a population PK model able to describe serum VAN concentrations, (ii) to determine VAN PK parameters in a pediatric population, and (iii) to validate the predictive ability of this PK model in a naive pediatric population, using Bayesian adaptive control.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

We used, retrospectively, a data bank consisting of 98 patients who received VAN therapy. This bank was compiled by the clinical pharmacist of our center, between January 1994 and July 1996. Patients with at least one available serum creatinine value and one set of peak and trough VAN serum concentrations were included in the study (78 out of 98 patients). Age, weight, sex, and serum creatinine data were available for each subject and are summarized in Table 1. Data collected concerning VAN therapy included the dose given during the 1-h infusion, the therapeutic interval, and the results of the measured peaks and troughs. During the creation of each patient’s PK data file, the troughs were assumed to be drawn 15 min before the fourth infusion of VAN and the peak concentrations occurred 1 h after these. These are the standard times at which these levels are drawn at Hôpital St-Justine, Montréal, Canada. Every patient had at least one set of a measured peak and trough available. In total, the data bank included 256 VAN serum concentrations.

#### Analytical assay.

VAN serum concentrations were determined using a validated fluorescence polarization immunoassay (TDs; Abbott Diagnostics, Chicago, Ill.). Interday and intraday coefficients of variation of the analytical method were less than 5%, and the limit of detection was 2 mg/liter.

#### Statistics.

Statistical analyses were performed using SYSTAT Version 8 for Windows (SPSS Inc., 1998) and Lotus 1-2-3 Release 9 for windows (Lotus Development Corporation, 1998) when appropriate. Statistical significance was set a priori at P < 0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Primary population</th>
<th>Naive population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients (no. of serum concs)</td>
<td>78 (256)</td>
<td>19 (84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. male/no. female</td>
<td>40/38</td>
<td>11/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age in yr (range)</td>
<td>7 (0.01–18)</td>
<td>8.5 (0.3–18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median wt in kg (range)</td>
<td>25 (0.93–74)</td>
<td>32 (5.5–84.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine level ± SD (µmol/ml)</td>
<td>47.8 ± 24.4</td>
<td>37.8 ± 15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine clearance ± SD (ml/min)</td>
<td>138.6 ± 49.3</td>
<td>160.7 ± 28.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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* Calculated using Schwartz’s formula (13).
PK analyses. PK analyses were performed using compartmental methods (7). Several models were investigated during the model discrimination process, and the most appropriate one was chosen based on the law of parsimony (simplest model), the value of the Aikake information criterion test, and upon inspection of important graphics (weighted residuals versus observed concentrations and fitted and observed VAN concentrations versus time). A classical linear two-compartment model using body weight and creatinine clearance as covariates was chosen for this population analysis. The graphic representation of the model is presented in Fig. 1. The differential equations describing this model are

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dX(1)}{dt} & = R(1) + \frac{Cld}{Vp} \cdot X(2) - \frac{Cld}{Vc} \cdot X(1) - Clc \cdot X(1) \\
\frac{dX(2)}{dt} & = \frac{Cld}{Vc} \cdot X(1) - \frac{Cld}{Vp} \cdot X(2)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( R(1) \) represents the infusion rate of VAN (milligrams per hour) and \( Vc \) and \( Vp \) are the volumes of distribution of the central and the peripheral compartments, respectively (liters per hour per 30 kg), creatinine clearance was used as a covariate of central clearance in the following equation:

\[
Clc = A \times CCL + B
\]

where \( A \) and \( B \) are the slope and intercept of the relationship between creatinine clearance (CCL) and Clc, respectively. CCL was calculated for each patient using an equation converting creatinine clearance values obtained from the Schwartz formula (CLCreatSW in liters per hour adjusted to 1.73 m²) (13) to a target value. Nineteen patients were included in this new population.

RESULTS

During the PK model discrimination process, body weights and patient creatinine clearances were found to be important covariates explaining the observed concentrations of VAN in serum. Their inclusion in the model reduced significantly the interindividual variability of the PK parameters and the residual variability observed in serum concentrations. This latter, which includes the intraindividual variability and all experimental errors, decreased from an initial value of 25% to 6.5%. A value of 25% would not have permitted accurate prediction of VAN serum concentrations in a naive population, because each concentration would then have been associated with a normal error or variability of 25%. Average VAN population PK parameters in the pediatric population of 78 patients are presented in Table 2, along with their associated interindividual variability. Average mean calculated PK parameters and their associated interindividual variability are presented in Table 3.

Oberved VAN serum concentrations were explained very well by the proposed population PK model. The absence of systematic bias in the model was verified using the Wilcoxon signed rank test. Weighted residuals versus observed VAN serum concentrations are presented in Fig. 2. A homocedastic

### TABLE 2. Average population PK parameters of VAN and their associated interindividual variability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of value</th>
<th>Vc</th>
<th>Vp</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Cld</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liters/30 kg</td>
<td>Liters/kg</td>
<td>Liters/30 kg</td>
<td>Liters/kg</td>
<td>ml/min/30 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.9–18.5</td>
<td>0.03–0.62</td>
<td>0.3–10.1</td>
<td>0.01–0.37</td>
<td>0.06–1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Residual variability in VAN serum concentrations (includes the intraindividual variability and all experimental errors) was 6.5%. Values shown per kilogram rather than per 30 kg are adjusted per kilogram of body weight. Vc, volume of the central compartment; Vp, volume of the peripheral compartment; A (no unit), slope of the relationship between VAN central clearance and creatinine clearance; B, intercept of the relationship between VAN central clearance and creatinine clearance; Cld, VAN distributional clearance; CV, coefficient of variation; ND, not determined.
distribution of the weighted residuals is evident, confirming the absence of bias. Observed and fitted VAN concentrations for a representative patient are presented in Fig. 3.

Bias and precision estimates for the population PK model with or without using VAN concentrations are presented in Table 4. These results are compared with those obtained using the conventional nomogram of our institution. Relationships between the observed and predicted VAN concentrations for the naive population are presented in Fig. 4 and 5. The quality of the prediction using the model without Bayesian adaptive control is depicted in Fig. 4, while results derived using prior concentrations with Bayesian adaptive control are presented in Fig. 5.

**DISCUSSION**

A two-compartment PK model was found to be the most appropriate one to describe serum VAN concentrations during the model discrimination process. Likewise, others have found that the PK of VAN is better described by a two- instead of a one-compartment PK model (6, 9, 11). Body weights and creatinine clearances used as covariates in the model allowed significant reduction of the interindividual and residual variability. The latter includes the patient's intraindividual variability and the total experimental errors that may be generated clinically (i.e., dosage, timing of samples, and analytical variability). The population PK model that we are proposing is associated with an interestingly low residual variability of 6.5% for serum VAN concentrations.

There is little information available regarding VAN PK pa-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of value</th>
<th>Vss</th>
<th>CL</th>
<th>$t_{1/2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.43 liter/kg</td>
<td>0.103 liter/h/kg</td>
<td>3.9 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Vss, total volume of distribution; CL, total plasma clearance; $t_{1/2}$, terminal elimination half-life; CV, coefficient of variation.
rameters in children and adolescents. We found only one detailed study reported in the literature, and its results were derived from 18 children. This prior study reported an apparent volume of distribution for VAN ranging between 0.538 and 0.818 liter/kg, while VAN central clearance varied between 7.86 and 9.78 liters/h (12). These values are in agreement with our results, where the average population values for the volume of distribution and total clearance of VAN are 0.43 and 0.103 liter/h/kg, respectively. Our results were, however, determined using a more robust population PK method, and our proposed model was validated in a naive population of 19 patients.

The very good predictive ability of our proposed VAN population PK model makes it an interesting tool to use clinically to optimize VAN therapies. Bias and precision were significantly superior ($P < 0.05$) to those of the previously used method of our institution. The average precision for peak concentrations decreased from 11.2 to 4.1 mg/liter. Based on an average peak of 30 mg/liter, this translates into an average error of 40% compared to just 14% when the population PK model is used. Standard dosages of VAN for pediatric patients have already been shown to systematically underdose patients (14). This may have serious consequences since the time until eradication of infection and the duration of hospitalization may both be prolonged, two situations associated with emergence of drug resistance (5). Predicting VAN serum concentrations with more accuracy may enable clinicians to optimize treatment of their infected patients while minimizing the number of samples to be drawn. This would need to be verified in another study. The importance of keeping blood drawings to a minimum in pediatric patients cannot be overemphasized, since they affect the patient's quality of life and the overall cost of therapy. One interesting finding of this study is that the predictive value of the population PK model was not significantly different with the addition of previously observed VAN serum concentrations. This may indicate that the proposed PK model is well adapted to our pediatric population, but other factors may explain this phenomenon.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Bias (mg/liter)</th>
<th>Precision (mg/liter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Previously used nomogram</td>
<td>$-11.4 (\pm 2.38)$</td>
<td>$11.15 (\pm 2.38)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population PK model (no prior VAN serum concns were used)</td>
<td>$-0.058 (\pm 2.15)$</td>
<td>$4.1 (\pm 1.41)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population PK model (VAN serum concns were used as prior information)</td>
<td>$-0.66 (\pm 2.67)$</td>
<td>$4.41 (\pm 1.82)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"The absence of bias in the model was demonstrated using the Wilcoxon signed rank test."
In conclusion, we have developed a population PK model for VAN in pediatric patients. PK parameters have been described, and the population PK model was validated in a naive population. The predictive ability of this model was significantly ($P < 0.05$) superior to that of the conventional method previously used in our institution. We propose the use of this population PK model to optimize VAN clinical therapies in our institution and others with similar patient population characteristics.
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