Search for New Drugs for Treatment of Tuberculosis

TUBERCULOSIS DRUG SCREENING PROGRAM*

The purpose of this brief commentary is to describe the growing effort to discover new drugs that can be used to treat disease caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. In this regard, it is estimated that over 8 million people contract tuberculosis each year, and approximately 2 to 3 million people die of this disease (6). In addition, it is thought that as many as 2 billion people have been exposed to the tuberculosis bacillus and are therefore at risk of developing active disease. In certain areas of the world, such as countries within the former Soviet Union, the case rates are climbing alarmingly. This problem is further compounded by a dramatic increase in multidrug-resistant strains of *M. tuberculosis* (10). An additional factor is human immunodeficiency virus, which has significantly increased the incidence of tuberculosis in sub-Saharan Africa and elsewhere (5).

While the long-term solution is a better vaccine (14), for the next several decades there will have to be continued reliance on chemotherapy. In this regard, however, there have been few additions to the existing “main-line” drugs for a considerable time. While there are some promising new agents, such as the longer-acting rifamycins, fluoroquinolones, oxazolidinones, and nitroimidazopyrants (1, 4, 7, 8, 11–13, 15, 17–19), there are as yet no extensive clinical trial data to determine the efficacy of these compounds.

Finding new drugs represents a challenge. Therapy currently takes a considerable time, and it is hoped that new compounds can be found that will reduce the total duration of treatment regimens. Drugs are also needed that will be effective against the growing number of drug-resistant strains and against bacilli that may be in a state of latency.

As part of the overall research effort at the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, the National Institutes of Health has established a screening program at several institutions to efficiently screen large numbers of compounds for possible activity against *M. tuberculosis*. An acquisitions program receives from both academic and commercial sources compounds which are initially screened for in vitro activity. To date, over 50,000 compounds have been provided to the program (Fig. 1).

Each compound is first screened for activity at 6.25 µg/ml (or the molar equivalent of the highest-molecular-weight compounds in a series of congeners) against cultures of *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv in BACTEC 12B medium using a microplate alamar blue assay (2). Compounds that are active in this assay are reconfirmed using a BACTEC 460 radiometric system.

In the next stage of the procedure, the MIC of the compound is determined. In addition, the compound evaluated for cytotoxicity in cultures of Vero cells to determine a 50% inhibitory concentration (IC50). A selectivity index (SI) can then be calculated by dividing the IC50 by the MIC; if the SI is >10, the compound is then evaluated further.

The next stage of screening uses the bone marrow macrophage infection assay (16) to determine if the compound can inhibit the growth of *M. tuberculosis* in its intracellular environment. Cultures of bone marrow-derived macrophages are infected with *M. tuberculosis* and then cultured for 7 to 8 days in the presence of a range of concentrations of the test compound. The monolayers are then lysed and plated on 7H11 agar to determine the numbers of surviving bacteria. By plotting the bacterial load in each culture against the drug concentration, one can determine whether a compound is bacteriostatic or bactericidal under these relevant physiological conditions. The concentration of drug reducing the bacterial load by 1 log unit (90% reduction [EC90]) or by 2 log units (99% reduction [EC90]) is recorded as a numerical readout (Fig. 2).

Compounds that perform well in the macrophage infection assay are then tested in a mouse model if sufficient amounts of the compounds are available. After the maximum tolerated dose for a compound is determined, mice are exposed to a low-dose aerosol infection with *M. tuberculosis* (9). Twenty days later, as the bacterial load reaches its peak in control animals, the test compound is administered daily at appropriate dosages for 45 days. The route of administration is usually gavage, although subcutaneous or intraperitoneal injection can sometimes be given for a limited time.

At 15, 30, and 45 days of therapy, groups of five mice are removed and euthanatized. The lungs and spleen are homogenized and plated on agar to determine the bacterial load. A positive control group of mice is given isoniazid (25 mg/kg of body weight/day) for comparison. The bacterial load for each test group is then plotted against time and compared to the values for untreated control mice (Fig. 3). Under these conditions, a reduction of 0.7 log unit or greater is considered statistically significant, as determined by analysis of variance.
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FIG. 1. Progress of the in vitro tuberculosis drug screening program. Level 1 testing determines the percent inhibition (PI) for compounds; drugs having a PI of >90 advance to level 2. An initial cutoff of 12.5 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \) was amended to 6.25 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \) to reduce the number of compounds that could be screened further. Level 2 compounds are then further selected on the basis of the SI (SI equals IC_50/MIC) for further testing in the macrophage infection and mouse therapy assays.

FIG. 2. Growth of \( M. \text{tuberculosis} \) in macrophages treated with test compounds in the macrophage infection assay. Cells are infected and cultured in the presence of a range of doses of the test compound. One week later, the monolayers are lysed and the numbers of surviving bacteria are determined by plating (squares). This data are then plotted against the drug concentration to determine whether the compound is bactericidal or bacteriostatic. In this example, EC_{99} values were determined as the control CFU value (4.0) minus 2 log units (2.0), plotted against a simple curve of inhibition, which provides the information that 1.5 \( \mu \text{g} \) of drug per ml clears 99% of the bacterial load.
Compounds that provide this level of reduction are defined as active in this in vivo model.

Additional in vivo models are under development in this program. These include use of gamma interferon gene knockout mice, in which the bacterial load in the lungs cannot be prevented from reaching very high levels (3). In current evaluation studies, these mice are given a short course of treatment for 10 days to determine if such treatment is sufficient to detect positive drug activity and thus can be used as a new model with a much shorter duration than the standard assay.

To date, 11% of the compounds tested (5,251 compounds), have been highly active in vitro and 453 have an SI of $>10$. Of these, 340 have undergone evaluations in the macrophage infection model, and 53 have been tested in vivo. Of these, nine, from various compound classes, have been found to significantly reduce the bacterial load in the lungs of infected mice. While these results are encouraging, it is clear that much more work needs to be done, including the acquisition and testing of many more test compounds if the ultimate goal is to be achieved. Institutions or organizations possessing compounds that may have antimycobacterial properties are encouraged to visit www.taacf.org.
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