Antimalarial Activity of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Protease Inhibitors
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Aspartic proteases play key roles in the biology of malaria parasites and human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1). We tested the activity of seven HIV-1 protease inhibitors against cultured Plasmodium falciparum. All compounds inhibited the development of parasites at pharmacologically relevant concentrations. The most potent compound, lopinavir, was active against parasites (50% inhibitory concentration [IC50], 0.9 to 2.1 μM) at concentrations well below those achieved by ritonavir-boosted lopinavir therapy. Lopinavir also inhibited the P. falciparum aspartic protease plasmepsin II at a similar concentration (IC50 2.7 μM). These findings suggest that use of HIV-1 protease inhibitors may offer clinically relevant antimalarial activity.

The human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) pandemic has emerged in many regions of the developing world already suffering from the burden of malaria (5). In developed countries, HIV-1 protease inhibitors have dramatically improved the outcome of HIV disease. The target of these inhibitors is the HIV-1 protease, a member of the aspartic protease family (6). Plasmodium falciparum, the most virulent human malaria parasite, expresses a number of aspartic proteases, known as plasmepsins (2). Recent studies suggest that three HIV-1 protease inhibitors, saquinavir, ritonavir, and indinavir, inhibit the growth of Plasmodium falciparum parasites in vitro at clinically relevant concentrations (13). In addition, evidence suggests that HIV-1 protease inhibitors may protect against malaria through the inhibition of CD36-mediated cytoadherence of P. falciparum-infected erythrocytes (9).

We hypothesized that HIV-1 aspartic protease inhibitors exert antimalarial activity by acting against plasmepsins. To test this hypothesis, we investigated the effects of seven available HIV-1 protease inhibitors on the in vitro development of cultured malaria parasites and on the P. falciparum aspartic protease plasmepsin II.

To evaluate the antiparasitic effects of HIV-1 protease inhibitors, we incubated cultured parasites with multiple concentrations of seven inhibitors. P. falciparum parasites were cultured with human erythrocytes (2% hematocrit) in RPMI medium and 10% human serum (11). Four laboratory strains of P. falciparum (acquired from the Malaria Research and Reference Reagent Center) with a wide range of sensitivities to standard antimalarial drugs were studied (12). Parasites were synchronized by serial treatments with 5% D-sorbitol to standard antimalarial drugs were studied (12). Parasites were incubated with the same concentration of DMSO, as previously described (11, 12). Fifty percent inhibitory concentrations (IC50) were calculated by nonlinear regression with the Prism 3.0 program (GraphPad Software). All tested HIV-1 protease inhibitors demonstrated antimalarial activity at low micromolar concentrations (Table 1). Results were similar for all four tested P. falciparum strains. Calculated IC50 was higher than those previously reported for the HIV-1 protease inhibitors saquinavir, ritonavir, and indinavir (13), probably due to differences in assay methods, but nonetheless all tested compounds exerted antimalarial activity at concentrations near those achievable in the bloodstream with standard dosing. Importantly, combination regimens that take advantage of the boosting of levels of other protease inhibitors by the strong cytochrome P450 inhibitor ritonavir are increasingly advocated for standard antiretroviral therapy (8). In this regard, it is of interest that the most potent antimalarial protease inhibitor was lopinavir, which demonstrated an IC50 nearly 10-fold below the trough blood concentration achieved with standard...
dosing of a lopinavir/ritonavir combination (Fig. 1B). Ritonavir also demonstrated potent antimalarial activity at levels achievable with high dosages (600 mg twice daily [b.i.d.]), and at lower dosage (100 mg b.i.d.) it boosted the levels of several coadministered protease inhibitors to concentrations at which antimalarial activity was seen (Table 1). Caution should be exercised, however, as ritonavir’s potent inhibition of cytochrome P450 may lead to complex drug interactions in coinfected patients (4).

The predicted antimalarial mechanism of action of HIV-1 protease inhibitors is the inhibition of plasmepsins. The *P. falciparum* genome predicts the existence of 10 plasmepsins. The best characterized is plasmepsin II, an acidic food vacuole enzyme that appears to play a role in the initial hydrolysis of hemoglobin by intraerythrocytic malaria parasites (2). To determine if HIV-1 protease inhibitors also inhibit the *P. falciparum* protease, the effects of lopinavir and ritonavir on the hydrolysis of a hemoglobin-based peptide substrate by recombinant plasmepsin II were assessed. Plasmepsin II was expressed, purified, and studied as described previously, with the exception that proplasmepsin II was preactivated for 60 min at 37°C, pH 5.2, and inhibitors were preincubated with enzyme for 30 min prior to addition of 0.5 μM substrate (2). Hydrolysis was recorded as the increase in fluorescence over 10 minutes using a Molecular Devices FlexStation II fluorometer. Both tested protease inhibitors inhibited plasmepsin II at concentrations (IC$_{50}$, 2.7 μM for lopinavir and 3.1 μM for ritonavir) near those that were inhibitory for cultured malaria parasites. However, it remains unclear if the protease inhibitors achieve adequate intracellular concentrations to inhibit plasmepsin II, and additional studies will be needed to fully characterize their specific enzymatic targets.

Antiretroviral therapy is increasingly available to HIV-infected individuals in malaria-endemic regions. Currently, nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)-based regimens are preferred because of cost, simplicity of dosing, modest storage requirements, and availability of coformulated preparations (15). However, protease inhibitors are advocated in some regions where the HIV type is insensitive to NNRTIs and for the treatment of viruses that are resistant to other classes of drugs. The use of protease inhibitors will likely increase as NNRTI resistance rises and as protease inhibitor regimens are simplified (15).

Our results support the intriguing possibility that HIV-infected individuals receiving protease inhibitor therapy may also benefit from an antimalarial effect due to inhibition of plasmepsins. In vitro, lopinavir demonstrated potent activity against *P. falciparum* at concentrations well below those achievable with standard dosing of a ritonavir-boosted lopina-

### TABLE 1. Activity of HIV-1 protease inhibitors against cultured *P. falciparum*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ (μM) for:</th>
<th>Serum concn (μM) with:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HB3</td>
<td>D6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Standard dosing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saquinavir$^a$</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ritonavir$^b$</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indinavir$^c$</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>15.6 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelfinavir$^d$</td>
<td>15.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>23.0 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amprenavir$^e$</td>
<td>51.9 ± 22.4</td>
<td>25.0 ± 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopinavir$^f$</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atazanavir$^g$</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>11.6 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Based on saquinavir 1,200 mg three times daily (as free base) in HIV-infected individuals and coadministered saquinavir soft gel capsule 1,000 mg/ritonavir 100 mg b.i.d. in HIV-infected individuals (Roche prescribing information) (14).

$^b$ Based on ritonavir 600 mg b.i.d. in healthy and HIV-infected individuals (Abbott prescribing information).

$^c$ Based on indinavir sulfate 800 mg every 8 h and coadministered indinavir 800 mg/ritonavir 100 mg b.i.d. in healthy individuals with low-fat meal (3).

$^d$ Based on nelfinavir mesylate 1,250 mg b.i.d. in HIV-infected individuals; C$_{min}$ was determined prior to morning dosing (Agouron prescribing information).

$^e$ Based on amprenavir 1,200 mg b.i.d. in healthy individuals and coadministered amprenavir 600 mg/ritonavir 100 mg b.i.d. in HIV-infected individuals (Glaxo-SmithKline prescribing information) (7).

$^f$ Based on lopinavir 400 mg/ritonavir 100 mg b.i.d. in HIV-infected individuals (Abbott prescribing information).

$^g$ Based on atazanavir sulfate 400 mg once a day (q.d.) in HIV-infected subjects and coadministered atazanavir 300 mg/ritonavir 100 mg q.d. (Bristol-Myers Squibb prescribing information); serum concentrations are given as geometric means of atazanavir, as free base.

IC$_{50}$ data are means ± standard deviations from four experiments. Serum concentrations are from published information. C$_{max}$ and C$_{min}$ are the mean maximum and minimum serum levels achieved under standard dosing intervals, respectively. Ritonavir-boosted serum concentrations are those achieved with coadministration with ritonavir, as indicated in the other footnotes. NA, not applicable, as these formulations are not used clinically.
vir regimen. Due to concerns regarding cost, toxicity, and potential selection of resistant viruses, it is unlikely that currently available HIV-1 protease inhibitors will gain roles as standard treatments for malaria. Nonetheless, it seems likely that, for select protease inhibitors, the concentrations achieved during chronic antiretroviral therapy will offer some protection against malaria. If standard regimens for HIV-1 offer chemoprophylaxis against malaria, particularly in children, in whom the burden of malaria is greatest, the clinical consequences of this effect will be great. However, it is unclear if in vitro results showing antimalarial activity of HIV-1 protease inhibitors predict clinical efficacy. Therefore, clinical trials to test the hypothesis that HIV-1 protease inhibitors confer protection against malaria are urgently needed.
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