Potencies of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Protease Inhibitors In Vitro against Plasmodium falciparum and In Vivo against Murine Malaria
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Parasite resistance to antimalarial drugs is a serious threat to human health, and novel agents that act on enzymes essential for parasite metabolism, such as proteases, are attractive targets for drug development. Recent studies have shown that clinically utilized human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) protease inhibitors can inhibit the in vitro growth of Plasmodium falciparum at or below concentrations found in human plasma after oral drug administration. The most potent in vitro antimalarial effects have been obtained for parasites treated with saquinavir, ritonavir, or lopinavir, findings confirmed in this study for a genetically distinct P. falciparum line (3D7). To investigate the potential in vivo activity of antiretroviral protease inhibitors (ARPIs) against malaria, we examined the effect of ARPI combinations in a murine model of malaria. In mice infected with Plasmodium chabaudi AS and treated orally with ritonavir-saquinavir or ritonavir-lopinavir, a delay in patency and a significant attenuation of parasitemia were observed. Using modeling and ligand docking studies we examined putative ligand binding sites of ARPIs in aspartyl proteases of P. falciparum (plasmepsins II and IV) and P. chabaudi (plasmepsin) and found that these in silico analyses support the antimalarial activity hypothesized to be mediated through inhibition of these enzymes. In addition, in vitro enzyme assays demonstrated that P. falciparum plasmepsins II and IV are both inhibited by the ARPIs saquinavir, ritonavir, and lopinavir. The combined results suggest that ARPIs have useful antimalarial activity that may be especially relevant in geographical regions where HIV and P. falciparum infections are both endemic.

Malaria is a major cause of morbidity and mortality, infecting 300 to 500 million and killing an estimated 2 million people annually (60). Three approaches to control the most important malaria parasite, Plasmodium falciparum, are vaccine development, vector control, and chemotherapy. An effective vaccine has yet to be developed and must await a better understanding of the targets for protective immunity, parasite antigenic variation, and the critical immune effector mechanisms. Vector control through insecticide spraying programs has eradicated the parasite from southern Europe, North America, and Australia and has reduced transmission elsewhere. However, vector ecology and rising insecticide resistance limit the effectiveness of this approach. Since its discovery in the 1940s, the 4-aminoquinoline drug chloroquine has been the most widely used antimalarial drug. However, the development of drug resistance has dramatically reduced its effectiveness in most regions where malaria is endemic (34), and resistance to other antimalarials is also developing.

The recent completion of the P. falciparum genome sequence is now enabling the identification of novel drug targets, and the increasing power of structure-based medicinal chemistry is facilitating rational drug design for as-yet-unexplored parasite targets (58). One example of successful rational structure-based drug design is the clinical development of antiretroviral drugs that block the action of the aspartyl protease of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) (1, 59). Two such antiretroviral protease inhibitors (ARPIs) have been reported to reduce in vitro cytoadherence of P. falciparum-infected erythrocytes to drug-exposed endothelial cells (37), a process linked in vivo with parasite virulence. More recently, we and others have reported that ARPIs have antiparasitic activity against P. falciparum in vitro (40, 51). We now report the in vivo activity of ARPI combinations at clinically relevant concentrations in a rodent model of malaria, model putative binding of these compounds in the enzyme active sites, and show in vitro inhibition of three of these inhibitors against two recombinant P. falciparum aspartic proteases, plasmepsin II (PM-II) and PM-IV.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

P. falciparum growth in vitro. P. falciparum clone Dd2 and 3D7 parasites were cultured in blood group O+ human erythrocytes and serum (55). Cultures were maintained in a synchronous state by sorbitol treatment (30). In vitro growth
inhibition of ring-stage-parasitized erythrocytes starting at 0.25% parasitemia and 2.5% hematoцит was determined by [H]hypoxanthine incorporation using standard methods (2, 51). Ritonavir gel capsule formulation (Norvir; Abbott) was prepared as a 20 nM stock in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). The gel capsule coformulation of ritonavir and lopinavir (Kalera; Abbott) was prepared as a 20 mM stock based on the ritonavir concentration (92 mM lopinavir). Chloroquine (chloroquine phosphate salt; Sigma) was prepared as a 10 mM stock in phosphate-buffered saline and included in each assay as a control. In all assays, the concentrations of DMSO and phosphate-buffered saline were maintained at 0.5%, concentrations that did not affect growth of control cultures (data not shown). The concentration of drug that inhibited parasite growth by 50% (EC50) was determined by linear interpolation of inhibition curves (26).

P. falciparum blood-stage development and hemoglobin digestion. To determine the in vitro effects of drugs on P. falciparum-parasitized erythrocytes, Dd2 ring-stage-parasitized erythrocytes were incubated for 20 h with 10 μM E64, pepstatin A, ritonavir, saquinavir (Fortivace; Roche), or atazanavir (Reyataz; Bristol-Myers Squibb). In parallel controls, parasites were treated with an equivalent concentration of drug solvent (DMSO) or 1 μM chloroquine. Drug stocks were prepared as 20 mM solutions in DMSO (final concentration of DMSO in test was 0.1%). After 20 h of growth in vitro, the morphology of drug-treated parasites was compared to that of controls by microscopic examination of Giemsa-stained thin blood smears. To determine the effect on hemoglobin digestion, parasites were processed as previously described (44) and extracts were electrophoresed via 15% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, parasites were processed as previously described (44) and extracts were electrophoresed via 15% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (5 x 10⁵ parasites per lane), followed by staining with Coomassie blue.

Determination of drug concentrations in the plasma of mice. Drugs were prepared from gel capsule formulations of saquinavir, ritonavir, and lopinavir-ritonavir coformulation and from a suspension that resembles ritonavir vehicle (Cremophor EL [65 mg/ml; Sigma], propylene glycol [0.156 mg/ml], peppermint oil [2.18 mg/ml], water-free citric acid [1.75 mg/ml], and 26.87% ethanol) (27). Groups of three 8-week-old female C57BL/6J mice each received a single 100-μl oral gavage in a dose ranging from 5 to 50 μg/kg body weight (average body weight, ~20 g). After 4 hours (29), mice were euthanized by CO2 exposure and blood was collected by cardiac puncture. Plasma samples were stored at ~20°C and transported overnight to be before analysis. Lopinavir and ritonavir plasma concentrations were quantitated by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) adapted from a previously reported method (41). Plasma (0.5 ml) and standards were extracted with 5.0 ml 1-chlorobutane. After mixing and centrifugation the organic solvent was evaporated and the residue was resuspended in mobile phase (0.5 ml acetonitrile:10 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0:50:50). The mobile phase was washed twice with 3 ml 99% hexane, and 50 μl was injected onto a phenyl hexyl HPLC column (250 x 4.6 mm; 5 μm) with UV detection at 205 nm. The calibration standards ranged from 50 to 10,000 μg/liter (r = 0.999), and the lower limit of detection was 25 μg/liter. Precision was better than 6% relative standard deviation, and accuracy was within 4% of the expected values for the assay.

Saquinavir plasma concentrations were quantitated by HPLC. Plasma (1.0 ml) and standards were alkalized with 500 μl of 0.5 M sodium hydroxide and extracted with 7.0 ml diethyl ether (analytical reagent grade; Lab-Scan). After mixing and centrifugation and transfer to a new tube, the organic solvent was extracted with 7.0 ml diethyl ether (analytical reagent grade; Lab-Scan). After mixing and centrifugation the organic solvent was evaporated and the residue was resuspended in mobile phase (0.5 ml acetonitrile:10 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0:50:50). The mobile phase was washed twice with 3 ml 99% hexane, and 50 μl was injected onto a phenyl hexyl HPLC column (250 x 4.6 mm; 5 μm) with UV detection at 205 nm. The calibration standards ranged from 50 to 10,000 μg/liter (r = 0.999), and the lower limit of detection was 25 μg/liter. Precision was better than 6% relative standard deviation, and accuracy was within 11% of the expected values for the assay.

In vivo antimalarial studies. The in vivo antimalarial activity of ARPs was determined using the nonlethal murine malaria model of Plasmodium chabaudi as (52) in 8-week-old C57BL/6J female mice. Mice were housed in a reverse light cycle cabinet (daylight, 10 p.m. to 10 a.m.), to ensure drug exposure during the light cycle. Groups of six mice (average weight, ~20 g) were infected intravenously in the tail vein with 10³ parasitized erythrocytes from an infected donor mouse. Drugs were prepared from gel capsule formulations, as described in the previous section. Mice received drug in a 100-μl oral solution twice a day for 8 days, beginning 24 h postinfection (p.i.). Control groups received an equivalent volume of vehicle alone twice a day for 8 days, beginning 24 h p.i., or atovaquone-proguanil (Malarone; GlaxoSmithKline) at 0.2 mg/0.08 mg in 100 μl water orally once daily for 4 days (16), beginning 24 h p.i. Parasitemia was monitored from day 4 p.i. by daily microscopic examination of Giemsa-stained thin blood smears. Statistical analysis for differences in peak parasitemia between treatment groups was undertaken using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U rank test. Animals were purchased from the Animal Resources Centre, Wollongong, Western Australia, Australia, and were housed in the Queensland Institute of Medical Research (QIMR) animal facility under specific-pathogen-free conditions. Ethical approval for all animal work was obtained from the QIMR Animal Ethics Committee using protocols complying with guidelines set out by the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia Animal Code of Practice.

Modeling studies. The sequence of P. chabaudi plasmepsin (PcPM) was obtained from the SwissProt database, ID Q95VS5. Structural coordinates of P. falciparum PM-II (PfPM-II) and PfPM-IV were obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) database. Protein structures IIF (PfPM-II) and IIS (PfPM-IV) were used for docking studies. A homology model of PcPM and PfPM-I was developed using the modeler7v7 program and PfPM-II (1sme) as the template and further optimized in InsightII. PM proteins were compared by sequence and structural alignments using InsightII. Coordinates for amprenavir (1hpv), nelfinavir (1ohr), saquinavir (1hxb), ritonavir (1hxw), indinavir (1hsy), lopinavir (1mu], BR314 (1d4k), and BR124 (1d4l) bound to HIV type 1 (HIV-1) protease were obtained from the PDB. Pepstatin A coordinates were obtained from the complex with PfPM-II (1sme).

Docking studies were performed using Gold v2.1 on inhibitors containing statine and hydroxymethylene isosteres to gain insight into possible interactions of these inhibitors with PfPM-II and PfPM-IV. Docking simulations were performed on known ligand-enzyme crystal structures (PDB, IIF and IIS) to optimize structural constraints that generated the best docking ligand-enzyme models, as deduced through comparison between modeled ligand structures and protein-bound ligand-PM crystal structures. The optimal docking protocol was...
In vitro antimalarial activity. The in vitro antimalarial activities of nine structurally different HIV-1 protease inhibitors have been compared herein (Fig. 1; Table 1), seven of which are used clinically as antiretroviral drugs. As we have previously shown for the multidrug-resistant *P. falciparum* line Dd2 (51), the drug-sensitive line 3D7 is also sensitive to saquinavir and ritonavir, with an EC\textsubscript{50} similar to that obtained with Dd2 (Table 1). Both Dd2 and 3D7 displayed in vitro EC\textsubscript{50} values for atazanavir within clinically achievable drug plasma concentrations in humans (Table 1), while lopinavir displayed antimalarial activity well below achievable human plasma concentrations for both parasite lines (Table 1). For the coformulation of lopinavir and ritonavir, the EC\textsubscript{50} with respect to the ritonavir component was the same as that obtained for ritonavir alone, whereas the EC\textsubscript{50} of lopinavir, with respect to ritonavir, was approximately fourfold higher. Two other structurally quite distinct HIV-1 protease inhibitors, BR124 and BR314, which showed potent antiretroviral activity in vitro (56), were also found to inhibit the growth of *P. falciparum* in vitro at comparable concentrations (Table 1).

**P. falciparum** development and hemoglobin digestion. To gain a better understanding of the effect of HIV aspartyl protease inhibitors on the development of *P. falciparum*, we examined the morphology and hemoglobin digestion pattern of ring-stage parasites treated for 20 h with 10 \( \mu \)M saquinavir, ritonavir, atazanavir, E46, or pepstatin A at 1 \( \mu \)M chloroquine. While control parasites treated with DMSO all matured to the trophozoite stage and contained regular arrays of hemozoin

### TABLE 1. In vitro efficacy of antiretroviral drugs against *P. falciparum*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>EC\textsubscript{50}</th>
<th>EC\textsubscript{90}</th>
<th>EC\textsubscript{50}</th>
<th>EC\textsubscript{90}</th>
<th>EC\textsubscript{50}</th>
<th>EC\textsubscript{90}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Dd2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3D7</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anti-HIV activity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>HIV-1 protease inhibition</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(EC\textsubscript{50} [nM])</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>(K\textsubscript{i} [nM])</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human cathepsin D IC\textsubscript{50} (nM)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Log P</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Concn in human plasma (C\textsubscript{\textsubscript{min-max}} [\mu M])</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saquinavir\textsuperscript{d}</td>
<td>0.6 (0.2)\textsuperscript{m}</td>
<td>2.7 (1.2)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.4)</td>
<td>3.0 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1.4 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ritonavir\textsuperscript{e}</td>
<td>0.8 (0.4)\textsuperscript{m}</td>
<td>6.3 (4.7)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>6.7 (3.0)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>4.2 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indinavir\textsuperscript{f}</td>
<td>2.4 (1.4)\textsuperscript{m}</td>
<td>&gt;7</td>
<td>ND\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>2.9 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopinavir\textsuperscript{g}</td>
<td>1.0 (1.3)</td>
<td>2.5 (2.9)</td>
<td>3.3 (0.6)</td>
<td>4.5 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaletra\textsuperscript{h}</td>
<td>Ritonavir</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.8)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaletra\textsuperscript{h}</td>
<td>Lopinavir</td>
<td>3.5 (2.0)</td>
<td>5.6 (4.3)</td>
<td>6.9 (5.2)</td>
<td>10.3 (6.7)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atazanavir\textsuperscript{i}</td>
<td>2.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>6.5 (0.7)</td>
<td>5.3 (1.1)</td>
<td>9.0 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>2.7 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR124\textsuperscript{j}</td>
<td>3.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.7 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR314\textsuperscript{j}</td>
<td>3.7 (0.1)</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.6 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amprenavir\textsuperscript{k}</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>2.6 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelfinavir\textsuperscript{l}</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>3.3 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}This work.
\textsuperscript{b}Log P is predicted octanol solubility over water solubility and was calculated using the PrologD module within the computer program “Pallas for Windows version 2.1” (CompuDrug International Inc.). Log P 2 to 5 is considered necessary for good cell permeability (32).
\textsuperscript{c}Human plasma C\textsubscript{max}–C\textsubscript{minmax} data from Mims Annual 2004 (8, 25).
\textsuperscript{d}Reference 42. Saquinavir free base (Fortovase), 1,200 mg three times daily, or saquinavir mesylate (Invirase), 600 mg three times daily.
\textsuperscript{e}Reference 28. Ritonavir (Norvir), 600 mg twice daily.
\textsuperscript{f}Reference 49. EC\textsubscript{50} relative to ritonavir concentration in drug formulation.
\textsuperscript{g}Reference 57. Indinavir (Crixivan), 800 mg three times daily.
\textsuperscript{h}Kaletra = lopinavir, 400 mg, together with ritonavir, 100 mg, twice daily, all with food.
\textsuperscript{i}Reference 43. Atazanavir (Reyataz), 400 mg once daily.
\textsuperscript{j}Reference 56.
\textsuperscript{k}Amprenavir (Agenerase), 1,200 mg twice daily.
\textsuperscript{l}Nelfinavir (Viracept), 750 mg three times daily.
\textsuperscript{m}Reference 51.
results of hemoglobin digestion experiments where a significant vacuolization of dying parasites, particularly given the vacuole, these effects cannot be clearly distinguished from non-vacuolized cells. It appears that these drugs cause enlargement of the digestive vacuole and from parasites treated with E64 and pepstatin. Although it is clearly distinct from that of DMSO-treated control parasites (Fig. 2A). E64-treated parasites in particular showed food vacuole swelling, as has been previously reported (5, 44). Consistent with previous findings (44), E64 inhibited hemoglobin digestion by chloroquine (CQ; partial) and E64, uninfected red blood cells.

(Fig. 2A), parasites treated with chloroquine appeared stunted and morphologically abnormal. As expected (44), hemoglobin digestion was inhibited in chloroquine-treated parasites (Fig. 2B). Parasites treated with the cysteine protease inhibitor E64 or the aspartic protease inhibitor pepstatin A showed morphologies altered and distinct both from each other and from control parasites (Fig. 2A). E64-treated parasites in particular showed food vacuole swelling, as has been previously reported (5, 44). Consistent with previous findings (44), E64 inhibited hemoglobin digestion in in vitro-cultured parasites (Fig. 2B) while the hemoglobin digestion profile for pepstatin A-treated parasites was similar to that for the DMSO control (Fig. 2B). The morphology of ritonavir- and atazanavir-treated parasites is clearly distinct from that of DMSO-treated control parasites and from parasites treated with E64 and pepstatin. Although it appears that these drugs cause enlargement of the digestive vacuole, these effects cannot be clearly distinguished from non-specific vacuolization of dying parasites, particularly given the results of hemoglobin digestion experiments where a significant reduction in total protein content in parasitized red blood cells exposed to these drugs is apparent compared to DMSO-, chloroquine-, E64-, and pepstatin A-treated cultures.

While similar hemoglobin digestion profiles were obtained for both saquinavir and ritonavir-ritonavir (Fig. 2B), the effect of these two inhibitors on parasite morphology appeared to be different from that of either ritonavir or atazanavir. Treatment with 10 μM saquinavir for 20 h resulted in parasites that appeared pyknotic, a morphological appearance previously associated with exposure to aspartic proteinase inhibitors (5, 46). Ritonavir-ritonavir killed all parasites (not shown), an expected finding given that parasites treated with this combination were exposed to 10 μM ritonavir plus 46 μM lopinavir.

**Plasma concentrations of ARPIs in mice.** Prior to investigation of the in vivo activity of ARPIs in a murine model of malaria, the plasma concentrations of these drugs were measured in mice following the oral administration of different doses of saquinavir, ritonavir, a combination of saquinavir and ritonavir, or coformulated lopinavir-ritonavir (Table 2). Saquinavir was not detected in the plasma of mice after administration of a 5-mg/kg dose. However, when saquinavir was given at a dose of 10 mg/kg with the potent cytochrome P450 inhibitor ritonavir (5, 10, or 50 mg/kg), the plasma concentration of saquinavir exceeded the minimum target required for suppression of HIV-1 replication in humans (Table 1) (4).

When saquinavir was administered at 10 mg/kg with 50-mg/kg ritonavir, the plasma concentration of saquinavir was lower than that obtained when administered with 10 mg/kg ritonavir (Table 2; 1.3 versus 1.7 μM). A similar effect has been observed previously in rats and has been attributed to saturation of the first-pass metabolism of saquinavir by excess ritonavir (29). The plasma concentration of ritonavir increased in a dose-dependent manner when coadministered at 5, 10, and 50 mg/kg with 10-mg/kg saquinavir. However, higher ritonavir concentrations were observed when the drug was administered with less saquinavir (5-mg/kg saquinavir, 30-mg/kg ritonavir). Interestingly, when ritonavir was administered at doses of 10 mg/kg or below, either alone or in combination with lopinavir, no measurable ritonavir could be detected in the plasma of

---

**TABLE 2. Plasma concentrations of orally administered HIV-1 protease inhibitors in mice**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Plasma concn (μM)a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saquinavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saquinavir</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ritonavir</td>
<td>5/50</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/50</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saquinavir-ritonavir</td>
<td>5/50</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/50</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopinavir-ritonavir</td>
<td>5/2.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20/5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40/10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Ritonavir, saquinavir, and lopinavir concentrations were measured from the pooled plasma of three mice 4 hours after oral administration.

b Administered as lopinavir-ritonavir (Kaletra).
mice. In contrast, when ritonavir was administered at a dose of 10 mg/kg in combination with 10-mg/kg saquinavir, a ritonavir plasma concentration of 2.6 μM was observed. A dose-dependent increase in lopinavir concentration was observed in mice administered the lopinavir-ritonavir coformulation (Table 2).

Antimalarial activity in vivo. The in vivo activity of the HIV-1 aspartyl protease inhibitors saquinavir, ritonavir, ritonavir-saquinavir, and ritonavir-lopinavir was determined in a nonlethal murine malaria model. Female C57BL/6J mice were infected with 10⁵ P. chabaudi AS-parasitized erythrocytes, and the antiretroviral drugs, or vehicle control, were administered orally twice daily for 8 consecutive days starting 24 h p.i. with either (A) vehicle, (B) 10 mg/kg each ritonavir and saquinavir, (C) 10 mg/kg ritonavir and 40 mg/kg lopinavir, (D) 10 mg/kg ritonavir, or (E) 10 mg/kg saquinavir. The antiretroviral drug regimens most effective against P. chabaudi AS infection were combinations of ritonavir-saquinavir and ritonavir-lopinavir. Ritonavir-saquinavir, administered at 10-mg/kg doses, resulted in a reduction in the median peak parasitemia (Fig. 3B; median peak parasitemia of 2% compared to 20% in the vehicle control group; \( P < 0.01 \)), and a 2-day delay in the onset of parasitemia compared to the vehicle control group. Combined ritonavir (10 mg/kg) and lopinavir (40 mg/kg) (administered as Kaletra) resulted in a median peak parasitemia of 4% compared to the vehicle control group median of 20% (\( P < 0.004 \)), as well as a 2-day delay in the onset of parasitemia compared to the vehicle control group.

FIG. 3. In vivo efficacy of HIV-1 protease inhibitors against murine malaria. Female C57BL/6J mice infected with 10⁵ P. chabaudi AS-parasitized erythrocytes were treated orally, twice daily, for 8 consecutive days starting 24 h p.i. with either (A) vehicle, (B) 10 mg/kg each ritonavir and saquinavir, (C) 10 mg/kg ritonavir and 40 mg/kg lopinavir, (D) 10 mg/kg ritonavir, or (E) 10 mg/kg saquinavir. Parasitemia was monitored for 25 days from day 4 p.i. No parasitemia developed in Malarone-treated mice (not shown).
control group (Fig. 3A and C). Mice given ritonavir alone at a dose of 10 mg/kg (Fig. 3D) also showed a significant reduction in median peak parasitemia compared to the vehicle control group (9% compared to 20% in the vehicle control group; P = 0.037). The course of parasitemia in those mice administered saquinavir at a dose of 10 mg/kg did not differ from that observed in vehicle-treated controls (Fig. 3A and E).

**Comparison between active sites of *P. falciparum* and *P. chabaudi* plasmepsins.** Since the in vitro studies above were conducted using the human-infecting *P. falciparum* parasite while the in vivo studies were performed using the mouse-infecting *P. chabaudi* parasite, we compared the structures of their respective food vacuole aspartyl protease enzymes to determine whether there is likely to be a significant difference in drug binding between this rodent malaria digestive vacuole aspartyl protease enzymes and those of the human pathogen *P. falciparum*.

We found some important differences between the active sites of the crystal structure (1lf3) of *P. falciparum* PIPM-II and our homology model of *P. chabaudi* plasmepsin (PcPM) (Fig. 4). In PcPM-II the S1, S2, and S1’ subsites were generally smaller than their equivalent pockets in PcPM (Fig. 4). At S1, PcPM-II has a Phe residue at position 111, whereas in PcPM the equivalent amino acid is leucine. Similarly, PcPM-II has a Leu at residue 292 forming the S2 subsite, whereas PcPM has valine.

At S1’ PcPM-II has positioned a Phe (residue number 294), thus creating a smaller subsite than in PcPM, which has an Ile in the same location. In addition, the polarity of the S3 and S3’ subsites also differs between the two enzymes. At the S3’ subsite, Asn 76 in PcPM-II is substituted for a Val in PcPM-IV at the S3 pocket and the contributions of Met 75 and Asn 76 (PcPM-II) compared to Ser and Ile in PIPM-IV at S3’. The S2 and S1 subsites are also smaller in PcPM-II than in PIPM-IV. The difference in the S2 subsite is due to the substitution of Leu 292 in PIPM-II for Val in PIPM-IV, and the change in the S1 pocket is due to the substitution of Phe at residue 111 (PcPM-II) for Leu in PIPM-IV. Changes are also found in the S1’ subsite as the replacement of Phe 294 and Val 78 with Ile and Gly, respectively, in PIPM-IV results in this pocket being smaller in PIPM-II than in PIPM-IV. These variations suggest that PIPM-II has smaller subsites and is more limiting in its capacity to accommodate ligands with bulky substituents, and this can be seen experimentally using synthetic inhibitors (61). Ligand docking studies below have focused principally on the active sites of PIPM-II and PIPM-IV, for which there are crystal structures.

**Comparison between ligand conformations bound to plasmepsin II and those bound to HIV-1 protease.** Before docking HIV protease inhibitors into plasmepsins, we compared and analyzed known crystal structures of the HIV-1 protease in complex with the ligands in Table 1 and compared them with crystal structures of PIPM-II in complex with known ligands (Fig. 5). In each case there was high conservation of an extended beta-strand conformation for ligand backbones (RMSD, 0.78 Å and 0.39 Å, respectively) and highly conserved ligand side chain locations. This striking superimposition of these two sets of protease-bound ligand conformations (Fig. 5, bottom) reveals high similarity in the aspartyl protease binding of the ligands and supports the idea that HIV-1 protease ligands may have affinity for PIPM-II.

**Inhibition of PIPM-II and PIPM-IV enzymes.** To validate the hypothesis that plasmepsins may be targets of the ARPIs, three inhibitors (saquinavir, ritonavir, and lopinavir) were assayed against recombinant PIPM-II and PIPM-IV enzymes (Table 3). Only one compound (saquinavir) showed submicromolar inhibitory potency against PIPM-II and PIPM-IV. The other two compounds had low and similar IC₅₀ values, reflecting their similar structures. All of their IC₅₀ concentrations are below the levels achieved in individuals treated with these drugs for HIV infection (Table 1), suggesting that they would be active at the concentrations reached in vivo during antiretroviral therapy.

**Docking of HIV protease inhibitors in *P. falciparum* plasmepsins II and IV.** Initial validation of the ligand docking protocol was first performed (using the GOLD program) on the known PIPM-II inhibitor EH58 (PDB code 1lf3) into PIPM-II and peptatin A into PIPM-IV (PDB code 1kl5). Docking results were compared with the crystal structures and agreed best (PIPMM-II: RMSD, 0.63; PIPM-IV: RMSD, 0.73) when the modeling protocol incorporating all three (PIPMM-II) or two (PIPMM-IV) H-bond restraints was used.

Using this validated modeling protocol, the three HIV protease inhibitors for which we have plasmepsin inhibition data were docked into PIPM-II and PIPM-IV. Docked structures for saquinavir, ritonavir (Fig. 6), and lopinavir were analyzed for hydrogen bonding interactions, interaction energies, and hydrophobic contacts using Ludi. The structural results suggest that the hydrophobic and hydrogen bonding interactions be-
between the drugs and HIV-1 protease are also possible with PIPM-II and PIPM-IV.

EH58 had the highest predicted affinity for PIPM-II, far greater than for saquinavir, while ritonavir and lopinavir had about half the affinity of saquinavir. Lopinavir and ritonavir had predicted affinities of approximately 1 μM based on Ludi values of about 600 for PIPM-II. These predicted affinities are in reasonable agreement with experimentally determined inhibitory potencies from the enzyme assays. Both EH58 and saquinavir have much higher hydrogen bonding scores than ritonavir and lopinavir, the higher ranking for EH58 being attributed to a better hydrophobic fit. Both EH58 and saquinavir are distinguished from the other two inhibitors in having steric bulk at P3 and P1', which are complementary for the S3 and S1 pockets of PIPM-II.

For PIPM-IV, the single pepstatin A-bound crystal structure (1ls5) has larger active-site S2, S1, and S1'/H1 pockets than the corresponding pepstatin A-bound PIPM-II (1sme). The docking results for PIPM-IV (Table 3) show similar trends and rankings as for PIPM-II, with saquinavir having higher affinity than ritonavir or lopinavir. Pepstatin A ranked poorly due to small side chains at P4, P3, P2, and P1'/H1 not optimally filling the corresponding S4, S3, S2, and S1' pockets of PIPM-IV.

**DISCUSSION**

In this paper we have compared antimalarial activities of nine HIV-1 protease inhibitors (Table 1). All compounds are

![FIG. 5. Comparison of superimposed crystal structures of ligand-protease complexes (proteases not shown) for HIV-1 protease and *P. falciparum* plasmepsin II. (Top) Superimposition of crystal structures for HIV protease inhibitor complexes showing protease-bound ligand conformations: lopinavir (PDB code, 1mui), indinavir (1hsg), ritonavir (1haw), amprenavir (1hpv), saquinavir (1hxb), nelfinavir (1hpv), BR124 (1d4l), BR314 (1d4k). Overlay was performed using backbone atoms N, C1/H9, and C (or equivalent) from P2-P1'/H1 using the Search and Compare module within InsightII. (Middle) Superimposition of crystal structures for *P. falciparum* PM-II inhibitor complexes showing protease-bound conformations: pepstatin A (1me4), pepstatin A (1sme), statine-based ligand (1me6), rs367 (1lee), EH58 (1lf3). Overlay was performed using backbone atoms N, C1, and C (or equivalent) from P3-P1'/H1. (Bottom) Superimposition of above enzyme-bound HIV-1 protease inhibitors (black) on PIPM-II inhibitors (gray) using backbone atoms N, C1, and C (or equivalent) from P2-P1'/H1.

![FIG. 6. Connolly surface of active site of PIPM-II (1lf3) in complex with docked ritonavir showing enzyme subsites S3-S2'.](http://aac.asm.org/)

![TABLE 3. Enzyme inhibitory potencies and predicted relative enzyme affinities (Ludi) for HIV-1 protease inhibitors binding to PM-II and PM-IV](http://aac.asm.org/)
potent in vitro antiviral agents against HIV-infected human cells (EC_{50} < 100 nM) and exhibit reasonable cell permeability (log P 1.6 to 4.9) (Table 1). Seven of the compounds exhibited antimalarial activity between 0.5 and 4.0 μM, while two drugs (amprenavir and nevirapine) showed minimal activity even at 10 μM. The EC_{50} values obtained for the multidrug-resistant line Dd2 (reference 51 and this study) are lower than those recently reported (40); however, like the 3D7 EC_{50} values reported here, they are either within or below levels achieved in clinical use of these drugs (Table 1). The 90% effective concentration values obtained for saquinavir, ritonavir, and lopinavir are also within the target plasma range for these drugs in humans receiving antiretroviral therapy. This is important as subtherapeutic plasma levels of these drugs with respect to malaria may lead to the selection of drug-resistant parasites. Investigation of field isolates of *P. falciparum* from patients taking these protease inhibitors as part of their antiretroviral therapy should therefore be a priority in future studies. While further work will be required to determine drug availability within parasitized erythrocytes, another aspartyl protease inhibitor displaying potent antimalarial activity (the pentapeptide pepstatin A) has been shown to enter the parasite via a parasite-induced permeation pathway (47). Of those inhibitors that showed antimalarial activity in vitro, two were structurally quite different macrocyclic compounds (26) that are highly selective for HIV-1 protease over human aspartic proteases, three were older ARPIs (saquinavir, ritonavir, and indinavir) now in clinical use in humans for the treatment of HIV/AIDS, and two were newer ARPIs (lopinavir-ritonavir [Kaletra] and atazanavir) also in clinical use.

To investigate the potential clinical activity of ARPIs against malaria, three of the HIV-1 protease inhibitors (saquinavir, ritonavir, and lopinavir) were also evaluated in vivo for antimalarial efficacy in C57BL/6J mice infected with *P. chabaudi* AS, a nonlethal murine model of malaria. *P. chabaudi* parasites are known to have at least seven plasmspin aspartic proteases (35), one of which has similarity to the putative targets of HIV protease inhibitors in *P. falciparum*, the digestive vacuole plasmepsins. Ritonavir alone and combined with saquinavir or lopinavir significantly attenuated parasitemia, with the most active regimen being a combination of ritonavir and saquinavir or ritonavir and lopinavir (Fig. 3B and C). Whether this decrease in parasitemia is the result of a direct effect on parasite growth or an indirect effect resulting from stimulation of the hosts’ immune system remains to be determined. Saquinavir given alone was ineffective, an expected finding given its poor bioavailability when administered alone. Saquinavir is now generally administered along with ritonavir, a potent cytochrome P450 inhibitor (12), for so-called “boosting” to increase the bioavailability of saquinavir. This benefit was also reflected in the drug concentrations that we observed in the plasma of mice after oral administration of a single dose of saquinavir compared to when it was administered in combination with ritonavir (Table 2).

HIV-1 protease inhibitors also had significant effects on the morphology of *P. falciparum* parasites and their hemoglobin digestion. Treatment of ring-stage Dd2 parasites with 10 μM saquinavir resulted in pyknotic or dead parasites, an observation that has been made previously in parasites treated with *P. falciparum* aspartic protease inhibitors such as pepstatin (5) and SC-50083 (19). In contrast, after 20 h of treatment of ring-stage parasites with ritonavir or atazanavir (10 μM) a distinct alteration, namely, vacuolar enlargement, was observed, an appearance similar to that seen with the cysteine protease inhibitor E64 (Fig. 2). It is not yet known whether this is due to these drugs acting on digestive vacuole processes or nonspecific vacuolization of dying parasites. Although we cannot account for the differences in parasite morphology after treatment with saquinavir versus ritonavir or atazanavir, it may be that saquinavir exerts its effect more rapidly or perhaps acts on a different parasite target. Similar morphological effects have recently been observed for a geographically distinct drug-sensitive line (HB3) treated with saquinavir (40).

We, and others, have previously hypothesized that the target of ARPIs in the malaria parasite may be a family of *P. falciparum* aspartyl proteases called PMs (40, 48, 51). It has been reported that the PMs, together with cysteine proteinases (falcipains), are involved in hemoglobin degradation by the parasite, a process necessary for normal parasite growth and development. The amino acids liberated by hemoglobin degradation, which occurs in the parasite digestive vacuole, are incorporated into parasite proteins with the released toxic heme product polymerizing into inert, coordinated hematin dimers, or hemozoin (15, 39, 53). It has also been suggested that removal of hemoglobin provides space for the growing parasite within the red blood cell (20).

*P. falciparum* has 10 PMs, two of which (PfPM-I and PfPM-II) have been shown to be involved in the in vitro and in vivo initiation of hemoglobin degradation in blood stages (6, 11, 18, 21–23), along with two further digestive vacuole plasmepsins (histoaspartic proteinase [PfHAP] and PfPM-IV) that are also thought to be involved in hemoglobin degradation (6, 7, 14, 61). Only one of the seven plasmepsins from *P. chabaudi* murine malaria, believed to be the hemoglobin-degrading aspartic protease, appears to be equivalent to the *P. falciparum* digestive vacuole enzymes with sequence identities to PM-1 (48%), PM-2 (49%), and PM-4 (53%) (35). The enzymes involved in this metabolic pathway, including PMs, are recognized targets for new drug therapies (13, 45). However, given that individual digestive vacuole plasmepsins of *P. falciparum* are not essential for parasite survival (33, 38), it is unlikely that only a single *P. falciparum* plasmepsin is the target for ARPIs (50).

Several experimental PIs have been shown to inhibit recombinant plasmepsin activity and parasite growth, including pepstatin A (reviewed in reference 17). Other synthetic inhibitors, such as Ro40-5576, produce K_{i} values of 6 nM, 250 nM, and 15 nM against PfPM-I, PfPM-II, and PfPM-IV, respectively (61). Here we found that ritonavir, lopinavir, and saquinavir were considerably less potent inhibitors of both PfPM-II and PfPM-IV (Table 3) and also less potent than the nonspecific tightly binding plasmepsin inhibitor pepstatin A (PfPM-II and PfPM-IV K_{i} between 0.1 and 0.7 nM) (61). Our findings for PfPM-II are consistent with another study showing that ritonavir and lopinavir inhibit recombinant PfPM-II activity in vitro (ritonavir IC_{50}, 3.1 μM; lopinavir IC_{50}, 2.7 μM) (40).

As members of different families of the aspartic proteinasides (dimeric and monomeric), the HIV-1 protease and the plasmepsins share some similarities (54). Here we have performed extensive modeling experiments with two digestive vacuole *P. falciparum* plasmepsins and the equivalent *P. chabaudi* plas-
mepsin to assess possible binding of HIV protease inhibitors to plasmepsins involved in hemoglobin digestion by malaria parasites. The modeling studies indicate that the dimensions of the active site of P. falciparum PM-II are smaller and more limiting than either PIPM-IV or the P. chabaudi PM. This may explain our findings that the ARPI combination of lopinavir-ritonavir was more active in vivo than ritonavir alone against P. chabaudi, while no difference in activity of ritonavir versus lopinavir-ritonavir was observed in vitro against P. falciparum under the assay conditions used. Previous work where cysteine protease inhibitors were evaluated as drugs targeting degradation of hemoglobin in the digestive vacuole also suggests that structural differences in target enzymes between rodent and human malaria may be critical considerations when evaluating drug leads (31).

Our in silico experiments suggest that inhibitors of HIV-1 protease can fit the active sites of PIPM-II and PIPM-IV, adopting very similar ligand backbone conformations, while also positioning side chains in very similar locations. The active sites of PIPM-II and PIPM-IV appear sufficiently flexible and large enough to capture the HIV protease inhibitors investigated herein, as well as more flexible and smaller inhibitors like pepstatin A. It is therefore conceivable that their antimalarial activities are due at least in part to inhibition of PIPMs. While the HIV PIs do not fit as well as potent PM inhibitors such as EH58 (Fig. 1), the predicted binding is consistent with the observed micromolar activity in vitro against P. falciparum in cell culture. Both PIPM-II and PIPM-IV clearly have a great deal more space available at S3, S1, and S3′ than can be occupied by either the HIV protease inhibitors or most reported PM inhibitors. As well, PIPM-IV has a significantly larger S1′ subsite than PIPM-II. Compounds with much bulkier substituents would therefore be predicted to have higher affinities for PMs. Our in vitro antimalarial studies and measured versus predicted ligand affinities for PIPM-II and PIPM-IV support the possible involvement of plasmepsins in the action of these drugs.

The observed inhibitory activity of ARPIs against malaria parasites, P. falciparum in vitro and P. chabaudi in vivo, raises the prospect of their potential antimalarial benefit in HIV- and malaria-coinfected individuals. They have the advantage over new experimental antimalarial agents in development as they are already clinically accepted drugs that are widely prescribed for treatment of HIV/AIDS. Although they are expensive for people in the developing world, multinational agreements in recent years have provided much greater access to these drugs in regions where HIV and P. falciparum are both endemic. However, to better determine the antimalarial potential of these drugs, further studies are required, including clinical trials, parasite resistance studies, and pharmacodynamic investigations on interactions with existing antimalarial therapies.
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