In Vivo Validation of the Mutant Selection Window Hypothesis with Moxifloxacin in a Murine Model of Tuberculosis
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Combination therapy is the most effective strategy to prevent emergence of resistance during tuberculosis (TB) treatment. Another strategy, albeit theoretical, is to limit the time that drug concentrations fall in the “mutant selection window” (MSW) between the MIC and the mutant prevention concentration (MPC). Drug concentrations above the MPC prevent selective amplification of resistant mutants in vitro even with a single drug exposure. The MSW concept has been validated using fluoroquinolones against Mycobacterium tuberculosis in vitro but not in vivo. Using a mouse model in which serum moxifloxacin (MXF) concentrations were maintained above the MPC, we tested whether this strategy prevents selection of MXF-resistant mutants. Beginning 2 weeks after aerosol infection with M. tuberculosis, when the mean lung log_{10} CFU count was 7.9 ± 0.2, mice received either no treatment or MXF in the diet at 0.25% to approximate the conventional human dose or 1.5% to maintain serum concentrations above the MPC (8 μg/ml). After 56 days of treatment, lung CFU counts were 3.5 ± 0.8 and 0.9 ± 0.6 in 0.25% and 1.5% of the MXF-treated mice, respectively. In mice given 0.25% MXF, MXF-resistant mutants were selected by day 28 and detected in 16% (3/19) of mice tested on day 56. No selection of MXF-resistant mutants was detected in mice given 1.5% MXF. We conclude that maintaining serum concentrations of MXF above the MPC prevents selection of MXF-resistant mutants. Although this target cannot be achieved clinically with MXF, it might be possible with new fluoroquinolones with more potent activity and/or improved pharmacokinetics.

Proper provision of and adherence to recommended 6-month treatment regimens for tuberculosis (TB) remain unmet goals in many countries of the world, resulting in continued TB transmission, excess morbidity and mortality, and increasing incidence of drug resistance. The new threat of extensively drug-resistant TB (XDR-TB) is the latest consequence of the failure of health care systems around the world to properly diagnose and treat patients with TB (2, 6, 11, 16, 37).

Drug resistance emerges in Mycobacterium tuberculosis through selection of spontaneously preexisting drug-resistant mutants (16). In a wild-type bacillary population, such mutants are present at a predictable frequency of between 10^{-6} and 10^{-8} and are selectively amplified by monotherapy or inadequate combination therapy (16). The usual approach to prevent the emergence of drug resistance is to use combination therapy. This is effective due to the rule of independence of mutation, each drug being active on preexisting mutants resistant to other drugs (16). Another theoretical approach proposed by Karl Drlica and colleagues is to administer the drug at doses that produce blood concentrations that continuously exceed the resistance level of all spontaneous drug-resistant mutants and thereby prevent the selective amplification of any mutant population. The drug concentration capable of inhibiting all spontaneous mutants has been termed the “mutant prevention concentration” (MPC). It is defined experimentally as the lowest drug concentration that prevents the emergence of resistant mutants when a large number of organisms (up to 10^{10} bacilli) are exposed to the drug (10, 35). In addition, Drlica and colleagues posit that the selective amplification of spontaneous drug-resistant mutants from among the susceptible population is most pronounced at concentrations below the MPC yet above the MIC against the susceptible population, a concentration range that defines the “mutant selection window.” This concept has clinical relevance only for drugs to which the level of first-step mutational resistance is relatively low, as is the case for the fluoroquinolones (9), including moxifloxacin (MXF). Recent work has shown that maintaining concentrations outside the mutant selection window for all or part of the dosing interval prevents the selection of resistant mutants from M. tuberculosis in vitro (9, 15), but this concept has never been validated in vivo.

In a previous study, we demonstrated that the MPC of MXF against M. tuberculosis was between 4 and 8 μg/ml and that treatment of infected mice with MXF mixed in the diet at concentrations ranging from 0.125 to 1% resulted in the selection of drug-resistant mutants (13). Although the latter concentration produced serum MXF concentrations above the MPC (8 μg/ml), we were unable to maintain such concentrations throughout the dosing interval. Therefore, the objectives of the present study were, first, to establish a dosage strategy in which serum MXF concentrations were consistently maintained well above 8 μg/ml and, second, to test whether such a strategy would prevent the selection of MXF-resistant mutants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Dosing strategy to maintain serum MXF concentrations above 8 μg/ml. MXF powder was graciously provided by Bayer (Leverkusen, Germany). Its half-life in mice is approximately 1 to 2 h (21, 24). In order to maintain relatively constant...
sacrificed for CFU counts at completion of treatment. Because of the prospect of the positive control group (0.25% MXF) and four mice from the test group were day 53 to provide for a 3-day washout period. On day 56, all remaining mice from the diet in disease were necessary during the first 48 h when mice were not yet accustomed to the MXF-containing diet as a loading dose to establish concentrations ≥8 μg/ml as quickly as possible. The final dosing regimen (test regimen) consisted of administration of 2% MXF in the diet, 0.3% (wt/vol) MXF in the water (mixed with the sweetener Aspar- tame), and 75 mg/kg of body weight MXF twice daily by gavage for the first 24 h. The same regimen was continued in the second 24 h, except that the MXF concentration in drinking water was reduced to 0.15%. For the third day of treatment, dosing in the water and by gavage was discontinued and the diet concentration was reduced to 1.5%, the concentration maintained to the end of treatment. Details of the dosing regimen are given in Table 1.

Assessment of serum MXF concentrations. To demonstrate that the desired MXF concentrations were achieved and maintained in both groups, serum was collected undiluted in duplicate on 7H11 plates containing MXF at concentrations of 0.5, 2, and 8 μg/ml as quickly as possible. Serum MXF concentrations were determined using a validated assay on a ThermoFinnigan P4000 Analyser, National Jewish Medical and Research Center, Denver, CO. MXF concentrations were achieved and maintained in both groups, serum was then collected undiluted in duplicate on 7H11 plates containing MXF at concentrations of 0.5, 2, and 8 μg/ml.

Assessment of treatment efficacy. To demonstrate that the desired MXF concentrations were achieved and maintained in both groups, serum was sampled at predetermined time points throughout the course of treatment. Three untreated mice per treatment group were sacrificed at 9 a.m. and at 5 p.m. on days 1, 2, 3, and 7 and of treatment and at 5 p.m. on days 14, 28, 42, 53, and 56. Because mice consume more diet during the night, the 5 p.m. time point approximates daily trough values. Mice were anesthetized with chloroform and exsanguinated by cardiac puncture. Serum was separated and stored at −70°C before being shipped overnight on dry ice to the Infectious Disease Pharmacokinetics Laboratory, National Jewish Medical and Research Center, Denver, CO. MXF concentrations were determined using a validated assay on a ThermoFinnigan P4000 high-performance liquid chromatography pump (Thermo-Finnigan, San Jose, CA) with a model AS1000 fixed-volume autosampler, a model FL3000 fluorescence detector (Thermo Electron Corporation, Waltham, MA), a Gateway E-Series computer (Gateway, Poway, CA), and the Chromquest high-performance liquid chromatography data management system (Thermo Electron Corporation). The six-point standard curves ranged from 0.2 to 15 μg/ml, with linearity extending well above this range (17).

Assessment of treatment efficacy. Three untreated mice from each run were sacrificed 1 day after infection to determine the number of CFU implanted and 14 days after infection (day 0) to determine the baseline CFU count at the initiation of treatment. Three mice from each treatment group were sacrificed on days 14, 28, 42, and 53 of treatment. Drug-containing diet was discontinued on day 53 to provide for a 3-day washout period. On day 56, all remaining mice from the positive control group (0.25% MXF) and four mice from the test group were sacrificed for CFU counts at completion of treatment. Because of the prospect that mice in the test group could have been culture negative on completion of therapy, the remaining 20 mice in the test group were kept for an additional 8 weeks without treatment to allow regrowth of viable bacilli and amplification of small numbers of resistant mutants (if present). Quantitative lung CFU counts were performed as previously described, except that Middlebrook 7H11 agar was used (23, 34). In brief, mouse lungs were homogenized in 5 ml phosphate-buffered saline using glass homogenizers and 0.5 ml aliquots were plated onto 7H11 agar plates in duplicate at appropriate dilutions. The lowest detection limit therefore was 5 CFU/lung when plated undiluted.

Detection of MXF-resistant mutants. MXF-resistant mutants were detected on 7H11 agar by adapting the standard methods used for TB drug susceptibility testing (5, 18). Two methods were used.

(i) Direct proportion method. In parallel with the quantitative CFU counts for assessment of treatment efficacy, 0.5-ml aliquots of lung homogenates were plated undiluted in duplicate on 7H11 plates containing MXF at concentrations of 0.5, 2, and 8 μg/ml.

(ii) Indirect proportion method. After counting CFU on drug-free plates to determine treatment efficacy, an attempt was made to scrape together all colonies from the 7H11 plates. The PCR products were then purified and sequenced to detect mutations obtained by the indirect method were further analyzed for mutations in the quinolone-resistance-determining regions (QRDRs) of epyr and gyrB. Genomic DNA was extracted from the resistant colonies by the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide-NaCl procedure (31). A 320-bp region of epyr and a 428-bp region of gyrB were amplified by PCR using primers and conditions described previously (19, 30). The PCR products were then purified and sequenced to detect mutations.

RESULTS

Assessment of serum MXF concentrations. The serum MXF concentrations observed during the course of the experiment are presented in Fig. 1. Concentrations in the positive controls (0.25% MXF) ranged from 0.41 to 2.2 μg/ml (mean, 1.14 ± 0.68 μg/ml), for an average area under the concentration-time curve from 0 to 24 h (AUC0–24) of 27.4 μg·h/ml. These data are consistent with the serum concentrations obtained in humans with the conventional 400-mg daily oral dose where the Cmax and AUC are 2.5 to 5.0 μg/ml and 26.9 to 39.0 μg·h/ml, respectively (27, 28, 32). Concentrations in test mice reached 8 μg/ml during the first 24 h and were maintained above this level throughout the entire course of treatment (mean, 17.51 ± 11.12 μg/ml). Despite the high sustained-MXF concentrations, there was no outward evidence of drug toxicity.

Assessment of treatment efficacy. Group mean CFU counts are displayed in Fig. 2. Mean lung CFU counts 1 day after infection were 4.27 ± 0.05 and 4.40 ± 0.09 log10 CFU (mean, 4.33 ± 0.10 log10 CFU) in mice infected in aerosol runs 1 and 2, respectively. At the initiation of treatment (day 0), the mean CFU count had increased to 7.87 ± 0.18 log10 CFU. All untreated mice, except one, died during the first month after infection; the remaining mouse was sacrificed at day 28 and...
had a lung CFU count of 8.61 log_{10} CFU. During the course of treatment, there was a progressive, dose-dependent decrease in the CFU counts in mice receiving MXF. By the end of treatment (day 53 and day 56 results combined) the CFU counts in positive controls (i.e., mice treated with 0.25% MXF in the diet), had fallen by 4.39 logs to 3.48 ± 0.76 log_{10} CFU. In mice treated with the test regimen, the CFU count was reduced by almost 7 logs to 0.93 ± 0.62 log_{10} CFU. These results demonstrate the remarkable dose-dependent bactericidal activity of MXF against *M. tuberculosis*.

**Detection of MXF-resistant mutants.** In untreated mice, the baseline proportions of resistant mutants were 10^{-10} at 0.5 μg/ml MXF, between 10^{-7} and 10^{-8} at 2 μg/ml MXF, and undetectable (i.e., <10^{-9}) at 8 μg/ml MXF. The proportion of resistant mutants isolated over the course of treatment is displayed in Table 2. Using the direct method, mutants resistant to MXF were detected at day 28 among mice receiving 0.25% MXF in the diet, as 1 of 3 mice yielded isolates resistant to 0.5 μg/ml MXF at a frequency of 1.0 × 10^{-3}. At day 42, 1 out of 2 mice treated with 0.25% MXF in the diet yielded isolates resistant to 0.5 μg/ml (5.3 × 10^{-5}) and 2 μg/ml (5.3 × 10^{-5}) of MXF. Both these findings were confirmed by the indirect method. On treatment completion, 1 out of 19 mice treated with 0.25% MXF in the diet yielded CFU resistant to 0.5 μg/ml (2.5 × 10^{-3}) and 2 μg/ml of MXF (1.3 × 10^{-3}) by the direct method. Two additional mice in this group were found to harbor an increased proportion of resistant mutants by the indirect method. The proportion of resistant colonies seen in these mice was estimated to be between 10^{-4} and 10^{-3}, at least 100 times greater than the baseline proportion established in untreated mice. The mice had counts of only 4,500 and 17,500 CFU per lung at treatment completion. This coupled with the fact that only 60% of the lung homogenate was plated on MXF-containing plates may explain why the resistant mutants were not detected by the direct method but an increased proportion of resistant mutants were identified by the indirect method.

No MXF-resistant mutant was detected by either method in any mouse treated with the test regimen (1.5% MXF in the diet) at any point during treatment. Even among the 20 mice

---

**FIG. 1.** Observed MXF serum concentrations during treatment.

**FIG. 2.** Lung CFU counts during treatment. *, all mice in the negative control except for one died within 4 weeks of infection. D−13, 1 day after infection.
Table 2. Proportion of mice harboring MXF-resistant mutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug regimen</th>
<th>Method of estimation</th>
<th>Proportion of mice harboring mutant strains on day: 14</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>42</th>
<th>56</th>
<th>56 + 8 wk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.25% MXF</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>1/19</td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>NA*</td>
<td>3/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5% MXF</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>0/20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Mice sacrificed after being kept without treatment for an additional 8 weeks.

b Not available due to overgrowth of contaminants.

Table 3. Sequence analysis of QRDR for resistant mutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day of CFU count</th>
<th>No. of resistant mutants isolated at MXF concn (μg/ml) of:</th>
<th>Mutation in:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 2 8</td>
<td>gyrA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28a</td>
<td>D94N None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>None E512D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 (mouse 1)</td>
<td>D94N None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 (mouse 2)*</td>
<td>D94N None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 (mouse 3)*</td>
<td>None E512D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Performed with isolates obtained on plates by the indirect method.

hold for 8 additional weeks after treatment completion, the proportion of resistant mutants was similar to that observed in untreated animals, indicating that the treatment of infected mice with MXF at concentrations above the MPC level completely prevented the selective amplification of MXF-resistant mutants.

Analysis of MXF-resistant mutants. All MXF-resistant mutants detected in mice treated with 0.25% MXF in the diet had mutations in the QRDR of gyrA or gyrB (Table 3). All resistant CFU isolated from the day 42 mouse showed an ES12D mutation in gyrB, while all resistant CFU isolated from day 56 mouse 1 showed a D94N mutation in gyrA. The same mutations were confirmed in the isolates obtained by the indirect method. For the day 28 mouse, mutation analysis of resistant isolates from direct plates was not possible; however, isolates from indirect plates showed the D94N mutation in gyrA. For day 56 mice 2 and 3, resistant isolates were only detected by the indirect method. Sequence analysis from these plates showed only the D94N mutation in gyrA in isolates from mouse 2 and only the ES12 mutation in gyrB in isolates from mouse 3.

Discussion

In this study, we developed a murine dosing model capable of maintaining MXF serum concentrations above the MPC (i.e., 8 μg/ml) and used this model to demonstrate that this dosing strategy prevents the selective amplification of drug-resistant mutants. On the other hand, monotherapy with a MXF regimen that maintains serum concentrations within the mutant selection window (i.e., between the MIC and the MPC), like the conventional human dose of MXF, selects for resistant mutants. To our knowledge, this is the first study demonstrating the validity of the mutant selection window hypothesis in an animal model of TB.

The emergence of resistance was evident after 4 weeks of monotherapy with 0.25% MXF in the diet and was observed at each time point thereafter. Over the 8-week course of treatment, selective amplification of the MXF-resistant mutant was detected in 5 (18.5%) out of 27 mice treated with 0.25% MXF in the diet. All mutants isolated from these mice had a single mutation in either gyrA (D94N) or gyrB (ES12D). The mutation at codon 94 of gyrA is the most frequently reported among fluoroquinolone-resistant clinical isolates (3, 8, 25), so it is not surprising that it was amplified in infected mice treated with human-equipotent doses of MXF. The mutation in gyrB codon 512 has been reported from in vitro studies with other 8-methoxy compounds tested against M. tuberculosis (36), but to our knowledge, this is the first time it has been isolated in vivo, whether from an animal or a human specimen.

What is the significance of these observations for the treatment of tuberculosis? Fluoroquinolones have become the cornerstone oral drugs for treatment of multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB). Several observational studies now demonstrate that their use is associated with improved treatment outcomes in this disease (7, 29, 33). As a result, loss of fluoroquinolone susceptibility is now a criterion for redefining MDR-TB as XDR-TB, a disease that is very difficult to cure with chemotherapy alone. Potent fluoroquinolones such as MXF also are under clinical investigation to replace ethambutol or isoniazid as first-line drugs in novel treatment-shortening regimens (4, 20, 23, 26). Hence, we cannot afford to sacrifice this class of agents to the emerging specter of fluoroquinolone resistance among M. tuberculosis strains. Our results clearly show that MXF concentrations produced by the conventional 400-mg oral dose in humans readily select for drug resistance when the drug is used as monotherapy. This finding is in agreement with a multitude of in vitro studies and clinical observations (12–15). However, we demonstrated here that it is possible to prevent the selection of fluoroquinolone-resistant mutants in vivo if fluoroquinolone exposures are sufficiently high. Unfortunately, none of the currently marketed fluoroquinolones is capable of producing concentrations exceeding the MPC throughout the dosing interval at doses that can be administered safely. However, the development of new fluoroquinolones with more potent anti-TB activity and/or improved pharmacokinetics may introduce new agents capable of meeting this pharmacodynamic target.

Recent work by Gumbo and colleagues suggests that it may not be necessary to maintain concentrations above the MPC for the entire dosing interval to prevent the selection of resistant mutants (15). Using an in vitro pharmacodynamic model with the avirulent H37Ra strain of M. tuberculosis, (MXF MIC, 0.25 μg/ml), Gumbo et al. determined that a free MXF AUC0–24/MIC ratio of 53 may be sufficient to suppress the emergence of resistance. Our findings are consistent with those of Gumbo et al. Assuming 50% of MXF is protein bound, their breakpoint ratio translates into a total MXF AUC0–24/MIC ratio of 106. In our study, the estimated mean total MXF AUC0–24/MIC ratio for the positive control regimen (0.25% MXF) of 54.8 was below this breakpoint, while that for test regimen was much greater than 106.

Although we selected MXF-resistant mutants with our lower, clinically relevant dose of MXF, the proportion of mice...
harboring such mutants was lower than expected, likely due to the size of our initial inoculum. At the start of treatment, the CFU count was approximately 10^6 CFU/lung. Given that the frequency of spontaneous mutants resistant to 0.5 μg/ml of MFX in the wild-type population was 1 × 10^{-7} to 2 × 10^{-7}, there were only a few such mutants present in any given mouse at the onset of treatment. Ideally, a higher initial bacterial burden similar to that used in previous in vitro studies (i.e., 10^8) would have been used, but it is not possible to obtain such burdens in the mouse model without causing excessive mortality (13). It is also possible that the steady maintenance of serum MFX concentrations between 0.4 and 2.2 μg/ml suppressed the growth of some first-step mutants with MICs in this range. Prior reports suggest that the MIC of MFX against most single-step mutants is well below 8 μg/ml but is as high as 8 μg/ml against some (1, 8).

In the end, our findings confirm that it is possible to suppress the selective amplification of fluoroquinolone-resistant mutants during MFX monotherapy in vivo provided serum concentrations are maintained above the MPC. Although this may not be the only pharmacodynamic target associated with resistance suppression, it is evident that no currently marketed fluoroquinolone can be expected to meet any such recognized pharmacodynamic target safely. Hence, the development of new fluoroquinolones with greater potency against M. tuberculosis and/or improved pharmacokinetics or safety profiles will be necessary to more effectively suppress the emergence of resistance. Though treatment of TB with monotherapy is never advisable, regimens that include new drugs optimized to limit or prevent selective amplification of resistance should be more effective in controlling the emergence of drug resistance during combination therapy, especially under conditions of suboptimal adherence. A point to note, however, is that the drug exposures that lead to resistance in combination therapy could be different from those observed in monotherapy experiments. Further studies are needed to address this question.
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