First Report of cfr-Mediated Resistance to Linezolid in Human Staphylococcal Clinical Isolates Recovered in the United States\textsuperscript{7}
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Linezolid resistance has dominantly been mediated by mutations in 23S rRNA or ribosomal protein L4 genes. Recently, \textit{cfr} has demonstrated the ability to produce a phenotype of resistance to not only oxazolidinones, but also other antimicrobial classes (phenicols, lincomamides, pleuromutilins, and streptogramin A). We describe the first detection of \textit{cfr}-mediated linezolid resistance in \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} and \textit{Staphylococcus epidermidis} recovered from human infection cases monitored during the 2007 LEADER Program.

Linezolid, the first oxazolidinone class agent used in clinical practice, has demonstrated potent antimicrobial activity against gram-positive pathogens, including methicillin-resistant \textit{Staphylococcus aureus}, vancomycin-resistant enterococci, and \textit{Streptococcus} spp. (3). According to the LEADER Program (5), nearly all \textit{S. aureus} strains (>99.9%) and coagulase-negative staphylococci (98.4%) isolated in the United States were susceptible to linezolid. Furthermore, similar results (99.8% susceptibility) were observed when testing a global collection of gram-positive isolates evaluated by the ZAAPS Program in the same year (5, 6). Linezolid resistance has appeared only sporadically since its introduction in 2000, and it is usually mediated by the presence of mutations in one or more alleles of the target 23S rRNA gene (4, 11). However, some linezolid-resistant isolates fail to display these mutations, indicating the presence of other resistance mechanisms.

Previously, the \textit{cfr} gene was described as a chloramphenicol resistance mechanism in \textit{Staphylococcus sciuri} (14). The \textit{cfr}-encoded product, a methyltransferase, provides posttranscriptional methylation of the 23S rRNA at position A2503. This methylation affects the binding of at least four antimicrobial classes (phenicols, lincomamides, pleuromutilins, and streptogramin A), leading to a multidrug-resistant phenotype (10). This gene has been detected in \textit{Staphylococcus} spp. of animal origin in Europe (7, 8, 10, 14). One recent report described the detection of \textit{cfr} in a \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} isolate recovered from the respiratory tract of an infected patient in Colombia (16).

The LEADER Program evaluates the activity of linezolid and numerous comparator agents against gram-positive clinical isolates recovered from more than 50 medical centers within the United States. During the 2007 LEADER Program, linezolid-resistant \textit{S. aureus} (004-737X) and \textit{Staphylococcus epidermidis} (426-3147L) were forwarded to JMI Laboratories (North Liberty, IA) and tested for susceptibility by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) reference broth microdilution method (1). The \textit{S. aureus} strain was isolated from a 45-year-old paraplegic female patient residing in a nursing home. She was admitted to a hospital in Ohio showing symptoms of urinary tract infection, pneumonia, and sepsis, which required mechanical ventilation. \textit{Candida} spp. were recovered from a blood culture, and the patient received an antifungal agent plus ciprofloxacin therapy. \textit{Pseudomonas} spp. were also recovered from a urine culture. \textit{S. aureus} (004-737X), \textit{Klebsiella pneumoniae}, and \textit{Pseudomonas alcaligenes} were recovered from a bronchio-alveolar lavage specimen. The patient subsequently received azithromycin, vancomycin, linezolid, and piperacillin-tazobactam and remained hospitalized for 1 month secondary to respiratory failure. \textit{S. epidermidis} (426-3147L) was isolated from a 79-year-old female living in a long-term care facility. Between July and September 2007, she was admitted to a hospital in Arizona and returned to the long-term care facility multiple times before being placed in hospital care. \textit{S. epidermidis} was recovered from a blood culture 3 days after the second hospital admission. She received vancomycin, cefepime, and ampicillin/subactam. No linezolid use could be documented.

Both isolates (004-737X and 426-3147L) displayed linezolid-nonsusceptible phenotypes (MICs of 8 and \(>256\) \(\mu g/ml\), respectively), which were confirmed by the Etest (AB Biodisk, Solna, Sweden) and the disk diffusion methods (2), with results at 8 and \(>256\) \(\mu g/ml\) or 19 and 6 mm, respectively. Additionally, the isolates showed resistance to chloramphenicol, clindamycin, quinupristin-dalfopristin, retapamulin, oxacillin, ciprofloxacin, erythromycin (\textit{S. aureus} only), tetracycline, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole but remained susceptible to vancomycin (Table 1). These results led to screenings for the G2576T mutation in the 23S rRNA genes (11) and the previously described \textit{cfr} gene (8). The G2576T mutation was not present, but a positive PCR result was obtained using \textit{cfr}-specific primers, which was confirmed in both isolates by sequencing. \textit{S. aureus} 004-737X was further analyzed for the characterization of SCC\textit{mec} types and the presence of PVL genes (\textit{lukF-PV} and \textit{lukS-PV}) (12) and was subjected to pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). The PFGE pattern was compared to those of contemporary community-acquired and hos-
pital-associated methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* clones prevalent in the United States (15). Additionally, both isolates were screened for erythromycin resistance determinants, as previously described (9). Characterization of **SCCmec** types (I through VI) of *S. aureus* isolate 004-737X was unsuccessful, and the reaction for the presence of PVL genes was negative. The isolate showed a unique PFGE pattern compared to those of the predominant U.S. clones, and erythromycin resistance in the isolate 004-737X was mediated by *ermA*, while the isolate 426-3147L showed negative results for the most common *ermA*, *ermB*, *ermC*, and *mefA* resistance genes. This latter result does not exclude the possibility that the isolate 426-3147L harbored other ribosomal methylation or efflux pump genes, which could explain the decreased susceptibility (4 μg/ml) to erythromycin.

Plasmid DNA was extracted using the plasmid DNA midi kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany), separated on 1% agarose gel in Tris-acetate-EDTA buffer on a Criterion sub-cell GT system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), and transferred onto a nylon membrane by Southern blotting (13). A labeled *cfr* probe was used for hybridization, which was revealed with a nonradioactive DIG-High Prime DNA labeling and detection kit (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). Plasmid sizes were determined by comparison with standard plasmid DNAs extracted from *Escherichia coli* NCTC 50192 and NCTC 50193. Analysis of the plasmid content of isolates 004-737X and 426-3147L revealed the presence of two plasmids in each isolate (550 and 55 kb, and 175 and 75 kb, respectively). Experiments showed that the 55- and 175-kb plasmid DNAs from isolates 004-737X and 426-3147L, respectively, hybridized with the *cfr*-specific probe (data not shown).

Surrounding *cfr* DNA sequences were accessed by primer walking. Downstream of the *cfr* gene, the presence of ΔtnpB was noted in the *S. aureus* isolate, which was identical to the structure described for the pSCFS3 plasmid found in an *S. aureus* isolate collected from the respiratory tract of a swine (7) (AM086211) (Fig. 1). The DNA sequence upstream of the *cfr* gene in the *S. aureus* isolate showed the presence of *istAS* and *istBS* genes, which were also identical to those of the pSCFS3 plasmid, suggesting that these insertion sequences may be involved in the mobilization of the *cfr* gene (7). However, a PCR using a primer targeting ΔtnpA (tnpA-F), which was located further upstream of the *cfr* gene on the pSCFS3 plasmid, yielded a negative result, suggesting that the upstream region of *cfr* on this isolate significantly differed from that of the pSCFS3 plasmid. PCRs performed with the primers cfr-F and cfr-R2 or cfr-F2 and tnpB-R for the 426-3147L isolate yielded negative results, also indicating additional distinct DNA sequences upstream and downstream of the *cfr* gene on this isolate. Further analysis of the *cfr* genetic context in the 426-3147L isolate is ongoing.

Linezolid resistance, as described in numerous earlier reports, has been mediated by mutations in 23S rRNA or other

*TABLE 1. Antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of *cfr*-harboring *S. aureus* (004-737X) and *S. epidermidis* (426-3147L) isolates*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antimicrobial agent</th>
<th>MIC (μg/ml)</th>
<th>004-737X</th>
<th>426-3147L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linezolid</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinupristin-dalfopristin</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&gt;4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retapamulin</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxacillin</td>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td>&gt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
<td>&gt;4</td>
<td>&gt;4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mupirocin</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>&gt;8</td>
<td>&gt;8</td>
<td>&gt;8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole</td>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td>&gt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Erythromycin resistance is mediated by *ermA*.

*b* No macrolide resistance mechanism was detected.

FIG. 1. Schematic representation of *cfr* surrounding DNA sequences in *S. aureus* (004-737X) and *S. epidermidis* (426-3147L) isolates. The genetic context of pSCFS3 is also shown for comparison purposes (AM086211). Genes are indicated by boxes, and the arrows indicate their transcriptional orientations. Small arrows indicate primers targeting regions and their respective orientations. Dashes indicate unknown DNA sequences. The background shading indicates identity to the pSCFS3 DNA sequence.
ribosomal protein genes, implying the slow dissemination of resistance by these mechanisms (10). However, the detection of a plasmid-borne cfr-mediated linezolid resistance gene in staphylococci recovered from human specimens in the United States adds a new dimension to the threat against the clinical utility of several antimicrobial classes, including the oxazolidinones.

Although S. aureus 004-737X did not belong to one of the prevalent clones in the United States (15) and cfr-carrying Staphylococcus sp. isolates appear rare (10), these data require continued active resistance surveillance programs (such as LEADER and ZAAPS). This must be combined with effective infection control strategies in case further spread of this resistance mechanism is observed by those programs. The dissemination of the cfr-mediated resistance genes among staphylococcal clinical isolates is especially worrisome given the potential for rapid simultaneous increases in resistance rates for several antimicrobial classes.

Nucleotide sequence accession number. The nucleotide sequences of the cfr gene from S. aureus 004-737X have been deposited in the GenBank database under the accession number EU598691.
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