Inhibition of Vaccinia Virus Replication by Two Small Interfering RNAs Targeting B1R and G7L Genes and Their Synergistic Combination with Cidofovir
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In view of the threat of the potential use of variola virus in a terrorist attack, considerable efforts have been performed to develop new antiviral strategies against orthopoxviruses. Here we report on the use of RNA interference, either alone or in combination with cidofovir, as an approach to inhibit orthopoxvirus replication. Two selected small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), named siB1R-2 and siG7L-1, and a previously reported siRNA, i.e., siDSR-2 (which targets the viral DSR mRNA), were evaluated for antiviral activity against vaccinia virus (VACV) by plaque reduction and virus yield assays. siB1R-2 and siG7L-1, administered before or after viral infection, reduced VACV replication by more than 90%. Also, these two siRNAs decreased monkeypox virus replication by 95% at a concentration of 1 nM. siB1R-2 and siG7L-1 were demonstrated to specifically silence their corresponding transcripts, i.e., B1R and G7L mRNAs, without induction of a beta interferon response. Strong synergistic effects were observed when siB1R-2, siG7L-1, or siDSR-2 was combined with cidofovir. In addition, the antiviral activities of these three siRNAs were evaluated against VACV resistant to cidofovir and other acyclic nucleoside phosphonates. siG7L-1 and siDSR-2 remained active against four of five VACV mutants, while siB1R-2 showed activity against only one of the mutants. Our results showed that siRNAs are potent inhibitory agents in vitro, not only against wild-type VACV but also against several cidofovir-resistant VACV. Furthermore, we showed that a combined therapy using siRNA and cidofovir may be useful in the treatment of poxvirus infections.

Following the eradication of smallpox in the late 1970s, vaccination against smallpox was discontinued, resulting in an increasing number of nonimmunized individuals in today’s population, who are susceptible to poxvirus infections. Currently, the potential release of the etiological agent of smallpox, variola virus (VARV), by bioterrorists (5) has prompted renewed interest in the development of safer smallpox vaccines, as well as new therapeutic molecules that inhibit poxvirus replication. Today, there are no U.S. Food and Drug Administration-approved drugs for the treatment of smallpox, and only vaccinia virus immunoglobulins are available for complications related to smallpox vaccination. Cidofovir [((S)-1-(3-hydroxy-2-phosphonylmethoxypropyl)cytosine (HPMPC)], approved for treatment of cytomegalovirus retinitis in AIDS patients, is permitted for use as an emergency treatment in the case of a smallpox outbreak (13). Several in vitro and in vivo studies have indeed demonstrated the inhibitory potency of cidofovir against poxviruses by interfering with their viral DNA polymerase (16). However, its use in humans would be limited since the compound needs to be administered intravenously, with a risk of nephrotoxicity (37, 38). Recently, a compound with a novel mechanism of action, ST-246 [4-trifluoromethyl-N-(3,3a,4,4a,5,5a,6,6a-octahydro-1,3-dioxo-4,6-ethenycycloprop[f](1H)-yl-benzamide], proved to be a potent and specific inhibitor of orthopoxvirus egress in vitro (72). In addition, orally given ST-246 protected mice from lethal orthopoxvirus challenge (54) and nonhuman primates from lethal monkeypox virus (MPXV) challenge. This orally bioavailable molecule also demonstrated its safety and tolerability in a phase I clinical trial (33) and is currently being developed further.

However, there is still a need to enlarge the armamentarium of antiviral molecules active against poxviruses with a different and original mechanism of action. The selection of new antipoxviral compounds with different targets could also be useful in the potential case of emergence of drug resistance to the reference antipoxvirus agents (4, 35, 62). In this context, RNA interference (RNAi) represents a promising strategy (60). Numerous studies have demonstrated the antiviral efficacy of small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), not only in cell cultures but also in animal models, against several viruses associated with human diseases, including human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis C and B viruses (28, 30, 31, 36, 42, 43, 45, 46, 73), severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus (39), herpes simplex virus (53), influenza virus (26, 66), parainfluenza virus, respiratory syncytial virus (10, 17), and Ebola virus (27). Previous studies also reported the inhibitory effect of siRNAs against orthopoxvirus replication in vitro by targeting the E3L, F11L, and DSR genes (15, 67, 68). The Poxviridae family comprises large double-stranded DNA viruses (47). The Orthopoxvirus genus includes VARV, MPXV, vaccinia virus (VACV; used as a smallpox vaccine), and cowpox virus (CPXV). Orthopoxviruses carry approximately 200 open reading frames,
which can be divided into early, intermediate, and late gene classes, with expression of each gene class dependent upon prior expression of proteins of the preceding class. We focused our present work on the inhibition of expression of an early gene (B1R) and a late gene (G7L) that are essential for VACV replication. The B1 protein is involved in viral DNA replication, and the G7 protein is required for virus morphogenesis.

B1 is an essential serine/threonine protein kinase that is present in infecting virions (7, 56) and is required for viral DNA synthesis, as shown by the phenotype of two temperature-sensitive mutants expressing a very labile B1 protein without kinase activity (11, 55, 56). The mechanism through which B1 contributes to DNA replication remains elusive, as the protein does not appear to phosphorylate any of the known components of the viral replication machinery (11). So far, the B1 substrates identified are viral and cellular proteins. B1 phosphorylates the viral H5 protein, which is a late transcription factor (11), and cellular ribosomal proteins, as well as the cellular DNA-binding protein named BAF (50) and p53 proteins (57). Recent data suggest that the poxid B1 protein has evolved to usurp defense signaling pathways of the host cell. Indeed, it was shown that phosphorylation of BAF or p53 mediated by B1 could contribute to the survival of infected cells, thus allowing the course of the infection to progress (57, 71).

The late, 42-kDa G7 protein is a component of the core of the intracellular mature virus required for the stability and maintenance of virosomes as well as for the attachment and uptake of virosomal material by virial crescents to form immature virions (IV) (44). Phenotypic analyses of G7 mutant viruses have shown that G7 is essential for VACV morphogenesis. Inhibition of G7 protein expression had no effect on the synthesis of viral proteins, but the proteolytic processing of certain structural proteins was severely inhibited. It has been shown that such inhibition of proteolytic processing is commonly associated with a block of VACV morphogenesis at a stage prior to the formation of intracellular mature virions (64).

In the present study, we demonstrated the inhibitory activities of two siRNAs, i.e., siB1R-2 and siG7L-1, against VACV in cell cultures. We further analyzed the antiviral effects of these siRNAs and of a previously described siRNA which targets the D5R transcript of VACV (68), used in combination with the reference compound cidofovir. Moreover, we evaluated the antiviral potencies of these three siRNAs against five VACV strains bearing mutations in the viral DNA polymerase gene ( \\textit{E}9L) (4, 25) which are known to confer resistance to cidofovir and other acyclic nucleoside phosphonates (ANPs).

Our results give novel insights into the inhibition of orthopoxvirus replication in cell cultures and highlight the antiviral potential of RNAi.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and viruses. A549 cells (human lung carcinoma; ATCC CCL-185) were grown in F12K medium (Gibco, Invitrogen Corporation, United Kingdom) containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS). Human embryonic lung (HEL) fibroblasts (HEL-299; ATCC CCL-137) were cultured in Earle’s minimal essential medium (Gibco, Invitrogen Corporation, Paisley, United Kingdom) supplemented with 10% FCS, 1% L-glutamine, 1% nonessential amino acids, 1% sodium pyruvate, and 1% HEPES at 37°C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere. The following viral strains were used: VACV strain Western Reserve (VACV-WR), MPXV strain Copenhagen (MPXV-Cop; kindly provided by Hermann Meyer, Bundeswehr Institute of Microbiology, Munich, Germany), and CPXV strain Brighton (CPXV-BR; ATCC VR 302). The drug-resistant VACV-WR strains bearing single mutations in the viral DNA polymerase gene ( \\textit{E}9L) were A314T, A684V, and S851Y mutants, and those harboring double mutations were A314T + A684V and A684V + S851Y mutants. These amino acid changes have been shown to occur following selection with cidofovir and/or HPMPDAP (5S)-9-[3-hydroxy-2-(phosphonomethoxy)propyl]-2,6-diaminopurine (4, 25).

Compounds. The sources of compounds were as follows: cidofovir (HPMPC), Gilead Sciences, Foster City, CA; and HPMPDAP, Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic.

Design and synthesis of siRNAs. The genome sequence of VACV-WR (based on GenBank accession no. Y0243312) was used as a template for the design of siRNAs targeting B1R and G7L mRNAs. siRNAs were double-stranded RNAs of 21 nucleotides containing dTdT overhangs at both 3’ ends, according to the rules suggested by Elbashir and collaborators (20). siRNA duplexes were chemically synthesized by Qiagen (Courtaboeuf, France) or Applied Biosystems (Courtaboeuf, France). The antiviral effects of four individual B1R- and G7L-specific siRNAs were tested, and one siRNA for each targeted gene, i.e., siB1R-2 for the B1R gene and siG7L-1 for the G7L gene, was selected based on antiviral potency against VACV-WR replication. The siRNA sequences were as follows: siB1R-2, 5’-AAAGTGATGTGTCGATATT-3’ (sense strand; corresponds to positions 163948 to 163969 of the GenBank VACV-WR complete genome); and siG7L-1, 5’-GGCTGCCTTCTACATTCTTTTT-3’ (sense strand; corresponds to positions 51652 to 51671 of the GenBank VACV-WR complete genome). A previously described siRNA, siDSR-2, which targets the viral D5 protein and inhibits VACV replication, was also included in this study (68). The siRNA targeting a nontargeting siRNA (siNT) (Perbio Science, Bребieres, France), which does not have any significant homology to the orthopoxvirus genomes or to known gene sequences of mice, rats, or humans, was used in this study as a negative control. The siControl TOX (siTOX) siRNA (Perbio Science, Bребieres, France) was used to optimize the relative siRNA uptake and to control transfection efficiency.

Antiviral assays. (i) A549 cells. The siRNAs were added to approximately 60% confluent A549 cell monolayers grown in 24-well microtiter plates. siRNAs grown in 24-well microtiter plates. siRNAs grown in 24-well microtiter plates. siRNAs grown in 24-well microtiter plates. siRNAs grown in 24-well microtiter plates. siRNAs grown in 24-well microtiter plates. siRNAs grown in 24-well microtiter plates. siRNAs grown in 24-well microtiter plates. siRNAs grown in 24-well microtiter plates. siRNAs grown in 24-well microtiter plates.

(ii) HEL cells. The antiviral activities of the siRNAs against VACV-WR and the five drug-resistant viruses listed above were evaluated on HEL cells. Cells were plated at a density of 1 x 10^4 cells per well in 96-well microtiter plates and then cultured for 1 day. Seventy percent confluent HEL cell monolayers were then transfected with the siRNA of interest, i.e., siB1R-2, siG7L-1, siDSR-2, or siNT, at various concentrations ranging from 1 to 100 nM, and mixed with the transfection reagent Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Cergy Pontoise, France). The siRNA-Lipofectamine complex was then incubated for 20 min at room temperature, and 100 µl of this mixture was added per well. At 24 hours posttransfection, cells were washed twice and incubated with VACV-WR, CPXV-BR, or MPXV-Cop at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.1. Following an incubation period of 2 h at 37°C, the inoculum was removed and the cells were washed twice and further incubated in F12K medium containing 0.4% FCS. Cells were harvested at 24 h postinfection, and viral titers were determined. For therapeutic studies, 60% confluent A549 cells were infected with VACV-WR at an MOI of 0.0001 for 1 hour. The inoculum was then removed, and cells were washed twice and incubated with fresh medium. Infections of siB1R-2, siG7L-1, or siNT were then performed at 1 h, 6 h, or 24 h postinfection by adding 100 µl of the transfection mixture (containing 100 nM of the siRNA of interest with 1.5 µl of Lipofectamine) to each well. At 24 hours posttransfection, cells were washed, cultured in F12K medium with 0.4% FCS, and harvested at 48 h posttransfection. For all experiments performed with A549 cells, virus titers were determined in Vero cells as previously described (23). Transfection efficiency was monitored in each experiment by using siTOX, and approximately 90% of the cells were successfully transfected.
affected cells was similar to that for the nontransfected infected cells. Transfection efficiency was determined in each experiment by using siTOX, and approximately 90% of the cells were successfully transfected.

**Combination assays.** HEL cells were seeded 1 day prior to transfection at a density of 1 × 10^6 cells per well in 96-well microtiter plates. At the time of transfection, the cells were approximately 70% confluent. Lipofectamine 2000 was used with various concentrations of the siRNA of interest. At 24 hours posttransfection, cells were washed twice and infected with VACV-WR at an MOI of 0.01. At 1 hour postinfection, the inoculum was removed, and cells were washed twice. Serial dilutions of cidofovir were then added to each concentration of the siRNA of interest. After 2 days at 37°C, the CPE was recorded as described for the antiviral assays. The interpretation of the combination assays with HEL cells was done as described below. Of note, the CPE recorded for the siNT-transfected infected cells was similar to that for the nontransfected infected cells. For the combination assays done with A549 cells grown in 24-well microtiter plates, siRNAs (siDSR-2, siB1R-2, and siG7L-1) were used at a concentration of 1 nM. At 24 hours posttransfection, cells were washed twice and infected with VACV-WR at an MOI of 0.0001. At 2 hours postinfection, the inoculum was removed as described above, and transfected or nontransfected cells were cultured in the absence or presence of 31.75 μM cidofovir. Cells were harvested at 48 h postinfection, and the antiviral effect of the combination of cidofovir and the siRNA of interest on VACV-WR growth was determined by virus titers determined with Vero cells due to the fact that we cannot observe CPE in A549 cells. The virus titers recorded for the siNT-transfected infected cells were similar to that for the nontransfected infected cells.

**Interpretation of drug interactions in combinations.** The effects of treatment of VACV-WR-infected cells with cidofovir and siRNA, alone or in combination, were analyzed according to the isobologram method (12, 24, 29, 58, 59, 70). For each drug combination, the EC_{50} was determined. The fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) was calculated by use of the following formulas: FIC_{siRNA} = EC_{50} (siRNA combined)/EC_{50} (siRNA alone) and FIC_{cidofovir} = EC_{50} (cidofovir combined)/EC_{50} (cidofovir alone). The combined effects of the two drugs were assessed by calculation of the FIC index (FIC), as previously described (21, 23, 65), from the graphic interpretation of isobolograms. Curves were generated by plotting the data points of FIC_{siRNA} with the FIC_{cidofovir} on the abscissa axis versus the line of additivity (unity line). Also, the FIC was obtained by adding both FIC values (FIC_{cidofovir} + FIC_{siRNA}). A synergistic effect, resulting in a stronger antiviral effect than the sum of the individual effects, was interpreted as follows: (i) FIC values falling below the unity line on the isobolograms or (ii) FIC of < 0.5. An additive effect, computed as the sum of the individual effects of the drugs, was interpreted as follows: (i) FIC values falling on the unity line or (ii) 0.5 ≤ FIC ≤ 2. An antagonistic effect, where the two compounds in combination have an overall effect that is less than that predicted from their individual effects (60, 71), was interpreted as follows: (i) FIC values falling above the unity line or (ii) FIC > 2. The FIC values were calculated from three independent combination experiments.

**Cytotoxicity assays.** The toxicities of the siRNAs and the compounds on HEL cells were evaluated based on inhibition of cell growth. Cells were seeded into 96-well microtiter plates at a density of 1 × 10^4 cells in 150 μl of medium per well. After 1 day, cells were transfected with serial dilutions of the siRNA-Lipofectamine mixture. At 1 day posttransfection, cells were washed twice and replaced with fresh medium or with medium containing serial dilutions of cidofovir or HPMPDAP. Following 3 days of incubation, the cells were trypsinized, and the number of cells was determined as previously described (19). The cytotoxic effects of the molecules were expressed as the 50% cytostatic concentration, defined as the concentration required to reduce cell growth by 50%.

**Relative quantification by RT-PCR.** A549 cells (60% confluent) grown in 24-well microtiter plates were transfected with 100 nM of siB1R-2 or siG7L-1 for 24 h and infected with VACV-WR at an MOI of 3 for 3 h (siB1R-2) or 10 h (siG7L-1). Total mRNAs were extracted using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instructions and then were treated with amplification-grade DNase I (Invitrogen). Real-time one-step reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) was carried out by using a TaqMan Gold RT-PCR kit, including the gyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) control reagent (Applied Biosystems, Courtabœuf, France). Primer and probe sequences were as follows: B1R forward primer, 5'-AACATA GGCTGCCCTGGA CACAT-3', B1R reverse primer, 5'-TCTTCAATTTTTATCTCCGAG TTTCCAGTTC-3'; B1R MGB probe, 5'-CCTTTTCCACCTAAGCC-6-carboxyfluorescein-3'; G7L forward primer, 5'-CTCCAGAAGACCTGGT-3'; G7L reverse primer, 5'-TTGTTTACAACCTA-3'; and G7L MGB probe, 5'-TGTTAAAACACTGTATCAGTACGTT-6-carboxyfluorescein-3'. RT-PCR was performed using an ABI Prism 7000 SDS instrument following the manufacturer’s instructions (Applied Biosystems). Results were analyzed with ABI Prism 7000 SDS relative quantification study software. Levels of relative quantities of B1 and G7 mRNAs were normalized with GAPDH. ELISA for IFN-β analysis. A549 cells were seeded into 24-well plates 1 day before transfection. Cells were transfected with 100 nM (1.6 μg/ml) of the siRNA of interest (siNT, siG7L-1, or siB1R-2) or with 0.5 μg/ml of poly(I-C) (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France), each of which was mixed with Lipofectamine. At 24 hours posttransfection, cell culture supernatants were collected, and human beta interferon (IFN-β) was measured by a sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (PBL Biomedical Laboratories Tebu-Bio, Le Perray-en-Yvelines, France) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Statistical analysis.** Differences in the inhibitory effects of the siRNA of interest against virus replication compared to the negative control (siNT-transfected infected cells) were tested for statistical significance by using Student’s t test. P values of <0.05 were considered to indicate significant differences between the two groups.

**RESULTS**

Specific knockdown of B1R and G7L transcripts by siB1R-2 and siG7L-1 in A549 cells. We investigated the inhibitory potencies of two siRNAs, i.e., siB1R-2 and siG7L-1, against VACV-WR replication. For that purpose, real-time RT-PCR was performed in order to demonstrate the specific knockdown of B1R and G7L transcripts. A549 cells were transfected with 100 nM of siB1R-2, siG7L-1, or siNT, which is a functional nontargeting siRNA used as a negative control for potential off-target effects caused by siRNA transfection. Twenty-four hours later, transfected cells were infected with VACV-WR at an MOI of 3. Total mRNAs were extracted at 3 h and at 10 h postinfection for siB1R-2 and siG7L-1-treated cells, respectively. Notably, these two time points correspond to the peaks of production of B1R and G7L mRNAs following viral infection (22, 64). Relative quantification of the B1R and G7L steady-state mRNA levels was then performed. As shown in Fig. 1, siB1R-2 and siG7L-1 induced significant reductions of B1R and G7L gene transcripts (96% and 92% inhibition, respectively) compared to siNT (P < 0.05). These results confirmed the specific targeting of B1R and G7L mRNAs by their respective siRNAs. Also, no significant differences in levels of B1R and G7L
mRNAs were observed between nontransfected infected cells (virus control) and siNT-transfected infected cells. Concentration-dependent inhibition of VACV-WR replication by RNAi in A549 cells. We then evaluated the prophylactic inhibitory potencies of siB1R-2 and siG7L-1 against VACV-WR replication. A549 cells were transfected for 1 day with siB1R-2 or siG7L-1 and then infected with VACV-WR. Following 24 h of incubation, virus production in cultures treated with siRNA concentrations ranging from 1 nM to 100 nM were determined and compared to the virus production obtained in cultures treated with 100 nM of siNT. As illustrated in Fig. 2, siB1R-2 and siG7L-1 showed a concentration-dependent inhibitory effect compared to siNT. siB1R-2 inhibited virus replication by 68% at 1 nM and by 91% at 100 nM (P < 0.05) (Fig. 2A). The virus yields were also significantly decreased, by 86% and 97% at 1 and 100 nM of siG7L-1, respectively, compared to that with siNT (P < 0.05) (Fig. 2B). Thus, both siRNAs exhibited significant antiviral activity at concentrations as low as 1 nM against VACV-WR replication, and they inhibited virus replication in a dose-dependent manner.

Long-term antiviral potencies of siB1R-2 and siG7L-1 in A549 cells. In order to examine the duration of siRNA-mediated prophylactic activity, a time course study was conducted. A549 cells were infected with VACV-WR at 24 h, 48 h, or 72 h posttransfection with siB1R-2 or siG7L-1, and virus yields were determined at day 1 postinfection. As shown in Fig. 3A, transfection performed 24 h before infection led to a significant inhibition of virus production (88% and 99% inhibition at a concentration of 100 nM of siB1R-2 and siG7L-1, respectively). A significant reduction of VACV-WR replication was also observed when viral infection was performed 48 h following siRNA transfection (i.e., 91% [siB1R-2] and 98% [siG7L-1] inhibition). Both siB1R-2 and siG7L-1 remained active if infection occurred at 72 h posttransfection, affording decreases in virus production of 81% and 91%, respectively (P < 0.05). Thus, the antiviral activity mediated by these two siRNAs is preserved for at least 72 h following transfection of cells. Therapeutic antiviral effects of siRNAs against VACV-WR-infected A549 cells. Considering a possible use of siRNAs in...
vivo, we explored the therapeutic inhibitory potencies of siB1R-2 and siG7L-1. A549 cells were infected with VACV-WR at an MOI of 0.0001 and transfected with 100 nM of the siRNA of interest at 1 h, 8 h, or 24 h postinfection. siB1R-2 decreased virus replication by 98% ($P < 0.05$) when cells were transfected at 1 h postinfection (Fig. 3B). Antiviral effects were still observed when siB1R-2 was added at 8 h and 24 h postinfection, with 97% and 75.5% reductions, respectively, in virus yield ($P < 0.05$). A similar inhibitory profile was observed with siG7L-1 (97%, 96%, and 79% reductions in virus titer when the cells were treated at 1 h, 8 h, and 24 h postinfection, respectively) ($P < 0.05$). Thus, both siRNAs induced a significant antiviral effect when administered to cell cultures for up to 24 h postinfection.

Absence of IFN-β induction in A549 cell cultures following siRNA transfection. It has been reported that siRNAs can stimulate the IFN pathway under certain circumstances (34, 61). Therefore, we examined whether siB1R-2 and siG7L-1 were able to induce IFN-β production in uninfected A549 cell monolayers. Supernatants were collected at 24 h posttransfection from siRNA-treated A549 cells and analyzed by ELISA for IFN-β induction. As depicted in Fig. 4, 100 nM (1.6 μg/ml) of siNT, siB1R-2, or siG7L-1 failed to trigger an IFN-β response in A549 cells, while 0.5 μg/ml of poly(I-C) induced a strong IFN response. These results demonstrated that siB1R-2 and siG7L-1 act in a highly sequence-specific manner without inducing an IFN-β response.

Antiviral activities of siB1R-2 and siG7L-1 against other human-pathogenic orthopoxviruses in A549 cells. We further determined the antiviral potencies of siB1R-2 and siG7L-1 against MPXV-Cop and CPXV-BR growth. The sequences of the targeted genes, i.e., B1R and G7L of MPXV-Cop and CPXV-BR, were subjected to a BLAST search to compare their percentages of homology. The G7L gene sequence showed 98.9% identity between MPXV-Cop and CPXV-BR, and the B1R gene sequence revealed 96% identity. As shown in Fig. 5A, siB1R-2 decreased MPXV-Cop replication by 90% (1 nM) and 96% (100 nM) ($P < 0.05$). Also, the MPXV-Cop yield was significantly reduced, by 96% and 99% at 1 and 100 nM of siG7L-1, respectively, compared to that with siNT (Fig. 5B). In the case of CPXV-BR, siG7L-1 decreased the virus yield by 99% at a concentration as low as 1 nM (Fig. 6A). In contrast, siB1R-2 was inactive against CPXV-BR replication (Fig. 6B). The sequence of siB1R-2 was designed using the VACV-WR B1R gene as a template. However, alignment of siB1R-2 with the CPXV-BR B1R gene showed one nucleotide difference (C versus T), at position 10 in the 5′ end of the sense strand of siB1R-2. Thus, this change appeared to be responsible for the lack of antiviral activity of siB1R-2 against CPXV-BR (Fig. 6B).

Synergistic inhibitory effects of cidofovir and siRNAs against VACV-WR replication. Both cidofovir and siRNAs are potent inhibitors of orthopoxvirus replication. Since these molecules have distinct targets and different mechanisms of action, we hypothesized that combined therapy could result in a synergistic effect. Therefore, the antiviral activity of siB1R-2, siG7L-1, or siD5R-2 (a previously described siRNA) in combination with cidofovir against VACV was determined. The
Antiviral effects were evaluated using a CPE reduction assay of HEL cells. Combination indexes were calculated using the isobologram method. The FICs obtained for cidofovir and for each siRNA were plotted on the x and y axes, respectively, to generate isobolograms (Fig. 7A to C). The antiviral effects of the different combinations were strongly synergistic against VACV-WR replication (Fig. 7A to C), while no increase in cytotoxicity was noted (data not shown). The mean FIC value calculated from three independent experiments was < 0.5 for each combination of cidofovir and siRNA (Student’s t test; \( P < 0.05 \)) (Table 1).

The synergistic effect of these combinations was also confirmed in A549 cells. The antiviral effect of cidofovir (32 \( \mu M \)) combined with each siRNA (1 nM) was assessed by virus yield reduction assays with A549 cells. As shown in Table 2, a 1.1-log decrease in virus yield was observed when cidofovir was combined with siB1R-2, whereas only a 0.5-log reduction was seen with each compound alone. The cidofovir–siD5R-2 and cidofovir–siG7L-1 combinations resulted in 2.8- and 3.0-log reductions in VACV yield, respectively, compared to that with siNT (Student’s t test; \( P < 0.05 \)), whereas these molecules alone decreased virus titers by 0.5 log (cidofovir) and 1.2 log (siG7L-1 or siD5R-2) (Student’s t test; \( P < 0.05 \)). These experiments confirmed that the combination of cidofovir and siRNAs resulted in a greater inhibition of VACV-WR growth than that afforded by the sum of effects for each compound alone.

Antiviral activities of siRNAs against cidofovir-resistant VACV strains harboring specific mutations in the viral DNA polymerase gene. We also explored whether siB1R-2, siG7L-1, and siD5R-2 (61) were able to inhibit the growth of five VACV strains bearing single or double mutations in the viral DNA polymerase gene (\( E9L \)). These mutations were previously described and have been associated with resistance to the reference antipoxvirus compound cidofovir and other ANPs, including HPMPDAP (4, 25). The efficacy of each siRNA against the different VACV-WR DNA polymerase mutants compared to that against the wild-type virus VACV-WR was evaluated in HEL cell cultures by CPE reduction assay. Cells were transfected 1 day before infection with the siRNA of interest or were treated at 1 hour postinfection with cidofovir or HPMPDAP. Virus-induced CPE was recorded at day 2 postinfection.

FIG. 6. Inhibition of CPXV by siG7L-1 but not by siB1R-2 in A549 cells. Cells were transfected with various concentrations (1, 3, 10, 30, or 100 nM) of siG7L-1(A), siB1R-2 (B), or siNT (100 nM). At 24 hours posttransfection, cells were infected at an MOI of 0.1 with CPXV-BR, and samples were harvested at 24 h postinfection. Viral titers were determined in cell culture. Error bars represent the SD of the means of quadruplicates for at least two independent experiments. *, \( P < 0.05 \) (siRNAs differ significantly from the siNT control) (Student’s t test). There was no significant difference between siNT-transfected infected cells and nontransfected infected cells.

FIG. 7. siRNAs combined with cidofovir are strongly synergistic against VACV-WR replication in HEL cells. (A to C) Isobolograms showing the effect of a combination of cidofovir with either siB1R-2 (A), siG7L-1 (B), or siD5R-2 (C) against VACV-WR growth in HEL cells. The numbers on the axes represent normalized FIC values (see Materials and Methods). The diagonal line connecting the FICsiRNA of 1 on the ordinate axis with the FICcidofovir of 1 on the abscissa axis corresponds to the line of additivity (unity line). Experimental data points located below, on, and above the unity line indicate synergy, additivity, and antagonism, respectively. Combination measurements were done in three independent experiments, and the most representative data are shown.
fection, and EC\textsubscript{50}s for the different siRNAs, cidofovir, and HPMPDAP were calculated for each viral strain (Table 3). The EC\textsubscript{50}s for cidofovir and HPMPDAP are in agreement with previously published data for these mutants and confirm their resistance profiles for cidofovir and/or HPMPDAP (4, 25). Mutant viruses bearing single mutations, i.e., A314T, A684V, and S851Y mutants, were less resistant to cidofovir and to HPMPDAP (mean EC\textsubscript{50}s of 147 \mu M and 37 \mu M, respectively) than those carrying double mutations (mean EC\textsubscript{50}s of >159 \mu M and 147.5 \mu M, respectively) and more resistant than the wild-type virus (mean EC\textsubscript{50}s of 34 \mu M for cidofovir and 9 \mu M for HPMPDAP). The EC\textsubscript{50}s obtained for siD5R-2 and siG7L-1 against the wild-type virus were 0.016 and 0.011 \mu M, respectively, whereas siB1R-2 proved to be less active, with an EC\textsubscript{50} of 0.1 \mu M (Table 3). siRNAs exhibited three different spectra of antiviral activities (EC\textsubscript{50}s for mutant viruses were similar to, lower, or higher than that of the wild-type virus), depending on the amino acid substitution(s) in the viral DNA polymerase associated with resistance to the ANPs. As a general conclusion, it appears that siD5R-2 and siG7L-1 affected the replication of these viral mutants in similar ways. Thus, viruses bearing the A314T or S851Y change were more sensitive to the inhibitory action of these two siRNAs, with EC\textsubscript{50}s that were 3.2-fold (siD5R-2) and 1.6-fold (siG7L-1) lower for the A314T mutant and ~5-fold lower for the S851Y mutant than for the wild-type virus. In contrast, the virus bearing the A684V change appeared to be less sensitive to siD5R-2 and siG7L-1 (EC\textsubscript{50}s were 2.5-fold and 6.4-fold higher, respectively, than those for the wild-type virus). Mutant viruses encoding the double substitutions (A314T+A684V and A684V+S851Y) exhibited a susceptibility to siD5R-2 or siG7L-1 that was comparable to that of the wild-type strain (P > 0.05). In the case of siB1R-2, no inhibition of virus replication was observed with any of the mutants at the higher concentration of siRNA tested (i.e., 0.1 \mu M), except for the S851Y mutant, which displayed increased sensitivity (EC\textsubscript{50} = 0.02 \mu M for the S851Y virus, compared to 0.1 \mu M for the wild-type virus). The presence of the S851Y mutation appeared to significantly enhance the antiviral activities of siD5R-2, siG7L-1, and siB1R-2, while the presence of the A684V mutation resulted in a decreased sensitivity to these siRNAs. In contrast, the A314T mutation affected the activities of these three siRNAs in a different way, i.e., caused increased sensitivity to siD5R-2 and siG7L-1 and decreased sensitivity to siB1R-2. On the other hand, the combination of the A314T+A684V and A684V+S851Y mutations seemed to abrogate the effects of each individual change and resulted in a null effect, since the antiviral activities observed with siD5R-2 and siG7L-1 against these double mutants were similar to that seen against the wild-type virus.

**DISCUSSION**

Currently, there is a need to develop novel antipoxvirus drugs due to the threat represented by the potential use of VARV as a bioweapon and the increasing number of poxvirus infections in humans (1, 52). Indeed, no specific medical treatment for smallpox other than supportive care is available, and no approved antiviral treatment exists. However, two antivirals are recommended by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control in case of a smallpox outbreak: they are cidofovir and a drug under development, i.e., ST-246, which is available as an investigational new drug. Novel antiviral approaches are still demanded to enlarge the collection of compounds active against orthopoxviruses. Today, the use of RNAi drugs as a therapeutic strategy to manage viral infections can be considered because multiple siRNA targets can be chosen within conserved viral genes. The in vitro effectiveness of orthopoxvirus siRNA-based treatment by targeting the conserved viral gene was demonstrated in our previous study (68). In this study, we complement the previous study and provide new insights into the antiviral potencies of other siRNAs specifically designed to target essential viral gene transcripts of human-pathogenic orthopoxviruses.

The two novel siRNAs, i.e., siB1R-2 and siG7L-1, assessed in the present study demonstrated their inhibitory activities against VACV-WR in two different cell types, i.e., epithelial and fibroblast cells. Virus yield experiments showed a prophylactic effect of siG7L-1 and siB1R-2, with a dose-dependent inhibition of virus growth, with a concentration of 1 nM of each siRNA still being effective. This prophylactic effect persisted for at least 72 h, showing the relative stability of these siRNAs in the cells, as well as the long-lasting siRNA-mediated silencing effect. A similar degree of antiviral potency has already been reported for siD5R-2, developed in our laboratory (68). Moreover, our results are in line with previous studies demonstrating that the effects of siRNAs have a relatively long half-life (from 5 to 7 days after transfection) (8, 63). The

**TABLE 1. Determination of FIC\textsubscript{i} values in HEL cells**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug-siRNA combination</th>
<th>Expt 1</th>
<th>Expt 2</th>
<th>Expt 3</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cidofovir–siB1R-2</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cidofovir–siG7L-1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cidofovir–siD5R-2</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2. Antiviral activities of siRNAs in combination with cidofovir in A549 cells**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>siRNA</th>
<th>Virus titer reduction (log\textsubscript{10} TCID\textsubscript{50}/ml)</th>
<th>Enhancement of cidofovir activity' (log\textsubscript{10} TCID\textsubscript{50}/ml)</th>
<th>Synergistic interaction' (log\textsubscript{10} TCID\textsubscript{50}/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>siB1R-2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>+0.6</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siG7L-1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>+2.3</td>
<td>+1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siD5R-2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>+2.5</td>
<td>+1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Cells were transfected with the siRNA of interest (siD5R-2, siB1R-2, or siG7L-1) at a concentration of 1 nM. At 24 hours posttransfection, cells were infected with VACV-WR at an MOI of 0.0001 and then treated or not with cidofovir (32 \mu M). Samples were harvested at 48 h postinfection, and viral titers (log\textsubscript{10} 50% tissue culture infective dose [TCID\textsubscript{50}]/ml) were determined in cell culture.

\textsuperscript{b} Means of triplicate experiments.

\textsuperscript{c} Enhancement of cidofovir activity was calculated with the following formula: enhancement of cidofovir activity = (virus titer with combination of cidofovir and siRNA) – (virus titer with cidofovir alone).

\textsuperscript{d} The synergistic effect of the combination was calculated with the following formula: synergistic interaction = (virus titer with combination of cidofovir and siRNA) – (titer with siRNA alone + titer with cidofovir alone).
antiviral effects seen with siG7L-1 and siB1R-2 were highly specific because both siRNAs knocked down the transcripts of the genes of interest, as shown by RT-PCR. However, it has to be noticed that poxviruses produce late mRNA transcripts that are unusually long and may overlap several open reading frames (6, 40). These important data suggest that the mRNA levels of several late genes located upstream of G7L, such as L3L and J5L, may also be reduced by treatment with siG7L-1. Such an indirect effect could contribute to an increased antiviral potency of a specific siRNA targeting a late gene. In addition, the inhibitory activities were not related to induction of an IFN response (61). A therapeutic effect of siG7L-1 and siB1R-2 could be observed in cell culture, since viral replication was reduced by 80% when siRNAs were administered to the cells for up to 24 h postinfection. siG7L-1 proved its antiviral activity against the other pathogenic orthopoxviruses tested in this study, i.e., MPXV-Cop and CPXV-BR. In contrast, siB1R-2 reduced MPXV-Cop replication but failed to inhibit CPXV-BR. The inactivity of siB1R-2 against CPXV-BR could be explained by the presence of a central single nucleotide change between the target sequence and the siRNA (at position 10 of the 5′ end of the sense strand). This observation highlights the importance of base pairing between the central regions of the siRNA and the target site (3, 14). Several studies demonstrated that many peripheral nucleotide changes can be well tolerated, but a stringent homology for central residues is expected to be similar since the mechanism of action of siRNAs and their targets differs from those of cidofovir and HPMPDAP. Furthermore, combination of these molecules did not result in increased toxicity for the cell cultures. However, further studies are still required to demonstrate the benefit of double therapy with siRNA-cidofovir in vivo.

We finally investigated the activity of siB1R-2, siG7L-1, or siD5R-2 against VACV-WR strains harboring specific mutations in the viral DNA polymerase which are known to confer resistance to cidofovir and HPMPDAP. These two molecules belong to the ANP family and are known to inhibit poxviruses by interfering with the viral DNA polymerase, encoded by the E9L gene in VACV (4, 25). Several mutations conferring a resistance phenotype have been mapped to the E9L gene of VACV (4, 35). Although these viruses were highly resistant in vitro, they also exhibited a decreased pathogenicity in vivo compared to that of the wild-type VACV strain (4). EC_{50} for each siRNA against mutant and wild-type viruses were expected to be similar since the mechanism of action of siRNAs and their targets differs from those of cidofovir and HPMPDAP. However, interesting differences in the potency of siRNAs against mutant viruses were found. We showed that siG7L-1 and siD5R-2 were active against four of five VACV-WR mutants; only the mutant virus bearing the A684V change proved to be less sensitive to these two siRNAs. On the other hand, siB1R-2 was poorly active against the wild-type virus (EC_{50} = 0.1 μM) in human fibroblasts, and except for the S851Y mutant, which was hypersensitive to this siRNA, all mutants were not inhibited by siB1R-2 at the higher concentration assessed (0.1 μM). An interesting feature was noticed with the VACV carrying the S851Y mutation, which was hypersensitive to all three siRNAs, i.e., siB1R-2, siG7L-1, and siD5R-2. This phenomenon can be explained by its reduced replicative capacity in vitro, as reported in a previous study (4). In contrast, the A684V mutant virus appeared to be less sensitive to siD5R-2 and siG7L-1. Why the A684V mutation coun-

---

**Table 3. Antiviral activities of cidofovir, HPMPDAP, and siRNAs against different VACV-WR DNA polymerase mutants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>VACV-WR</th>
<th>VACV-WR DNA polymerase mutants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A314T</td>
<td>A684V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cidofovir</td>
<td>34 ± 5</td>
<td>139* ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMPDAP</td>
<td>9 ± 2</td>
<td>59 ± 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siD5R-2</td>
<td>0.016 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.005* ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siG7L-1</td>
<td>0.011 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.007* ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siB1R-2</td>
<td>0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>&gt;0.1 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The EC_{50} of each compound represents the mean ± SD of the EC_{50} from at least three independent experiments. The 50% cytostatic concentrations of cidofovir and HPMPDAP were >159 μM, and that of each siRNA was >0.1 μM.

**Mutations were identified in the VACV-WR DNA polymerase gene and were responsible for the resistance phenotypes observed with cidofovir (A314T, A684V, and A314T+A684V) and HPMPDAP (S851Y and A684V+S851Y).**
tered the antiviral effects of siD5R-2 and siG7L-1 is unclear. In our hands, siD5R-2 silenced the D5 transcript by more than 90% and knocked down the expression of the D5 protein in cells infected with wild-type VACV-WR (68). Looking at the role of the D5 protein in viral replication, we hypothesized that the A684V mutation could alter the E7 protein by rendering it less dependent on the role of D5. Indeed, D5 is included within the replication complex of orthopoxviruses and interacts with the A20 protein, the stoichiometric component of the processivity factor of the catalytic subunit of the viral DNA polymerase (e9). We suggested that the A684V mutation, located in the DNA polymerase catalytic domain, might lead to the production of a mutated E9 protein which is less dependent on the interaction between D5 and A20 proteins. In the case of siG7L-1, we cannot explain the resistance phenotype seen with the virus bearing the A684V mutation: the G7 protein is re-
acted with the double mutant virus bearing the G7 protein is re-
acted with the double mutant virus bearing the A314T and S851Y mutations, located in the 3'- reading exonuclease domain and in the C-terminal DNA poly-
merase domain of the E9 protein, respectively, seemed to abrogate the resistance phenotype observed with the single A684V mutation. These results show the importance of testing new drug candidates such as siRNAs against well-char-
acterized drug-resistant viruses.

The most common route of VARV infection is via aerosol (48), with the mouth, trachea, and lungs being the sites of primary replication of the virus. siRNAs have been reported to be effective in vivo following intranasal or intravenous adminis-
tration in several models of viral respiratory diseases, such as those associated with influenza virus, respiratory syncytial virus, paramyxovirus, and SARS coronavirus (10, 66, 74). Therefore, the use of siRNAs to inhibit orthopoxviruses in vivo appears to be conceivable, although our previous results on the efficacy of naked siRNAs in CFPV-infected mice are not reproducible (69). This lack of reproducibility can be explained by the use of naked siRNAs; thus, it seems mandatory to evaluate the efficiency of chemically modified siRNAs. Experiments are currently ongoing to investigate the antiviral activities mediated by chemically modified siG7L-1, siB1R-1, and siD5R-2 and by lipid-based nanoparticles for nucleic acid delivery in different mouse models of orthopoxvirus infections (60).

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the antiviral potencies of siRNAs against wild-type orthopoxviruses and against four of five cidofovir-resistant VACV. Also, this is the first report showing that combined therapies of siRNAs with cidofovir can be a promising approach in antiviral chemotherapy. Further studies are necessary to evaluate the antiviral efficacies of chemically modified siG7L-1, siB1R-1, and siD5R-2, as well as of a combined siRNA-cidofovir therapy, in animal models of orthopoxvirus infections.
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