Steady-State Plasma Pharmacokinetics of Oral Voriconazole in Obese Adults
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Voriconazole is an antifungal agent that is currently used as primary therapy for invasive aspergillosis and as a potential treatment for systemic candidiasis. Data on the dosing of voriconazole in obese patients are not available, which is problematic given the increased prevalence of this special population. To address this limitation, we evaluated the steady-state plasma pharmacokinetics of voriconazole through a two-way, cross-over design in a cohort of eight healthy volunteers with class II obesity or greater. The median (minimum, maximum) age, weight, and body mass index of obese subjects were 43 (22, 48) years, 133 (105, 155) kg, and 46.2 (38.4, 53.7) kg/m², respectively. The geometric mean ratios (90% confidence intervals) for the area under the curve from time zero to 12 h (dosing interval; AUC₀–₁₂), maximum concentration (C_max), minimum concentration at 12 h (C_min), and time to C_max (T_max) of the 300-mg to 200-mg dosing regimens were 2.0 (1.5, 2.7), 1.8 (1.4, 2.2), 2.2 (1.6, 2.9), and 1.6 (1.0, 2.4) in obese subjects, respectively. The AUC₀–₁₂ values observed in obese subjects were comparable to those from a historical data set of nonobese subjects. Voriconazole dose-normalized AUC₀–₁₂ values had a modestly better correlation with lean body weight (r² = 0.42) than total body weight (r² = 0.14). An excellent linear relationship (r² = 0.96) was identified between C_max values and AUC₀–₁₂ values. Adjustment of voriconazole doses in individuals with class II obesity or greater does not appear to be necessary on the basis of body weight.

Voriconazole is an antifungal agent used for the treatment of fungal infections such as invasive aspergillosis and systemic candidiasis (14). It is available clinically as both intravenous and oral (p.o.) formulations (14). The current recommended oral dosing regimen (DR) for voriconazole includes use of 200 mg every 12 h for patients who are over 40 kg in body weight (14). The dosage can be increased to 300 mg by mouth every 12 h in situations where a sufficient clinical response is not observed. No specific recommendation currently exists to modify the oral dose of voriconazole in patients to accommodate extremes in body weight. For patients on an intravenous regimen, however, a weight-based (actual or total body weight [TBW]) voriconazole dosing strategy is recommended (3 to 6 mg/kg intravenously every 12 h) to treat serious infections such as invasive aspergillosis.

Given that voriconazole is administered either as a fixed dose (by mouth) or as a weight-based dose (intravenously), a clinical conundrum exists when obese patients are dosed. Based on the product label, a 100-kg patient may be treated with 600 mg every 12 h if the patient is dosed intravenously (6 mg/kg of body weight) or, alternatively, may be treated with 2 mg/kg every 12 h if the patient is dosed by mouth (200 mg every 12 h). This discordance between intravenous and oral dosing may prompt clinicians to adopt a weight-based dosing approach for obese patients when using oral doses of voriconazole. However, use of a weight-based dosing approach for obese subjects is concerning given its nonlinear pharmacokinetics: small changes in the dosage can result in a disproportional increase in drug exposure (16). For example, a 1.5-fold dose increment in voriconazole from 200 mg to 300 mg every 12 h results in an approximately 2.5-fold increase in exposure in normal-weight subjects (14). This dosing discrepancy could lead to toxicity due to high plasma exposures (intravenous dosing) or failure due to low plasma exposures (oral fixed dosing).

Unfortunately, the oral dosing of voriconazole has not been systematically evaluated in obese patients (12). The current pilot study was conducted to characterize the pharmacokinetic (PK) profile of voriconazole in obese subjects using two fixed-dose regimens. The specific objectives of this study were to (i) compare the steady-state pharmacokinetics of voriconazole administered by mouth as a loading dose (400 mg for 2 doses on day −1) and as two fixed maintenance doses (200 mg or 300 mg every 12 h for 7 doses) in obese subjects and (ii) compare the pharmacokinetics of voriconazole among our cohort of obese subjects to an extant data set of healthy normal-weight subjects who have received similar oral voriconazole regimens.

(This work was presented in part at the 50th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, Boston, MA, 12 to 15 September 2010.)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Regulatory compliance. The current study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Albany College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences prior to the enrollment of any subjects. An Investigational New Drug (IND) application exemption was obtained on 26 May 2009 (IND 105,565). The study protocol was registered through www.clinicaltrials.gov (NCT01030653).

Study subjects. Ten obese subjects were recruited with the assumption that up to two subjects may not complete both pharmacokinetic study phases. The inclusion criteria included the following: (i) males and females 18 to 50 years of age, (ii) nonsmoking or light smoking (<5 cigarettes per day), and (iii) body mass index (BMI) of ≥35 kg/m². To be considered for inclusion, female subjects of childbearing potential had to be either surgically sterilized or using an effective
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method of contraception. The exclusion criteria included (i) history of significant hypersensitivity reaction or intolerance to any triazole, (ii) history of significant clinical illness requiring pharmacological management, (iii) abnormal serum electrolyte or complete blood count requiring further clinical workup, (iv) transaminase levels >2.5 times the upper limit of normal, (v) estimated creatinine clearance of <50 ml/min (Cockcroft-Gault equation [3]), (vi) positive urine pregnancy test (if female), (vii) abnormal electrocardiogram (ECG), (viii) inability to tolerate venipuncture and multiple blood draws, and (ix) clinically significant abnormal physical examination. The use of any concurrent medications or herbal supplements was not permitted during the study.

**Experimental design.** This was a phase 1, open-label, dose-sequence-randomized, multiple-dose, crossover, pharmacokinetic study in subjects with class II obesity or greater (BMI ≥ 35 kg/m²). Qualifying subjects who completed an informed consent were randomized (permuted block) to initiate one of two voriconazole DRs with a 7-day washout period between phases: (i) DR-1 was 400 mg p.o. every 12 h for 2 doses (day 1), followed by 200 mg p.o. every 12 h for 7 doses, and (ii) DR-2 was 400 mg p.o. every 12 h for 2 doses (day 1), followed by 300 mg p.o. every 12 h for 7 doses. The first and last doses administered within each DR were directly observed by the study personnel. Subjects self-administered all subsequent voriconazole doses by mouth with about 8 fluid ounces of water in the fasted state (no food 1 h prior to and after dosing).

**Pharmacokinetic sampling and analysis.** A blood sample was collected within 15 min prior to the scheduled 7th maintenance dose, and the time was recorded as time 0 h. Blood samples were then collected at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 6, 8, and 12 h in sodium heparin-containing tubes after the last dose (7th maintenance dose). Plasma was harvested and stored at −70°C within 60 min of blood collection until analysis. A minimum 7-day washout period (no voriconazole dosing) followed the initial sampling phase. Plasma was analyzed for voriconazole by PPD (Middleton, WI), according to PPD method P968.000, “Determination of voriconazole and voriconazole n-oxide in human plasma by LC/MS/MS [liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry].” All samples were stored at −20°C or colder prior to assay and analyzed as a single batch. Details of this assay methodology have been described previously (4). This validated assay included lower and upper limits of quantitation (10 ng/ml and 5,000 ng/ml, respectively). Samples with concentrations above the upper limits of quantitation were diluted and reassayed. For analytical runs which contained diluted subject samples, the appropriate-level quality control pool was also diluted and analyzed in a similar manner to validate the dilution of study samples. Finally, incurred sample reproducibility was performed on 10% of the study samples. The coefficient of variation for the interassay precision and accuracy was less than 5%. Incurred sample reproducibility was also performed on 10% of the samples.

Pharmacokinetic analyses were performed using the WinNonLin program (Pharsight Corp., Mountain View, CA). The following pharmacokinetic parameters were estimated: maximum concentration (Cmax), time to Cmax (Tmax), area under the curve from time zero to 12 h (dosing interval; AUC0–12), apparent clearance (CL/F), apparent volume of distribution (V/F), and minimum concentration (Cmin).

**Nonobese control data.** Subject-level, steady-state pharmacokinetic parameter values for voriconazole that were obtained from a nonobese healthy volunteer population were provided by Pfizer, Inc. (15). The nonobese population included subjects treated with 200 mg by mouth every 12 h (n = 14) and 300 mg by mouth every 12 h (n = 7).

**Evaluation of alternative body size descriptors (ABSDs) as predictors of exposure.** The predictive value of voriconazole doses indexed to TBW, ideal body weight (IBW), adjusted body weight (ABW), lean body weight (LBW), and body surface area (BSA) on AUC0–T was determined by linear regression for the combined obese and nonobese pharmacokinetic data set. Ideal body weight was estimated using the simple height-based rule (13). Adjusted body weight was set as TBW when the ratio of TBW/IBW was <1.3 and as 0.4 · (TBW − IBW) + IBW when TBW/IBW was ≥1.3 (11). Lean body weight was estimated using the LBW2005 equations by Jannmahasatian and colleagues (7). The LBW2005 equations by sex are as follows: LBW2005 for males = (9,270 × TBW)/(6,680 + 216 × BMI) and LBW2005 for females = (9,270 × TBW)/(8,780 + 244 × BMI).

Finally, BSA was estimated using Mosteller’s adaptation (10). All statistical analyses were completed using Stata SE (version 11) software (StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX).

**RESULTS**

**Study subjects.** Ten subjects were enrolled into the study prior to the initial data analysis, with data from two phases available for eight subjects. Two subjects withdrew from the study for reasons unrelated to the study medication or procedures. The eight subjects (2 males, 6 females) included in this analysis had a mean ± standard deviation (SD) age, TBW, height, and BMI of 41.6 ± 8.3 years, 133.4 ± 16.8 kg, 1.70 ± 0.09 m, and 46.3 ± 5.8 kg/m², respectively. Participant race was equally distributed as 50% black and 50% white.

**Voriconazole concentration-time profiles.** The individual plasma concentration-time profiles for each subject are illustrated in Fig. 1 by dosing regimen. As expected, a nonlinear increase in exposure was visible with the 1.5-fold increase in daily dose. The geometric mean ratios (90% confidence intervals [CIs]) of the 300-mg to 200-mg dosing regimens in obese subjects were 2.0 (1.5, 2.7), 1.8 (1.4, 2.2), 2.2 (1.6, 2.9), 1.6 (1.0, 2.4) for the AUC0–T, Cmax, Cmin, and Tmax values, respectively.

**FIG. 1.** Individual voriconazole steady-state concentration-time profiles for obese subjects over the dosing interval by the oral dose regimen.
One subject in particular demonstrated a marked increase in exposure with the higher dosage of voriconazole (Fig. 1).

Comparison of voriconazole pharmacokinetics between obese subjects and nonobese subjects (historical reference group). The geometric mean (95% CI) plasma pharmacokinetic parameters are included in Table 1 by dosing regimen and group (obese, nonobese). The mean ± SD age, TBW, and BMI of the nonobese referent group were 25.9 ± 5.7 years, 76.9 ± 7.1 kg, and 23.7 ± 1.9 kg/m², respectively. Despite a 72% difference in the mean TBW, the absolute \( V/F \) and \( C_{\text{max}} \) were very similar (±25%) between the two groups when they were compared by dosing regimen. The geometric mean AUC\(_{0-24} \) values were similar between the two groups when the results for the 300-mg dose of voriconazole were compared. In contrast, the geometric mean absolute \( V/F \) was approximately 50% lower, and as a consequence, the AUC\(_{0-24} \) was approximately 50% higher with the 200-mg dose of voriconazole in the obese compared to the nonobese group.

**TABLE 1. Comparison of voriconazole plasma pharmacokinetic parameters by dosage regimen between two groups of healthy volunteers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasma PK parameter</th>
<th>Obese group</th>
<th>Nonobese (reference) group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 mg q12 (n = 8)</td>
<td>300 mg q12 (n = 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V/F ) (liters/h)</td>
<td>13.4 (8.45, 21.3)</td>
<td>10.1 (6.75, 15.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{\text{max}} ) (mg/liter)</td>
<td>2.36 (1.70, 3.28)</td>
<td>4.16 (2.76, 6.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{\text{min}} ) (mg/liter)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.46, 1.44)</td>
<td>1.76 (1.17, 2.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{\text{max}} ) (h)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.80, 1.67)</td>
<td>1.79 (1.37, 2.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V/F ) (liters)</td>
<td>163 (88.6, 237)</td>
<td>118 (76.3, 160)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The two groups of healthy volunteers were classified as having class II obesity or greater (obese group) and a body mass index of ≤30 kg/m² (nonobese group). q12h, every 12 h.

One-third of the U.S. population is now classified as obese (body mass index ≥30 kg/m²) (5). Although the prevalence of obesity has increased over the past 2 decades, studies that evaluate the disposition of antimicrobials in this population are scant (6, 12). The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) does not presently recognize obese patients as a special population, and thus, no specific guidance to evaluate drug disposition in this population exists (6). As a result, clinical trials in the early phases of drug development often exclude obese subjects. Despite this exclusion, drugs are ultimately used in a broader population than that studied in controlled trials. An-
The lack of a clinically meaningful relationship in the ABSD analysis indicates that there are more important factors than weight in accounting for both inter- and intrapatient variability in exposure profiles.

Although weight was not found to be an important pharmacokinetic covariate for voriconazole, a strong linear relationship between voriconazole $C_{\min}$ values and AUC$_{0-\tau}$ values was noted. This has very practical implications for clinicians. In a neutropenic murine model of disseminated candidiasis, a free 24-hour AUC/MIC ratio of 20 to 25 has been demonstrated to be predictive of treatment success (1). Similarly, Mavridou and colleagues have demonstrated the effectiveness of voriconazole to be closely related to free 24-hour AUC/MIC values in a neutropenic murine model of disseminated aspergillosis (9). Consequently, in patients a simple function such as $16.09 \cdot C_{\min} = AUC_{0-\tau}$ could be used to derive a practical clinical estimate of AUC$_{0-\tau}$. This translation may aid future research groups to identify a clinical AUC/MIC target range that can be used to validate the current preclinical AUC/MIC targets outlined above. Again, adoption of such an approach requires refinement of the estimate of this coefficient (16.09) through analyses of larger data sets. At a minimum, our study at least reveals that the clinical measurement of $C_{\min}$ values may be easily transformed to the more robust PK parameter of AUC in order to better predict clinical effect. This is especially important because a specific therapeutic range for voriconazole $C_{\min}$ values (for any drug, for that matter) is likely to remain elusive. Lewis has eloquently framed the rationale of this premise by guiding clinicians to dissociate the concept of a therapeutic range as “an absolute entity; rather, as a concept of probability” (8).

In conclusion, this pilot study provides, for the first time, a glimpse into the disposition of voriconazole in an emerging special population of obese individuals. The knowledge gained from the current study should be utilized to improve population pharmacokinetic models of voriconazole. Oral dosing of voriconazole should not be based on TBW, especially in obese patients, given the risk of disproportional exposure and toxicity. Although our study did not evaluate intravenous dosing of voriconazole, dosing this antifungal solely on the basis of TBW will also lead to disproportional exposure and toxicity in obese patients. A reappraisal of weight-based voriconazole dosing practices in adults is needed. Additional prospective trials in obese patients with invasive fungal infections who are treated with voriconazole are necessary to validate the conclusions of our study.
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