Herb-Drug Interaction between *Echinacea purpurea* and Etravirine in HIV-Infected Patients
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The aim of this open-label, fixed-sequence study was to investigate the potential of the botanical supplement *Echinacea purpurea* to interact with etravirine, a nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor of HIV. Fifteen HIV-infected patients receiving antiretroviral therapy with etravirine (400 mg once daily) for at least 4 weeks were included. *E. purpurea* root/extract-containing capsules were added to the antiretroviral treatment (500 mg every 8 h) for 14 days. Etravirine concentrations in plasma were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography immediately before and 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 24 h after a morning dose of etravirine on day 0 and etravirine plus *E. purpurea* on day 14. Individual etravirine pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated by noncompartmental analysis and compared between days 0 and 14 by means of the geometric mean ratio (GMR) and its 90% confidence interval (CI). The median age was 46 years (interquartile range, 41 to 50), and the median body weight was 76 kg (interquartile range, 68 to 92). Echinacea was well tolerated, and all participants completed the study. The GMR for etravirine coadministered with *E. purpurea* relative to etravirine alone was 1.07 (90% CI, 0.81 to 1.42) for the maximum concentration, 1.04 (90% CI, 0.79 to 1.38) for the area under the concentration-time curve from 0 to 24 h, and 1.04 (90% CI, 0.74 to 1.44) for the concentration at the end of the dosing interval. In conclusion, the coadministration of *E. purpurea* with etravirine was safe and well tolerated in HIV-infected patients; our data suggest that no dose adjustment for etravirine is necessary.

More than half of HIV-infected patients express interest in taking some kind of complementary therapy in addition to their antiretroviral regimens at some point during the course of treatment (15, 16, 19). These patients usually choose dietary and herbal supplements and take them without medical supervision. Nonetheless, such botanical supplements may influence the activity of various enzymes and transporters involved in the absorption, distribution, and metabolism of antiretroviral drugs, putting patients at risk of potential herb-drug interactions (11, 14).

Echinacea preparations rank among the herbal remedies most commonly taken by HIV-infected patients (2, 3, 13), probably because of their hypothesized immunostimulant properties (20, 21). Constituents of *Echinacea purpurea* have the potential to interact with cytochrome P450, providing a reason to suspect herb-drug interactions involving CYP3A4 substrates, including many antiretroviral agents (1, 10, 18). However, we observed no significant interaction between *E. purpurea* and the HIV-protease inhibitor darunavir in a previous study with HIV-infected patients (17), a finding we attributed to the fact that our patients were also receiving ritonavir. Ritonavir causes nearly complete inhibition of CYP3A4 activity, possibly offsetting the potential influence of *E. purpurea* on CYP3A4 activity in that study.

Etravirine is a nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor of HIV which is primarily metabolized by the hepatic CYP3A4 and, to a lesser extent, by the CYP2C family, followed by glucuronidation (12). Induction of CYP3A4 activity by *E. purpurea* could therefore theoretically result in inadequately low etravirine concentrations. The magnitude of this potential interaction could be ameliorated by using etravirine in combination with a ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor (12). However, there is a growing interest in using etravirine once daily without a protease inhibitor (8; P. Echeverría, A. Bonjoch, J. Puig, R. Paredes, G. Sirera, J. R. Santos, J. Moltó, B. Clotet, and E. Negredo, presented at the 51st Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 2011), a scenario where possible CYP3A4 induction might be more relevant.

The objective of the present study was therefore to evaluate the potential of *E. purpurea* to interact with etravirine in the absence of ritonavir.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Study design.* This open-label, fixed-sequence study enrolled 15 HIV-infected patients who had been receiving antiretroviral therapy with etravirine (400 mg once daily) for at least 4 weeks and whose HIV-1 RNA load in plasma was <50 copies/ml. Patients on concomitant treatment with ritonavir or other CYP3A4 inhibitors or with a history of suboptimal treatment adherence were excluded. All patients gave written informed consent before enrollment, the protocol was approved by our hospital’s ethics committee and the Spanish Medicines and Medical Devices Agency, and the study was performed according to the stipulations of the Declaration of Helsinki and registered (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier, NCT01347658).

Patients took capsules containing *E. purpurea* root extract (Arkocápsulas Echinácea, lot no. W064636A; Arkopharma) at a dosage of 500 mg every 8 h from days 1 to 14. All pills came from a single lot, which was externally controlled and certified to contain 100% of the labeled content of *E. purpurea*. Antiretroviral treatment remained unchanged. Serial blood samples to determine etravirine concentrations in plasma were collected immediately before and 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 24 h after a wit-
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Waters) protected by a SecurityGuard C18 column (4.0 by 3.0 mm; Phenomenex). The fluorescence detector was set at 299 and 396 nm for excitation, as well as by the laboratory assessments (blood counts, chemistry, CD4 T-cell count, and HIV-1 RNA load), on days 0, 14, and 28. To enhance adherence to scheduled clinical visits and the treatment protocol, patients were provided with a visit calendar. Apart from days 0 and 14, drug intake was not directly observed; adherence was assessed by means of a diary, in which the patient recorded medication intake, and by pill count on day 14.

Analytical and pharmacokinetic analysis. Blood samples for etravirine determinations were collected into K-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid–containing 10-ml tubes. Plasma was isolated by centrifugation (3,200 × g for 15 min) and stored at −20°C until analysis. Etravirine concentrations were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography with a fluorescence detector (Multifluorescence detector 2475; Waters) according to a validated method. Chromatographic separation was performed on a Sunfire C18 column (particle size 5 μm, 4.6 by 150 mm; Waters) protected by a SecurityGuard C18 column (4.0 by 3.0 mm; Phenomenex). The fluorescence detector was set at 299 and 396 nm for excitation and emission wavelengths, respectively. The drug was extracted from plasma by liquid-liquid extraction with tert-butyl methyl ether. The mobile phase consisted of a gradient elution with phosphate buffer (50 mM) in acetonitrile (pH 6.70). The method was linear over the range of 0.01 to 2.40 mg/liter. The intraday and interday coefficients of variation were less than 10%. Our laboratory subscribes to the external quality assurance program organized by the Association for Quality Assessment in Therapeutic Drug Monitoring and Clinical Toxicology of Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, the Netherlands (6).

Etravirine pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated for each individual using a noncompartmental approach by means of WinNonlin software application (version 2.0; Pharsight, Mountain View, CA). The area under the concentration-time curve during the dose interval (AUC0–24) was calculated by means of the linear trapezoidal rule. Maximum concentrations (Cmax), and the concentrations at the end of the dosing interval (C24), were obtained by inspection of the concentration data.

Statistical analysis. Data analysis was carried out using SPSS version 15.0 statistical software. Etravirine pharmacokinetic parameters were described by the geometric mean and compared between days 0 and 14 by the geometric mean ratio (GMR). Pharmacokinetic parameters were natural log-transformed before analysis, and confidence intervals (CIs) for means (and for the difference between two means) were constructed on the natural log scale based on an analysis of variance (ANOVA) model with treatment as a fixed effect. The results were then exponentiated and reported with 90% CIs.

A power calculation indicated that 15 patients would provide an 80% chance of detecting a 25% difference in the AUC0–24 for etravirine at a level of significance of 0.05 (P value).

RESULTS
A total of 15 Caucasian HIV-infected patients were enrolled. Overall, 10 (66.7%) were males, and 4 (26.7%) were coinfected with hepatitis C virus (HCV). The median age was 46 years (interquartile range, 41 to 50), and the median body weight was 76 kg (interquartile range, 68 to 92). Other antiretroviral drugs being taken in addition to etravirine included tenofovir-emtricitabine (10 patients) and abacavir-lamivudine (5 patients). The median CD4 T cell count was 799 cells/mm3 (range, 541 to 946).

The echinacea preparation was well tolerated, and all participants completed the study. Patients were fully adherent to antiretroviral and echinacea treatments, none reported drug-related adverse events during the study, and all had an HIV-1 RNA load of <50 copies/ml at the end of the study.

Etravirine pharmacokinetics. One participant displayed etravirine concentrations which were near 10 times higher than those observed in the rest of the patients in both study periods. This patient was considered to be a poor metabolizer/outlier, and he was excluded from the pharmacokinetic analysis.

The mean plasma concentration-time curves of etravirine in the presence and absence of E. purpurea are shown in Fig. 1, and...
comparisons of etravirine pharmacokinetic parameters between days 0 and 14 are summarized in Table 1. Etravirine concentration curves were superimposed, and no significant treatment effects were observed for any of the primary pharmacokinetic parameters after administration of etravirine with (day 14) or without (day 0) *E. purpurea*. Despite once-daily dosing of etravirine without boosted protease inhibitors, etravirine trough concentrations remained consistently above the median protein-binding-adjusted 50% effective concentration (EC50) for wild-type HIV (0.004 mg/liter) (5) in all participants (Fig. 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Our findings show that *E. purpurea* does not significantly affect the pharmacokinetics of etravirine in HIV-infected patients and therefore is not likely to put the patients at a significant herb-drug interaction risk.

The concern that *E. purpurea* might induce CYP3A4 activity in patients on antiretroviral agents, which are metabolized by this enzyme, is based on previous studies that found that midazolam exposure decreased by nearly 25% in the presence of an echinacea preparation (10, 18). However, two recent studies in individuals taking echinacea with boosted protease inhibitors found no significant interaction (17, 18). One study was performed in healthy volunteers who received lopinavir-ritonavir (18), and the other was conducted in HIV-infected patients taking darunavir-ritonavir (17). In these studies, the lack of interaction could have been due to the coadministration of both lopinavir and darunavir with low doses of ritonavir, a potent CYP3A4 inhibitor which could have offset the CYP3A4-inducing effects of echinacea. Our findings in the present study contribute to attenuating any concerns that remain, however, given that the effect of echinacea on etravirine pharmacokinetics in our patients was negligible despite the lack of a boosted protease inhibitor. Nonetheless, it is noteworthy that the magnitude of this interaction was quite variable at the individual level, from near 25% decreases to up to 50% increases in drug concentrations, possibly supporting monitoring etravirine concentrations in plasma if possible.

Three factors are relevant to interpreting our results. First, in addition to CYP3A4 induction at the liver by *E. purpurea*, inhibition at the intestinal lumen has also been reported (10) and could also offset the potential induction of hepatic CYP3A4. Second, etravirine is a CYP3A4 inducer itself, and it could have masked potential induction of CYP3A by echinacea. Third, discrepancies between the labeled and the actual content of active constituents have been reported for many botanical preparations, to the extent that commercial products have not contained the labeled herb at all in some cases (7, 9). To avoid an effect of this potential limitation within our study, we purchased a single lot of *E. purpurea* from Arkopharma, which is a leader in the botanical supplement market in Europe. This company is externally controlled and has been granted the Good Manufacturing Practices certificate by the AFSSAPS (a French health products safety agency).

Although etravirine is licensed for twice-daily dosing and for use in combination with a boosted protease inhibitor (12), the patients in this study were receiving 400 mg of etravirine once daily without a boosted protease inhibitor. Interest in this off-label etravirine dosing regimen has emerged in the clinical setting (8; P. Echeverría, A. Bonjoch, J. Puig, R. Paredes, G. Sirera, J. R. Santos, J. Molto, B. Clotet, and E. Negredo, presented at the 51st Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 2011). Even though etravirine exposure is known to be lower after once-daily administration (4), it is noteworthy that all our patients showed etravirine concentrations far above the protein-binding-adjusted EC50 for wild-type HIV (5) and all had undetectable viral loads at the end of the study (although this concentration cutoff value has not been clinically validated to be used in therapeutic drug-monitoring programs).

We conclude that the coadministration of *E. purpurea* with etravirine is safe and well tolerated in HIV-infected patients. Our data suggest that no dose adjustment for etravirine is necessary in this scenario.
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**TABLE 1** Etravirine pharmacokinetic parameters with and without the coadministration of multiple doses of *E. purpurea*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ETR</th>
<th>ETR + <em>E. purpurea</em></th>
<th>GMR (90% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cmax (mg/liter)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.72–1.07)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.78–1.15)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.81–1.42)</td>
<td>0.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC0–24 (mg - h/liter)</td>
<td>12.6 (10.2–15.4)</td>
<td>13.2 (10.7–16.1)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.79–1.38)</td>
<td>0.802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C24 (mg/liter)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.27–0.43)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.28–0.44)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.74–1.44)</td>
<td>0.856</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Data are expressed as geometric mean (90% confidence interval). ETR, etravirine; GMR, geometric mean ratio; CI, confidence interval; Cmax, maximum concentration; AUC0–24, area under the concentration-time curve from 0 to 24 h after dosing; C24, concentration at the end of the dosing interval.
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