Case-Control Study Comparing De Novo and Daptomycin-Exposed Daptomycin-Nonsusceptible Enterococcus Infections
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Understanding factors associated with de novo daptomycin-nonsusceptible Enterococcus (DNSE) infections will aid in better understanding the mechanisms of daptomycin nonsusceptibility. We conducted a case-control study to compare patients with DNSE infections who were daptomycin treatment naïve (n = 9) and those with DNSE infections who had exposure to daptomycin (n = 13). Less frequent exposure to antimicrobials, increased susceptibility to nitrofurantoin and gentamicin, and shorter duration of hospitalization were associated with de novo DNSE infection, suggesting a potential community reservoir.

There have been several reports of daptomycin-nonsusceptible Enterococcus (DNSE) infections developing during daptomycin therapy (11). DNSE infections in which there was no prior use of daptomycin therapy have also been reported (8, 9). Little is known about how these de novo DNSE infections develop or about the risk factors associated with them. We conducted a case-control study to compare demographic, epidemiological, clinical, and microbiological features between patients with de novo DNSE infections and patients with DNSE infections that developed after exposure to daptomycin. Determining risk factors associated with de novo DNSE infections will aid in understanding the mechanisms of daptomycin nonsusceptibility.

The UCLA clinical microbiology laboratory routinely tests all clinically significant Gram-positive organisms for daptomycin susceptibility by the CLSI reference broth microdilution (BMD) method (2). DNSE species isolated at the UCLA Health System susceptibility by the CLSI reference broth microdilution (BMD) method (2). Screening for high-level aminoglycoside resistance was performed in brain heart infusion broth supplemented with gentamicin (500 μg/ml) or streptomycin (1,000 μg/ml) (2). All MICs were interpreted using CLSI interpretive criteria (4). Daptomycin MICs were confirmed by repeat BMD testing at the time of isolation and were later verified for 14 available DNSE isolates by Etest (bioMérieux, Durham, NC) on Mueller-Hinton agar (BBL, Sparks, MD). The genetic relatedness of these 14 available DNSE isolates was determined by repetitive-element PCR (rep-PCR) using a semiautomated system (DiversiLab, bioMérieux, Durham, NC) and a DiversiLab Enterococcus kit (bioMérieux, Durham, NC).

We determined clinical (if the patient completed at least 5 days of therapy and had resolution of signs and symptoms of DNSE infection) and microbiological (lack of positive cultures at least 7 days after cessation of antienterococcal antibiotics) responses to treatment of the DNSE infection episode when possible.

Univariate and multivariate models were constructed (SAS, version 9.2). The odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence limits (CLs) of demographic, epidemiological, clinical, and microbiological factors were calculated. In addition, an unpaired Student t test was used for comparison of continuous variables. A two-tailed P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

During the study period, a total of 22 patients with 23 DNSE isolates were identified. Nine (41%) of the patients with DNSE infections had no history of daptomycin exposure (case patients), whereas 13 had received daptomycin treatment in the preceding 3 months (control patients). Of the 23 episodes of DNSE infection, DNSE species were isolated from blood (n = 10 [43.5%]), urine (n = 5 [21.7%]), an abdominal source (n = 5 [21.7%]), and wounds (n = 3 [13.0%]). Of the 23 DNSE isolates, 18 (78.3%) were Enterococcus faecium, including 6 (66.6%) of the 9 case isolates and 12 (85.7%) of the 14 control isolates (P > 0.05). Three isolates (13.0%) were Enterococcus faecalis, one (4.3%) was Entero-
(65.2%) of the 23 DNSE isolates were resistant to vancomycin, and one isolate was not identified to the species level. All 18 E. faecium isolates were resistant to ampicillin, and 15 (65.2%) of the 23 DNSE isolates were resistant to vancomycin. Although E. faecium isolates from case patients were more likely to be vancomycin resistant than those from control patients (5/5 [100%] versus 10/13 [76.9%]), this difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.06), but only 5 case patient isolates were available for this analysis. The lower frequency of prior antimicrobial exposure among case patients and the increased susceptibility of their DNSE isolates suggest a possible community reservoir of DNSE strains or, alternatively, an increased risk of transmission of these strains within our facility.

The possibility of a community reservoir of DNSE strains (8) is further supported by our identification of a clonally related E. faecalis isolate shared among two daptomycin-unexposed patients and one daptomycin-exposed patient. It is unclear if other risk factors, such as exposure to animal products and horizontal gene transfer of daptomycin resistance determinants, may promote the development and transmission of DNSE strains in the community (T. Kelesidis, R. Humphries, D. Z. Uslan, and D. Pugeas, unpublished observation).

The limitations of our study include the retrospective study design and the small number of cases identified. Because we did not obtain complete medical records from outside referring facilities, we may have underestimated antimicrobial exposures. In addition, while all daptomycin MICs for the DNSE isolates in this study were confirmed by repeat testing, 9 isolates were not available for supplemental testing by Etest. Of those isolates tested by Etest, 3 (13%) tested with an MIC of 4 μg/ml, which, by the CLSI interpretive criteria, is considered susceptible, and 3 were less than a dilution above the CLSI susceptible breakpoint by Etest. However, it has been documented by others that Etest MICs are generally lower than those obtained by the reference broth microdilution method (7). Nonetheless, this study describes the largest series of de novo DNSE infections and is the first attempt to define factors associated with DNSE infection in patients with no prior exposure to daptomycin. Case-control studies focused on potential community exposures outside those associated with health care may better define risk factors associated with the emergence of de novo DNSE resistance.

The acquisition and emergence of daptomycin resistance among enterococci pose both treatment and infection control challenges. Clinicians should be vigilant not only for the emergence of DNSE infection associated with prolonged daptomycin administration for treatment of serious enterococcal infection but also for DNSE infection arising de novo.
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