Pharmacokinetics and Safety of Intravenous Ceftolozane-Tazobactam in Healthy Adult Subjects following Single and Multiple Ascending Doses
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The pharmacokinetics and safety of ceftolozane, a novel cephalosporin, and tazobactam, a β-lactamase inhibitor, alone and in combination as a 2:1 ratio in single doses of up to 2,000 and 1,000 mg of ceftolozane and tazobactam, respectively, and multiple doses of up to 3,000 and 1,500 mg of ceftolozane and tazobactam, respectively, per day were evaluated in healthy adult subjects. In part 1, groups of six subjects each received single ascending doses of ceftolozane, tazobactam, and ceftolozane-tazobactam in a within-cohort crossover design. In part 2, groups of 5 or 10 subjects each received multiple doses of ceftolozane, tazobactam, or ceftolozane-tazobactam for 10 days. After a single dose of ceftolozane alone, the ranges of mean values for half-life (2.48 to 2.64 h), the total clearance (4.35 to 6.01 liters/h), and the volume of distribution at steady state (11.0 to 14.1 liters) were consistent across dose levels and similar to those observed when ceftolozane was coadministered with tazobactam. Mean values after multiple doses for ceftolozane alone and ceftolozane-tazobactam were similar to those seen following a single dose. The pharmacokinetics of the dosing regimens evaluated were dose proportional and linear. Ceftolozane-tazobactam was well tolerated and systemic adverse events were uncommon. Mild infusion-related adverse events were the most commonly observed following multiple-dose administration. Adverse events were not dose related, and no dose-limiting toxicity was identified.

The emergence of drug resistance in common pathogens has become a major medical issue; increased resistance in Gram-negative pathogens, such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa and extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Enterobacteriaceae, is especially concerning due to the increased morbidity and mortality associated with such infections (5). However, the number of antimicrobial products currently being developed to address these unmet medical needs appears to be limited (1).

Ceftolozane-tazobactam, formerly referred to as CXA-201, is a novel oximino-aminothiazolyl cephalosporin (ceftolozane) and β-lactamase inhibitor (tazobactam) combination developed for the treatment of serious Gram-negative infections (6, 7, 8). The addition of tazobactam extends its spectrum of activity to cover ESBL-producing organisms. The pharmacokinetics (PK) and safety of multiple doses of ceftolozane alone has already been established (3) but needs to be investigated for the coadministration of ceftolozane and tazobactam. In the present study, the PK and safety of single and multiple doses of ceftolozane and tazobactam alone and in combination at a 2:1 ratio were investigated in healthy adult subjects.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population and study design. Healthy male and female subjects, 18 to 65 years of age, were enrolled in a single-center, prospective, randomized, double blind study of single ascending doses (part 1) and multiple ascending doses (part 2) of intravenous (i.v.) ceftolozane, tazobactam, and ceftolozane-tazobactam. In part 1, three cohorts of six subjects each received single ascending doses of ceftolozane, tazobactam, and ceftolozane-tazobactam. In part 1, three cohorts of six subjects each received single ascending doses of ceftolozane, tazobactam, and ceftolozane-tazobactam as a 1-h infusion, with a minimum of 2 days separating each subsequent treatment (days 1, 4, and 7) in a within-cohort crossover design. Cohorts 1, 2, and 3 received 500, 1,000, and 2,000 mg of ceftolozane, respectively, 250, 500, and 1,000 mg of tazobactam, respectively, and 500/250, 1,000/500, and 2,000/1,000 mg of ceftolozane-tazobactam, respectively. In part 2, two cohorts of 20 subjects each, in a within-cohort parallel design, received multiple doses of study drug for 10 days; on days 1 and 10, each cohort of subjects received only a single dose of study medication. In cohort 4, five subjects received 1,000 mg of ceftolozane every 8 h (q8h), five subjects received 500 mg of tazobactam q8h, and 10 subjects received 1,000/500 mg of ceftolozane-tazobactam q8h. In cohort 5, five subjects received 1,500 mg of ceftolozane every 12 h (q12h), five subjects received 750 mg of tazobactam q12h, and 10 subjects received 1,500/750 mg of ceftolozane-tazobactam q12h.

Safety monitoring. During the course of the study, all subjects were monitored for the occurrence of adverse events that included physical examinations, vital sign assessments, and laboratory assessments, including hematology, serum chemistry, coagulation, and urinalysis tests. In subjects receiving multiple doses of ceftolozane-tazobactam, direct Coombs’ testing was conducted at screening, on day 11, and at follow-up.

Pharmacokinetic evaluations. PK analyses were performed for ceftolozane, tazobactam, and metabolite M1 to assess the PK of each component alone and to determine what effect coadministration of ceftolozane-tazobactam had on each component’s PK parameters.

In part 1, plasma samples were collected on days 1, 4, and 7 after single doses of ceftolozane, tazobactam, and ceftolozane-tazobactam, respectively. On each day, samples were collected predose and at 30, 60, 65, 75, and 90 min and 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, and 24 h after the start of study drug infusion. On the same day, urine samples for PK analysis were collected over a 24-h period.

In part 2, plasma samples were collected on days 1 and 10. On each day, samples were collected predose and at 30, 60, 65, 75, and 90 min and 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, and 24 h after the start of study drug infusion. Urine samples for PK analysis were collected on days 1 and 10 over a 24-h period.
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TABLE 1 Baseline subject demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter*</th>
<th>Part 1 (single i.v. doses) [n = 18]</th>
<th>Part 2 (multiple ascending i.v. doses) [n = 40]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>38.4 (25–59)</td>
<td>34.2 (21–62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt (kg)</td>
<td>71.4 (59.6–95.9)</td>
<td>81.3 (55.9–93.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.1 (22.6–29.4)</td>
<td>26.0 (19.5–29.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Male</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CLCR, creatinine clearance calculated by Cockcroft-Gault method; BMI, body mass index.

RESULTS

Demographics and disposition. A total of 58 subjects received study drug. In part 2, one subject withdrew consent after study day 6; the subject’s PK values were included in the PK analysis. The demographic characteristics of subjects included in the PK analyses were similar between the single and multiple ascending dose groups, with a larger percentage of males being enrolled in part 2. Demographic data are presented in Table 1.

Safety. No serious adverse events or deaths were reported in the study, and no subject prematurely discontinued study drug or withdrew from the study as a result of an adverse event.

In part 1, 11/18 (61%) subjects experienced a total of 16 adverse events. Of these, 15 (94%) adverse events were mild in severity, and 1 was moderate (generalized body aches). The most common adverse event in part 1 was constipation, reported in 6/18 (33%) subjects. Adverse events did not appear to be dose dependent. Six subjects in cohort 1 experienced 10 adverse events, three subjects in cohort 2 experienced four adverse events, and two subjects in cohort 3 experienced two adverse events.

In part 2, 29/40 (73%) subjects experienced 95 adverse events. Almost all adverse events were mild in severity (94/95 [99%]), with only one adverse event reported as moderate (menstrual cramps). Of the 95 adverse events reported in part 2, 48 (51%) were related to the study drug, with mild i.v. infusion-related events, including erythema and pruritus, being the most common (33/48 [69%]). As in part 1, adverse events did not appear to be dose dependent. Fifteen subjects in cohort 4 experienced 53 adverse events, and 14 subjects in cohort 5 experienced 42 adverse events. No dose-limiting toxicity was identified with the doses evaluated, and no abnormal laboratory result was judged to be an adverse event. Gastrointestinal disorders (nausea and vomiting), along with headache, were the only systemic adverse events reported in two subjects receiving ceftolozane-tazobactam in part 2 of the study. No direct Coombs’ test was positive at baseline or at any subsequent testing.

Overall, the clinical laboratory results showed no correlation to study drug assignment, and no laboratory results were deemed to be an adverse event. Of all of the laboratory tests collected during the study, only three results were potentially clinically significant (using criteria specified a priori in the statistical analysis plan). These three results were low blood glucose concentrations reported in two subjects receiving ceftolozane-tazobactam in part 2 of the study. No clinically significant results were reported in part 2. Each episode was asymptomatic and was believed to most likely represent normal variation seen in healthy subjects at different stages of fasting.

Pharmacokinetic summary. The PK parameters for ceftolozane when given alone and with tazobactam as single ascending and multiple ascending doses are given in Tables 2 and 3, respectively, and the PK parameters for tazobactam and metabolite M1

TABLE 2 Mean PK values for ceftolozane alone and in combination with tazobactam after a single dose (part 1)

| Parameter | Mean (% CV) PK value at:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500 mg (C) (n = 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cmax (µg/ml)</td>
<td>42.6 (13.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tmax (h)</td>
<td>1.00 (1.00–1.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC₀→∞ (µg·h/ml)</td>
<td>98.6 (16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t½ (h)</td>
<td>2.48 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL (liters/h)</td>
<td>5.18 (15.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLR (liters/h)</td>
<td>5.54 (14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vss (liters)</td>
<td>11.8 (13.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* C, ceftolozane; C/T, ceftolozane-tazobactam. CV, coefficient of variation.

† The median (range) is indicated.
Mean PK values for tazobactam and its major metabolite M1 alone and in combination with ceftolozane after single (day 1) and multiple doses (part 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>1,000 mg (T, q8h) (n = 5)</th>
<th>1,000/500 mg (C/T, q8h) (n = 10)</th>
<th>1,500 mg (C, q12h) (n = 5)</th>
<th>1,500/750 mg (C/T, q12h) (n = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (µg/ml)</td>
<td>68.8 (17.0)</td>
<td>73.4 (15.2)</td>
<td>69.1 (11.3)</td>
<td>74.4 (13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (h)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01–1.09)</td>
<td>1.00 (1.00–1.04)</td>
<td>1.02 (1.01–1.1)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.0–1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0-last&lt;/sub&gt; (µg·h/ml)</td>
<td>168 (17.0)</td>
<td>195 (15.2)</td>
<td>172 (13.8)</td>
<td>197 (16.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V&lt;sub&gt;ss&lt;/sub&gt; (liters)</td>
<td>6.01 (14.0)</td>
<td>5.34 (13.3)</td>
<td>5.86 (13.7)</td>
<td>5.38 (12.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt; (liters)</td>
<td>14.1 (18.1)</td>
<td>13.4 (18.1)</td>
<td>14.6 (16.0)</td>
<td>14.2 (16.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ai</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.15 (2.0)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.14 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> C, ceftolozane; C/T, ceftolozane-tazobactam. CV, coefficient of variation. NA, not applicable.

Mean PK values for tazobactam and ceftolozane alone and in combination with tazobactam after single (day 1) and multiple ascending doses are shown but were similar to those shown for multiple ascending doses.

**Single ascending doses.** Ceftolozane demonstrated linear PK up to 2,000 mg as a single dose. After a single-dose administration of ceftolozane alone and in combination with tazobactam, the mean plasma elimination t<sub>1/2</sub> of ceftolozane was 2.6 h across the doses studied; the half-life values for ceftolozane when given alone and when given with tazobactam were similar. In addition, the mean CL and V<sub>ss</sub> values determined for ceftolozane alone and in combination with tazobactam were similar.
5.1 liters/h and 12.3 liters, respectively. The CL and $V_{ss}$ values for ceftolozane were comparable with or without the coadministration of tazobactam over the dose range of 500 to 2,000 mg. The mean CL$_R$ of ceftolozane across all doses alone or in combination with tazobactam was 5.4 liters/h and similar to the plasma clearance, suggesting that the systemic elimination of ceftolozane was primarily attributed to the kidney. After single-dose administration of ceftolozane alone, ca. 100% of the dose was recovered in the urine in the subsequent 24 h for doses between 500 and 2,000 mg; similar results were observed when ceftolozane was coadministered with tazobactam. These findings, as well as the similarity between the overall clearance and the CL$_R$, indicate that clearance of ceftolozane occurs exclusively via renal elimination. Semilog concentration-time profiles of ceftolozane and tazobactam alone and when coadministered after single-dose administration are shown in Fig. 1 and 2, respectively.

Multiple ascending doses. After multiple-dose administration across all doses, the mean half-life of ceftolozane alone or in combination with tazobactam was 3.0 h (on study day 10). This value was similar for groups that received ceftolozane-tazobactam or ceftolozane alone and was also similar to the value on study day 1. The mean CL and $V_{ss}$ values of ceftolozane alone or in combination with tazobactam after multiple doses were 5.4 liters/h and 13.2 liters, respectively, across all doses. The clearance and $V_{ss}$ values for ceftolozane when coadministered with tazobactam were similar to the values observed after multiple doses of ceftolozane alone. The mean CL$_R$ of ceftolozane after 10 days of dosing was 5.5 liters/h across all doses and was similar to the overall mean plasma CL, suggesting that the systemic elimination of ceftolozane was primarily attributed to the kidney. As a result, the wide range of creatinine clearance (CL$_{CR}$) values observed in part 2 of the study (86 to 238 ml/min) may have increased the intersubject variability of the PK parameters in this group of healthy volunteers. After multiple-dose administration of ceftolozane administered alone or coadministered with tazobactam, the mean AI across dose levels ranged from 1.02 to 1.15, indicating no relevant accumulation of ceftolozane in healthy subjects after repeated dosing.

Both ceftolozane and tazobactam (including metabolite M1) PK parameters were unaffected by coadministration. The mean half-life of tazobactam alone or in combination with ceftolozane after multiple doses was 1.0 h, and the CL and $V_{ss}$ were 20.2 liters/h and 17.8 liters, respectively, across all doses. Values were similar whether doses were administered alone or in combination with ceftolozane. Furthermore, it was noted that the urinary excretion of ceftolozane and tazobactam was unaffected by the coadministration of the two drugs. The M1 metabolite accumulated slightly with repeated dosing, as indicated by the range of AI values (1.15 to 1.95).

**DISCUSSION**

Doses of ceftolozane-tazobactam up to 3,000/1,500 mg per day administered for 10 days were generally safe and well tolerated. Systemic drug-related adverse events were infrequent and mild; most events were infusion related and were observed in all three treatment arms (ceftolozane, tazobactam, and ceftolozane-tazobactam). The fairly high incidence of adverse events in normal healthy subjects was not unexpected given the close monitoring of subjects that typically occurs in a phase 1 investigational unit. Furthermore, most infusion-related adverse events that were drug related occurred after having an i.v. line in place for more than 24 h. The nature and incidence of adverse events did not appear to be dose related, and no dose-limiting toxicities were identified for ceftolozane-tazobactam in single doses up to 2,000/1,000 mg and multiple doses up to 3,000/1,500 mg daily.

Ceftolozane was apparently eliminated exclusively in the urine,
and its clearance was similar whether administered alone or in combination with tazobactam, suggesting tazobactam had no effect on the clearance of ceftolozane. Furthermore, other PK parameters, such as $t_{1/2}$, CL, AUC, $V_{ss}$ and $C_{\text{max}}$, were not affected by the coadministration of ceftolozane-tazobactam. Some PK differences were observed between part 1 and day 1 of part 2. For the 1,000/500-mg dose of ceftolozane-tazobactam, the AUC$_{0-11009}$ and $C_{\text{max}}$ were increased, while CL and $V_{ss}$ were decreased in part 1 of the study compared to day 1 of part 2. In part 2, mean CL$_{\text{CR}}$ for subjects who received 1,000 mg of ceftolozane on day 1, alone or in combination with tazobactam, were slightly more elevated than the mean CL$_{\text{CR}}$ observed in subjects dosed in part 1 of the study. The median (range) CL$_{\text{CR}}$ was 113.5 ml/min (101 to 162 ml/min). In part 2, the median CL$_{\text{CR}}$ was 142 ml/min (106 to 166 ml/min) for 1,000 mg of ceftolozane and 127 (105 to 196 ml/min) for 1,000/500 mg of ceftolozane-tazobactam. Since the plasma CL and CL$_R$ for ceftolozane increased with increasing CL$_{\text{CR}}$, the slightly decreased exposure in part 2 was consistent with the increased CL in part 2. Thus, no dose adjustment need be considered when these two drugs are coadministered.

Tazobactam was excreted mostly renally, with clearance decreasing as renal function declined, but metabolism to metabolite M1 was also observed. The M1 metabolite accumulated to a minor degree with multiple doses; however, the accumulation was not affected by concurrent ceftolozane administration, and the M1 metabolite has not demonstrated any pharmacological or antibacterial activity (4). Ceftolozane had no impact on the PK of tazobactam.

The PK profile of ceftolozane coadministered with tazobactam was very similar to that of ceftolozane administered alone after single- and multiple-dose administration. In the dosing regimens evaluated, the PK profile was predictable and dose proportional. This finding is in contrast to tazobactam’s PK profile when coadministered with piperacillin since the clearance of tazobactam is decreased and its AUC is increased (9). It is likely that the lack of interaction between ceftolozane and tazobactam is the result of ceftolozane being eliminated almost entirely via glomerular filtration, as evidenced by the similarity between its clearance and the glomerular filtration rate in normal healthy subjects. Thus, since ceftolozane does not appear to undergo active tubular secretion, it does not interfere with the known active renal tubular secretion of tazobactam.

The mean plasma $t_{1/2}$ of ceftolozane was ~2.7 h after single or multiple doses, with no meaningful accumulation of ceftolozane observed after multiple dosing. The PK/pharmacodynamic index that best correlates with the therapeutic efficacy of cephalosporins is the time above the MIC of the infecting pathogen $(T > \text{MIC})$, the longer half-life of ceftolozane compared to that of some other cephalosporins is potentially advantageous (2). The ceftolozane $V_{ss}$ (12.9 liters) was similar to that of the average human’s extracellular volume, indicating that it concentrates well at extracellular sites of infection. These potential PK advantages, in addition to the reported in vitro activity of ceftolozane-tazobactam against Enterobacteriaceae, including those that produce ESBLs or overexpress AmpC, and against multidrug-resistant $P$. aeruginosa supports the use of ceftolozane-tazobactam as a treatment option for difficult-to-treat Gram-negative infections. The results from the present study support the further clinical development of ceftolozane-tazobactam.
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