Population Pharmacokinetics of Teicoplanin in Children
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Teicoplanin is frequently administered to treat Gram-positive infections in pediatric patients. However, not enough is known about the pharmacokinetics (PK) of teicoplanin in children to justify the optimal dosing regimen. The aim of this study was to determine the population PK of teicoplanin in children and evaluate the current dosage regimens. A PK hospital-based study was conducted. Current dosage recommendations were used for children up to 16 years of age. Thirty-nine children were recruited. Serum samples were collected at the first dose interval (1, 3, 6, and 24 h) and at steady state. A standard 2-compartment PK model was developed, followed by structural models that incorporated weight. Weight was allowed to affect clearance (CL) using linear and allometric scaling terms. The linear model best accounted for the observed data and was subsequently chosen for Monte Carlo simulations. The PK parameter medians/means (standard deviation [SD]) were as follows: CL, [0.019/0.023 (0.01)] × weight liters/h/kg of body weight; volume, 2.282/4.138 liters (4.14 liters); first-order rate constant from the central to peripheral compartment (Kp, c, 0.474/3.876 h⁻¹ (8.16 h⁻¹); and first-order rate constant from peripheral to central compartment (Kp, c, 0.220/0.992 h⁻¹ (8.16 h⁻¹)). The percentage of patients with a minimum concentration of drug in serum (Cmin) of <10 mg/liter was 53.85%. The median (mean/SD) total population area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) was 619/527.05 mg·h/liter (166.03 mg·h/liter). Based on Monte Carlo simulations, only 30.04% (median AUC, 507.04 mg·h/liter), 44.88% (494.1 mg·h/liter), and 60.54% (452.03 mg·h/liter) of patients weighing 50, 25, and 10 kg, respectively, attained trough concentrations of >10 mg/liter by day 4 of treatment. The teicoplanin population PK is highly variable in children, with a wider AUC distribution spread than for adults. Therapeutic drug monitoring should be a routine requirement to minimize suboptimal concentrations.

(This trial has been registered in the European Clinical Trials Database Registry [EudraCT] under registration number 2012-005738-12.)

Gram-positive infections are an important cause of morbidity and mortality in neonatal and pediatric intensive care units (1, 2). A significant rise in infections caused by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) has led to increased use of glycopeptides in the last decade (3, 4). Both vancomycin and teicoplanin are used for treatment of invasive infections caused by Gram-positive organisms, especially those that are resistant to β-lactam antibiotics (5, 6). The currently recommended regimen for teicoplanin in adults is 3 loading doses of 400 mg every 12 hours followed by a maintenance dose of 400 mg/day. In contrast, children receive 3 loading doses of 10 mg/kg of body weight every 12 hours followed by a maintenance dose of 10 mg/kg daily (7). However, there is a relative paucity of information to justify these regimens in children and even less information to identify optimal dosing strategies.

Regulatory authorities such as the European Medicines Agency (EMA) have developed strategies to facilitate the safe and effective use of medicines in neonates and children (8). EMA supports the extrapolation of information from adults to children provided there are adequate safety data in the latter and the pharmacodynamics can reasonably assumed to be the same in both populations. This approach requires the development of robust population pharmacokinetic (PK) models in both adults and children, which facilitates the design of regimens that enable drug exposures in both populations to be matched (8).

Teicoplanin is largely used without routinely measuring concentrations in the majority of pediatric patients. In our pediatric hospital setting, we have observed anecdotal cases of clinical failures with teicoplanin therapy and have observed “MIC creep” for CoNS with MICs at the breakpoint (4 mg/liter) (unpublished data). To further investigate the clinical pharmacology of teicoplanin and to provide an insight into effective regimens for children, we performed a population PK study. The specific objectives of this study were to (i) describe the population PK of teicoplanin in children in a hospital setting, (ii) explore the percentage of patients attaining a predose minimum concentration (Cmin) of >10 mg/liter, (iii) define the area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) distributions following the administration of currently recommended pediatric regimens, and (iv) compare the extent of variability in drug exposure to that observed in adults.
FIG 1 Teicoplanin serum concentrations in 39 patients. Patients were dosed according to recommended regimens. Children more than 1 month old: 3 loading doses every 12 h, then once daily.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design, pediatric patient population, and sample collection. An open-label, hospital-based PK study using a sparse blood sampling strategy was conducted. Patients 0 to 16 years of age were recruited from Alder Hey Children’s Hospital, which has a catchment area of approximately 7.6 million people. The study was approved by the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency (clinical trial authorization number 21362/00003/001-0001) and the National Research Ethics Service and Regional Committee. The trial was registered with the European Clinical Trials Database Registry (EudraCT; 2012-005738-12).

Participants were screened and recruited according to three age categories to ensure a representative sample was obtained. Such an approach is consistent with the European Medicines Agency E11 Guidance for Clinical Trials of Investigational Products (CTIMP) in pediatrics (9). The following age categories were used: 1 to 23 months, 2 to 11 years, and 11 to 16 years. A 4-month prospective feasibility assessment conducted before the trial indicated that the pediatric intensive-care unit, the oncology unit, and the intermediate-care unit had the highest prescription rates of teicoplanin, so these units were chosen for targeted screening and recruitment of participants. All patients who received teicoplanin and were likely to survive >72 h were eligible for the study. Written informed consent was obtained from parents and/or legal guardians.

Teicoplanin was used at the discretion of the treating physician. The dosage regimen for children >1 month of age was 10 mg/kg every 12 h for 3 loading doses followed by 10 mg/kg once daily. Teicoplanin was infused over 5 min in children. The duration of treatment was also at the discretion of the treating physician.

Blood samples (0.2 ml) were obtained throughout the first and last dose interval (1, 3, 6, and 24 h postdose). The sampling period was up to a maximum of 264 h for some patients and up to 144 to 168 h for the majority of patients (Fig. 1). If the first dose administration occurred before informed consent was obtained, a predose sample was obtained. When possible, a washout sample was collected 24 h after the last dose was administered. Samples were centrifuged at 1,500 × g for 10 min, and serum was stored at −80°C prior to analysis. Demographic and other variables (height, weight, and serum creatinine level) with a potential impact on the PK of teicoplanin and/or influence on the determination of teicoplanin (e.g., concomitant medications) were also collected for each patient.

Adult patient population. Adult patients with normal renal function who were previously treated with chemotherapy because of acute lymphocytic or acute nonlymphocytic leukemia and subsequently were developing febrile neutropenia were recruited to a prospective observational PK study, as previously reported by Pea et al. (10). All patients received teicoplanin for the first 72 h. Subsequently, if a Gram-positive organism susceptible to teicoplanin was isolated and/or resolution of fever was documented within 72 h, teicoplanin therapy was continued for at least 8 days. There were two dosing groups. The standard-dosage group received standard loading and maintenance dosages of teicoplanin (400 mg every 12 h for 3 doses followed by 400 mg once daily), and the high-dosage group received a higher loading regimen (800 mg followed by 400 mg every 12 h on day 1; 600 mg plus 400 mg every 12 h on day 2) followed by a high maintenance regimen (400 mg every 12 h on day 3 and thereafter). Teicoplanin was infused over 15 min. Blood samples were collected 1 h after the first dose to assess the peak level and at 12, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, and 144 h to estimate terminal elimination.

Demographic data were analyzed with SPSS Statistics, version 21 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY [http://www-01.ibm.com/software/analytics/]).

Measurement of teicoplanin concentrations. A fluorescence polarization immunoassay (FPIA) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany) was used to quantify teicoplanin concentrations in serum. This is a homogeneous particle-enhanced turbidimetric immunoassay that utilizes quantitative microsphere system (QMS) technology and was implemented on an automated analyzer (Abbott Architect ci4100). The assay is based on competition between the drug in the sample and the drug coated onto a microparticle for antibody binding sites of the teicoplanin antibody reagent. A concentration-dependent agglutination inhibition curve was obtained with the minimum and maximum rates of agglutination at the highest and lowest teicoplanin concentrations, respectively. The limit of quantification (LOQ) was <3.0 mg/liter. The dynamic range was 3 to 100 mg/liter, and overall precision was <6%.

Population pharmacokinetic models. All data were analyzed using a nonparametric population modeling methodology (a nonparametric adaptive grid [NPAG]) with the population pharmacokinetic software program Pmetrics (version 1.2.6; University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA [http://www.lapk.org/pmetrics.php]) (11) for R (version 3.1.0, Institute for Statistics and Mathematics, Vienna, Austria [http://www.r-project.org/]) (12). The inverse of the estimated assay variance was used as the weighting function for all models.

Three structural models were explored and used in this study. The first represented a standard two-compartment PK model with time-delimited zero-order intravenous infusion and first-order elimination from central compartment. The model is described by the differential equations 1 and 2 below:

\[
\frac{dX(1)}{dt} = R(1) - \left(K_{pc} \cdot \frac{SCL}{V_c} \cdot X(1) + [K_P \cdot X(2)] \right) \quad (1)
\]

\[
\frac{dX(2)}{dt} = K_{pc} \cdot X(1) - K_{pc} \cdot X(2) \quad (2)
\]

where \(X(1)\) and \(X(2)\) represent the amount of teicoplanin in milligrams (mg) in the central (c) and peripheral (p) compartments, respectively. \(R(1)\) is the rate of infusion of drug into the central compartment in mg per hour. The central compartment has volume \(V_c\) in liters, from which there is clearance (SCL) in liters per hour. The central and peripheral compartments are connected by the first-order rate constant from the central to peripheral compartment \(K_{pc}\) and the first-order rate constant from peripheral to central compartment \(K_{cp}\) in h\(^{-1}\).

The effects of weight and serum creatinine on the population PK of teicoplanin were explored. The Bayesian estimates for clearance and volume of distribution from each patient were obtained from the standard model (above) and plotted against weight and serum creatinine, using both linear and logarithmic scales. Since both linear and logarithmic relationships between clearance and weight appeared tenable, linear and allometric models that incorporated weight as a covariate were developed. The linear model took the following form:
TABLE 1 Demographics of patients according to age categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age category</th>
<th>n (% total)</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Creatinine (μmol/liter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1–23 mo</td>
<td>16 (41.02)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.45)</td>
<td>6.26 (2.04)</td>
<td>65.84 (11.93)</td>
<td>71.54 (75.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–11 yr</td>
<td>20 (51.3)</td>
<td>5.4 (2.23)</td>
<td>21.18 (7.14)</td>
<td>112.41 (14.36)</td>
<td>43.3 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–16 yr</td>
<td>3 (7.7)</td>
<td>14.7 (0.58)</td>
<td>49.97 (10.6)</td>
<td>166.9 (3.59)</td>
<td>55 (8.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>39 (100)</td>
<td>4 (4.3)</td>
<td>17.27 (13.3)</td>
<td>97.7 (34.8)</td>
<td>54.5 (50.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as means (SD).

RESULTS

Demographics. A description of demographic data by the age categories is presented in Table 1. A total of 39 patients recruited over 8 months (between April and December 2013) contributed 49 treatment episodes. Eight patients contributed >1 episode (6 patients contributed 2 episodes and 2 patients contributed 3 episodes). The mean age (standard deviation [SD]) was 4 years (4.3 years). The mean weight at inclusion to the study (SD) was 17.27 kg (13.3 kg), and 53.8% (n = 21) of the patients were male. The mean height (SD) was 97.68 cm (34.76 cm) but was only recorded in 30 patients. Patients had a mean serum creatinine at the start of treatment (SD) of 54.5 μmol/liter (50.08 μmol/liter), which was recorded in only 32 patients.

The majority of patients were being nursed in the oncology unit (43.6%). The next largest population group included patients who had undergone cardiac surgery and were being treated in the intensive care unit (33.3%). The remaining participants were being treated for general medical (20.5%) and cardiac medical (2.6%) conditions, in which teicoplanin was often used to treat catheter-associated bloodstream infections.

Thirty-three adult patients, 11 in the standard-dosage group and 22 in the high-dosage group, were analyzed, and 54.5% of...
neutropenia. Lymphocytic leukemia and subsequent development of febrile with chemotherapy because of acute lymphocytic or acute non-
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter and model</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCL (liters/h)</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vc (liters)</td>
<td>4.259</td>
<td>2.592</td>
<td>3.597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kcp (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.344</td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td>7.742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kpc (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.424</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>9.742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCLslope (liters/h/kg)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vc (liters)</td>
<td>4.138</td>
<td>2.282</td>
<td>4.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kcp (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.876</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>8.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kpc (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.994</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>9.830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allometric model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCL (liters/h/70 kg)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vc (liters)</td>
<td>3.447</td>
<td>1.975</td>
<td>3.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kcp (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.897</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>9.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kpc (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.227</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>9.638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard for adults</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCL (liters/h)</td>
<td>1.166</td>
<td>1.097</td>
<td>0.376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vc (liters)</td>
<td>7.925</td>
<td>7.669</td>
<td>1.849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kcp (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.179</td>
<td>1.158</td>
<td>0.449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kpc (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.087</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a SCL (linear model) = SCLslope × weight; SCL (allometric model) = SCLslope × ((weight/70)0.755; Vc, volume of distribution in central compartment; Kcp, first-order rate constant from the central to peripheral compartment; Kpc, first-order rate constant from peripheral to central compartment.

them were male. The patients’ mean age (SD) was 47.2 years (13.9 years). The mean weight (SD) was 67.7 kg (13.6 kg), and the mean creatinine level at the start of treatment (SD) was 69.41 μmol/liter (23.78 μmol/liter). All the patients had been previously treated with chemotherapy because of acute lymphocytic or acute nonlymphocytic leukemia and subsequent development of febrile neutropenia.

Pharmacokinetic data. A total of 306 pharmacokinetic (PK) serum samples were collected, and 298 PK samples (mean, 7.6 samples per patient) were included in the analysis for the children. Eight observations were excluded from the analysis for several reasons. In one patient, a single concentration obtained immediately at the end of 1 h infusion was substantially higher than the usual peak observed with other patients and was inconsistent with other observations from the same patient. On further investigation, this patient was found to have a single lumen line that was used for drug administration and sampling. The remaining seven observations were excluded because of incorrect or absent time records.

As seen in Fig. 1, the teicoplanin concentration-time profile for the 39 pediatric patients was highly variable. Overall, 21 patients (53.85%) had serum concentrations of <10 mg/liter, the serum concentration frequently used to guide dosage adjustment.

Population pharmacokinetic models. The population parameter estimates from each of the models developed are summarized in Table 2. The relationship between the Bayesian estimates of clearance, obtained using the median population parameter values from the standard model, and weight is shown in Fig. 2. For the three models, the fit of the data was acceptable (r² = 0.742 to 0.814), with comparable measures of bias and precision. However, the better (more positive) log-likelihood value for both the linear and allometric models suggested that the inclusion of weight as a covariate explained a significant portion of the observed variance. The linear model was finally chosen to account for the observed data (r² = 0.79), as in Fig. 3A and B. For adults, the data from both dosing groups (n = 33) were examined using a standard 2-compartment model, as shown in Fig. 3B and C. The model diagnostics are shown in Table 3.

The Bayesian estimates for the AUCs from each of the 39 pediatric patients are shown in Fig. 4. The mean (SD) total population (n = 39) AUC was 527.049 mg · h/liter (166.035 mg · h/liter).

Monte Carlo simulations. Monte Carlo simulations were performed with the linear model in children (n = 5,000). The extent of the predicted variability in serum teicoplanin concentrations and the resultant AUCs within a simulated human population receiving the current dosage regimen for children >1 month of age, at steady state (between days 4 and 5 of treatment) and for the fixed weights 10 kg (1 to 23 months), 25 kg (2 to 11 years), and 50 kg (11 to 16 years), were obtained. Based on these simulations, only 30.04% (median AUC₉₆–₁₂₀, 494.1 mg · h/liter) of patients weighing 50, 25, and 10 kg, respectively, attained trough concentrations of >10 mg/liter by day 4 of treatment. For adults, simulations (n = 5,000) were performed with the standard model and current recommended regimen of three loading doses of 400 mg every 12 h and 400 mg once daily thereafter. The median AUC₉₆–₁₂₀ was 291.81 mg · h/liter, with 25th and 75th percentiles of 236.04 and 364.63 mg · h/liter, respectively. The simulated AUC distributions for children and adults are shown in Fig. 5. A total of

FIG 2 Evaluation of relationships between the Bayesian PK parameter estimates from the standard model for clearance and weight of patients. (A) Linear relationship between Bayesian clearance estimates and weight. (B) Linear relationship of the log-transformed values of Bayesian clearance estimates versus weight. Dotted lines represent the 95% confidence interval (CI) of the regression line.
24.8% of simulated adult patients attained trough concentrations >10 mg/liter by 96 h of treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

Teicoplanin is a glycopeptide antibiotic agent that has bactericidal activity against Gram-positive aerobic and anaerobic bacteria (17). It is widely used for the treatment of invasive infections such as septicemia, intravascular device-associated infections, endocarditis, and septic arthritis caused by methicillin-resistant Gram-positive pathogens. Despite its extensive use, there are comparatively few pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic (PK-PD) data for teicoplanin compared with vancomycin. There is even less information on the PK of teicoplanin in neonates and children, and evidence for currently recommended regimens is scant.

We conducted a PK study in children and developed a population PK model to quantify interpatient variability. We encountered a series of findings that can be used to improve the use of teicoplanin in children. Of greatest concern was the low proportion of patients attaining minimum serum concentrations ($C_{\text{min}}$ >10 mg/liter) at steady state with the current recommended dosages. This is consistent with previous PK studies of teicoplanin in children (18–20). For example, Dufort et al. (18) reported that 55.6% of febrile neutropenic patients did not achieve a $C_{\text{min}}$ of >10 mg/liter. Similarly, Sanchez et al. (19) revealed that 89% of patients had low concentrations ($C_{\text{min}} <10 mg/liter) in a study of critically ill children and infants. The mean estimate of clearance in our study (0.023 liters/h/kg) was similar to that published by Dufort et al. (0.029 liters/h/kg) (18) and slightly lower than that reported by Sanchez et al. (0.045 liters/kg/h) (19). Our findings are also in agreement with those of a retrospective study showing that among 340 treatments with teicoplanin in pediatric patients of different ages (92 neonate/infant episodes, 69 toddler episodes, 62 school-age-children episodes, and 117 adolescent episodes), the initial $C_{\text{min}}$ values at day 2 to 4 after 10 to 15 mg/kg every 12 h for 3 loading doses and every 24 h thereafter were <10 mg/liter in 14.1% of cases (20).

Previous studies investigated the impact of age on the pharmacokinetics of teicoplanin in children. Reed et al. (21) reported, for example, a trend of clearance decreasing with increasing age in a population of 12 children (2.4 to 11 years old), but there were no statistically significant associations between PK parameters and age. Similarly, in a population of 13 children (2 to 12 years old), Terragna et al. (22) found no significant linear correlation between elimination half-life and age. In contrast, Tarral and colleagues (23) separately investigated two populations of children (6 children with a mean age of 7 years and 4 neonates with a mean age

![FIG 3 Observed versus predicted plots for the population and Bayesian posterior values in the linear model for children (n = 39) (A and B, respectively) and for the population and Bayesian posterior values in the standard model for adults (n = 33) (C and D).](http://aac.asm.org/)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 3 Model diagnostics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allometric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard, adults</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Relative to the regression line fitted for the observed versus predicted values after the Bayesian step. CI, confidence interval.

![FIG 4 AUC distributions from the Bayesian posterior estimates from the linear model in children.](http://aac.asm.org/)
of 8.5 days). They showed that volume of distribution was higher in neonates than in children (0.6 versus 0.54 liter/kg body weight), and clearance was higher in older children than in neonates (0.028 versus 0.016 liter/h/kg). Finally, Lukas et al. (24) conducted a population PK analysis in 20 infants and children (4 months to 10 years of age) in the intensive-care unit, showing differences among two identified subpopulations separated around 12 months of age. In younger infants (<12 months), 92% of concentrations were at target minimum levels (10 mg/liter) versus 65% of concentrations in older children (≥12 months). Interestingly, in this study, linear and nonlinear models of CL and V with weight failed, despite weight showing a linear relationship with CL and V but only in children <12 months old. Instead, weight scaling of CL and V was successful. The population split in the two age categories gave a successful model in this study. Notably, only 4 patients <12 months old contributed to the model. We did not conduct a split analysis by age to avoid losing robustness of the predicted pharmacokinetic variability in the Monte Carlo simulations by decreasing sample sizes (25). In our study, by contrast, only the influence of weight related to clearance in a linear model improved the description of our data across childhood. Volume of distribution, however, did not show a tenable relationship with weight or age.

In the present study, children had more variability in drug exposures (quantified as AUC distributions at steady state) than the adults. Adults had a mean AUC of approximately 300 mg · h/liter, although a previous study reported a higher mean AUC of 550 mg · h/liter (26). In our study, children across different weights achieved a median AUC of 619 mg · h/liter, which compares with the drug exposures reported in adults. The relatively higher pediatric PK variability supports the use of routine therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) to enable active adjustment of teicoplanin dosages. Collectively, our results suggest that the current dosage regimen in children is probably adequate in terms of the median drug exposures attained (AUC at steady state), but TDM should be considered to minimize the number of patients with suboptimal drug exposure. Such an approach may improve safety and efficacy and prevent the emergence of antimicrobial resistance, although further studies are required to examine this issue.

A number of issues and challenges have prevented the more extensive use of teicoplanin. The first relates to the composition and synthesis of the compound, which is a mixture of six related subcomponents (A2-1, A2-2, A2-3, A2-4, A2-5, and A3-1). The A3-1 component is the core glycopeptide that is common to all teicoplanin-like compounds (27). Concern has been expressed related to the composition of generic teicoplanin products and its potential impact on pharmacodynamics. A second issue relates to problems measuring concentrations in clinical samples. A number of analytical methods have been used (e.g., Bacillus subtilis bioassay, solid-phase enzyme receptor assay [SPERA], fluorescence polarization immunoassay [FPIA], and high-performance liquid chromatography [HPLC]). Importantly, the readouts from these different modalities differ. Thus, the conclusions of PK-PD studies are dependent on the analytical method that was used (28). A third issue is a relative lack of knowledge of the pharmacodynamics of teicoplanin. A Cmin of >10 mg/liter has been associated with higher clinical cure rates compared with values of 5 mg/liter (16). Higher trough serum concentrations (e.g., 15 to 20 mg/liter) are recommended for the treatment of endocarditis caused by Staphylococcus aureus (29). A preclinical pharmacodynamic study suggests a maximum teicoplanin peak free drug concentration (fCmax)/MIC ratio of at least 2 to 3 is required for efficacy (30). Other small clinical studies have suggested that target AUCs as high as 750 mg · h/liter are required to eradicate or cure MRSA infections with an MIC of 1 mg/liter (31,32). There is an urgent need to further evaluate the pharmacodynamics of teicoplanin.

This study had limitations. First, the study participants largely
did not have renal impairment. Teicoplanin is eliminated via the kidneys with clearance of the unbound drug by glomerular filtration with minimal tubular reabsorption and renal secretion (17). The impact of reduced renal function on clearance and therefore dosing could not be assessed. Finally, we could not correlate drug exposure with clinical outcomes in this study because there were too few documented infections.

In conclusion, the population PK of teicoplanin is highly variable in children. TDM should be considered a component of routine care, although further work is required to identify drug exposure targets. The population PK model can now be used to construct algorithms for individualized dosing, as we described previously (33, 34).
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