Combination Prophylactic Therapy with Rifampin Increases Efficacy against an Experimental *Staphylococcus epidermidis* Subcutaneous Implant-Related Infection
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The incidence of infections related to cardiac devices (such as permanent pacemakers) has been increasing out of proportion to implantation rates. As management of device infections typically requires explantation of the device, optimal prophylactic strategies are needed. Cefazolin and vancomycin are widely used as single agents for surgical prophylaxis against cardiac-device-related infections. However, combination antibiotic prophylaxis may further reduce infectious complications. To model a localized subcutaneous implant-related infection, a bioluminescent strain of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* was inoculated onto a medical-procedure-grade titanium disc, which was placed into a subcutaneous pocket in the backs of mice. In *vivo* bioluminescence imaging, quantification of *ex vivo* CFU from the capsules and implants, variable-pressure scanning electron microscopy (VP-SEM), and neutrophil enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) fluorescence in LysEGFP mice were employed to monitor the infection. This model was used to evaluate the efficacies of low- and high-dose cefazolin (50 and 200 mg/kg of body weight) and vancomycin (10 and 110 mg/kg) intravenous prophylaxis with or without rifampin (25 mg/kg). High-dose cefazolin and high-dose vancomycin treatment resulted in almost complete bacterial clearance, whereas both low-dose cefazolin and low-dose vancomycin reduced the *in vivo* and *ex vivo* bacterial burden only moderately. The addition of rifampin to low-dose cefazolin and vancomycin was highly effective in further reducing the CFU harvested from the implants. However, vancomycin-rifampin was more effective than cefazolin-rifampin in further reducing the CFU harvested from the surrounding tissue capsules. Future studies in humans will be required to determine whether the addition of rifampin has improved efficacy in preventing device-related infections in clinical practice.
patients with comorbidities (18, 21, 22). As the demand for CIED continues to grow, optimizing antibiotic prophylaxis strategies may help reduce infectious complications and improve clinical outcomes (2–4). With an infection rate of ~1% for CIED (14, 18), a randomized prospective clinical trial designed to compare efficacies of different prophylactic regimens would take a large number of patients and would thus be extremely costly to perform. Therefore, we set out in this study to develop a preclinical mouse model of a localized CIED infection to evaluate the efficacies of different prophylactic antibiotic therapies before larger studies in humans. This model involved the subcutaneous implantation of a medical-procedure-grade titanium disc inoculated with a S. epidermidis bioluminescent strain (23). In vivo bioluminescence imaging was employed to monitor the bacterial burden noninvasively and longitudinally over time. In addition, to evaluate the degree of inflammation induced by the infection, LysEGFP mice, which represent a genetically engineered mouse strain that possesses green-fluorescent myeloid cells (mostly neutrophils), were used in combination with in vivo fluorescence imaging (24–26). Using this model, the efficacy of cefazolin and vancomycin intravenous prophylaxis was evaluated. In addition, combination prophylactic therapy with rifampin was also investigated, since rifampin can penetrate biofilms (27–30) and rifampin combination therapy is recommended in the treatment regimens for certain surgical implant infections (e.g., orthopedic implant infections and prosthetic valve infections [31, 32]). Moreover, rifampin is included (along with minocycline) in the FDA-approved antibiotic-impregnated mesh to prevent CIED infections (10).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

S. epidermidis bioluminescent strain. The Xen43 S. epidermidis strain (PerkinElmer, Hopkinton, MA) used in this study was previously derived from S. epidermidis 1457, a clinical isolate from an infected central venous catheter that has established biofilm-producing activity as previously described (23, 33). This strain possesses a stably integrated, modified lacZABCD operon from the bacterial insect pathogen Photorhabdus luminescens which results in the natural emission of a blue-green light from live and metabolically active bacteria. The construct is integrated into the bacterial chromosome and is thus maintained in all progeny. This strain has been previously used to study biofilm formation in a subcutaneous catheter infection in mice (23).

Preparation of bacteria. Xen43 was streaked onto tryptic soy agar plates (tryptic soy broth [TSB] plus 1.5% Bacto agar [BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ]) and grown at 37°C overnight. Single bacterial colonies of Xen43 were cultured in TSB and grown overnight at 37°C in a shaking incubator (MaxQ 420 HP; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) (240 rpm) in TSB. Mid-logarithmic-phase bacteria were obtained after a 2-h subculture of a 1/50 dilution of the overnight culture. Bacteria were pelleted, resuspended, and washed three times in TSB. Bacterial inocula (1 × 10⁶, 1 × 10⁷, or 1 × 10⁸ CFU/ml TSB) were estimated by measuring the absorbance at 600 nm (Biomate 3; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and verified after overnight culture on plates.

Bacterial inoculation of titanium discs. Medical-procedure-grade titanium discs (Medtronic, Inc., Mounds View, MN) (5 mm in diameter, 0.4 mm thick) (sterilized by autoclaving) were incubated for 30 min with mild shaking at 37°C in TSB containing 1 × 10⁶, 1 × 10⁷, or 1 × 10⁸ CFU of Xen43 or broth alone with no bacteria (uninfected). The discs were thoroughly rinsed with sterile saline solution before being surgically implanted into the mice.

Mice. Eight-week-old male C57BL/6 mice obtained from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME) were used. In some experiments, 8-week-old LysEGFP mice, representing a genetically engineered mouse line on a C57BL/6 background that possesses green-fluorescent myeloid cells due to a knock-in of enhanced green fluorescence protein (EGFP) into the lysozyme M gene, were used (24–26).

Mouse surgical procedures. All procedures were approved by the UCLA Animal Research Committee. To model a localized CIED or other subcutaneous implant-related infection, a small incision was made on the upper dorsal backs of mice and a subcutaneous pocket was made with gentle undermining (Fig. 1). A titanium disc that was inoculated with S. epidermidis (strain Xen43) or no bacteria (uninfected) (see above) was subsequently placed into the pocket, and the surgical site was closed using Vicryl 5-0 sutures. For analgesia, sustained-release buprenorphine (Zoopharm) (2.5 mg/kg of body weight) was administered subcutaneously at the time of surgery.

Quantification of in vivo S. epidermidis burden (in vivo bioluminescence imaging). Mice were anesthetized with inhalation isoflurane (2%), and in vivo bioluminescence imaging was performed using a Lumina II imaging system (PerkinElmer, Inc.) as previously described (34, 35). Data are presented on a color scale overlaid on a grayscale photograph of mice and quantified as total flux (photons/s) within a circular region of interest (1 × 10³ pixels) using Living Image software (PerkinElmer). For these experiments, the sample size was at least 8 mice per group and mice were imaged on days 0, 1, 3, 5, and 7.

Quantification of bacteria from the capsules and implants. Mice were euthanized on day 7, and the surgical implants with the surrounding tissue capsules were harvested. The capsules were separated from the implants, and bacteria in the capsules were isolated after the tissue was homogenized (Pro200 Series homogenizer; Pro Scientific, Oxford, CT). Bacteria adherent to the implants were detached by sonication in 1 ml 0.3% Tween 80–TSB for 10 min followed by vortex mixing for 5 min as previously described (34, 35). The number of bacterial CFU obtained from the capsules and implants was determined by counting CFU after overnight culture of plates. For these experiments, the sample size was at least 8 mice per group and CFU from the implants and joint tissue were harvested and counted on day 7.

Visualization of biofilms (VP-SEM). Mice were euthanized on day 7, and the titanium discs were harvested, the surrounding fibrous-tissue capsules were removed, and the surfaces of the implants were visualized using a field emission variable-pressure scanning electron microscope (VP-SEM) (FE-SEM Zeiss Supra VP40) as previously described (24, 34, 35). Pressure in the microscope chamber was maintained at 25 Pa to permit examination of the biofilms on the implant surface without the typical artifacts (dehydration, collapse, distortion, shrinkage, condensation, and aggregation) associated with conventional SEMs that require fixation and sputter coating (24, 35, 36). Thus, VP-SEM enabled the visualization of biofilms on the implants in their natural state. The sample size was 3 mice per group, and the VP-SEM imaging of the implant surface was performed on day 7.

Quantification of neutrophil recruitment (in vivo fluorescence imaging). The degree of inflammation in the postoperative site was measured by quantifying neutrophil infiltration, a correlate for inflammation and infection. This was accomplished by using LysEGFP mice, a genetically engineered mouse strain that possesses green-fluorescent neutrophils (24–26). LysEGFP mice were anesthetized with inhalation isoflurane (2%), and in vivo fluorescence imaging was performed using a Lumina II imaging system (PerkinElmer, Inc.) as previously described (35). Briefly, EGFP-expressing neutrophils at the postoperative site were visualized using the GFP filter for excitation (445 to 490 nm) and emission (515 to 575 nm) at an exposure time of 0.5 s. Data were quantified as total radiant efficiency ([(photons/s)/W/cm²]) within a circular region of interest (1 × 10³ pixels) using Living Image software (PerkinElmer, Inc.). For these experiments, the sample size was at least 5 mice per group and mice were imaged on days 0, 1, 3, 5, 7, and 14.

Antibiotic therapy. The antibiotic doses were chosen to include a low dose (suboptimal) and high dose (effective) of cefazolin and vancomycin, and the low doses were combined with rifampin to evaluate the efficacy of combinatory prophylactic therapy. For cefazolin, our preliminary exper-
ments found that the 50 mg/kg dose (37, 38), which approximated the area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) of 670 mg · h/ml for the typical human exposure of cefazolin (2 g) (39), had a suboptimal effect in treating the S. epidermidis implant infection and was thus used as the low dose. For the high dose, 200 mg/kg of cefazolin was used, as doses above 50 mg/kg have increased efficacy against S. aureus skin infections in mice (37).

For vancomycin, the low dose of 10 mg/kg was used, which approximated the 50% effective dose (ED50) (10.6 mg/kg) in the neutropenic mouse thigh S. aureus infection model (40). For the high dose, 110 mg/kg of vancomycin was used (41), which approximated the AUC of 440 mg · h/ml for typical human exposure for vancomycin (1 g) (42, 43). For rifampin, the dose of 25 mg/kg was used based on previous studies that have used doses ranging from 20 to 25 mg/kg in various mouse models of staphylococcal infection in mice (44–48). There are important factors that influence matching the mouse doses to typical human exposures for cefazolin, vancomycin, and especially rifampin, including differences of half-life and serum protein binding between the species, and these are described in detail in the Discussion. Prophylactic therapy with vancomycin or cefazolin (both from Hospira, Inc., Lake Forest, IL) or a sham injection (saline solution) was administered intravenously via the retro-orbital vein 30 min preoperatively. To evaluate the efficacy of combination therapy, rifampin (25 mg/kg administered subcutaneously) (Pfizer, Inc., New York, NY) was added to the low-dose cefazolin or vancomycin prophylactic therapy. The S. epidermidis strain (Xen43) in this study had the following MICs: cefazolin ≤ 0.5 µg/ml, vancomycin ≤ 2 µg/ml, and rifampin ≤ 0.5 µg/ml. For these experiments, the sample size was 5 to 10 mice per group (all groups initially had an n = 5, and a second iteration was performed to confirm results for certain groups) and the efficacies of the prophylactic antibiotics were determined using in vivo bioluminescence imaging and ex vivo CFU counting (see above).

**Statistical analysis.** Data were compared using Student’s t-test (two tailed). All data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean. Values of P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Mouse model of an implant-related infection.** Using our in vivo model of a subcutaneous S. epidermidis implant infection (Fig. 1), we found that the bacterial bioluminescent signals of the 1 × 10^6, 1 × 10^7, and 1 × 10^8 CFU inocula differed on day 0 (2.5 × 10^5, 2.0 × 10^5, and 7.3 × 10^4 photons/s, respectively), became similar on day 1 (~2.5 × 10^5 photons/s), and then decreased to background levels (2 × 10^4 photons/s) by day 7 (Fig. 2A and B). The decreasing bioluminescent signals were likely a result of lower numbers of bacteria present as well as lower metabolic activity of bacteria as they began to form biofilms as previously described with this strain (23). Next, the CFU harvested from the capsules and implants were enumerated. Of note, S. epidermidis-infected mice formed larger fibrous-tissue capsules surrounding the im-
plants than uninfected mice (Fig. 1, bottom panels). The CFU harvested from the capsules for the $1 \times 10^6$, $1 \times 10^7$, and $1 \times 10^8$ CFU inocula were $1.8 \times 10^2$, $7.2 \times 10^2$, and $1.0 \times 10^3$ CFU, respectively (Fig. 2C and D). Similarly, the CFU harvested from the discs for the $1 \times 10^6$, $1 \times 10^7$, and $1 \times 10^8$ CFU inocula were $5.1 \times 10^1$, $4.6 \times 10^2$, and $1.9 \times 10^3$ CFU, respectively. Since the inoculum of $1 \times 10^8$ CFU resulted in a consistent implant infection with the highest bioluminescent signals and numbers of CFU harvested from the capsules and implants, this inoculum was used in all subsequent experiments.

**Biofilm formation.** Biofilm formation was readily visualized from discs harvested from *S. epidermidis*-infected mice by the use of VP-SEM (Fig. 3). In contrast, uninfected mice, which did not have any bacterial inoculation, had no detectable biofilm formation as the VP-SEM images resembled the metal surface seen on the titanium discs prior to implantation. These data demonstrating the presence of biofilms on infected implants was consistent with the presence of CFU harvested from the surface of the implants in Fig. 2D as well as the biofilms that occur in CIED infections in patients (5, 6).

**Simultaneous measurement of bacterial burden and neutrophil infiltration.** To determine whether the presence of the *S. epidermidis* infection impacted the degree of neutrophil recruitment, our *in vivo* localized subcutaneous implant-related infection was performed in LysEGFP mice to simultaneously and noninvasively measure both bacterial burden and neutrophil infiltration using *in vivo* bioluminescent and fluorescent imaging, respectively (Fig. 4). Similarly to the C57BL/6 mice shown in Fig. 2, the *S. epidermidis*-infected LysEGFP mice developed bioluminescence signals that decreased over the course of the experiment until day 7, from which time they remained at the level of background bioluminescent signals through day 14. Interestingly, the *S. epidermidis*-infected LysEGFP mice had the same level of EGFP-neutrophil fluorescent signals as uninfected control mice on all postoperative days. The levels of EGFP-neutrophil fluorescent signals peaked on day 3 in both *S. epidermidis*-infected mice ($6.7 \times 10^9$ [photons/s]/[μW/cm²]) and uninfected mice ($8.9 \times 10^9$ [photons/s]/[μW/cm²]), and the signals decreased to background levels ($1.8 \times 10^9$ [photons/s]/[μW/cm²]) by postoperative day 14, when the experiment was arbitrarily terminated. Thus, despite the presence of a *S. epidermidis* infection, the EGFP-neutrophil fluorescent signals were not significantly different from those seen with the infected mice, suggesting that the low virulence of *S. epidermidis* did not increase the local inflammatory response compared with the level seen with the surgical procedure alone. Alternatively, the inflammation caused by the infection may have been a magnitude lower than that produced by the surgical procedure alone.

**Efficacy of prophylactic antibiotics and impact on *in vivo* bioluminescence signals.** This subcutaneous implant-related in-
Infections associated with CIED are difficult to diagnose and treat and may lead to life-threatening complications (2–4). Since infections are often caused by multidrug-resistant bacteria, antibiotic prophylaxis may further reduce the rate of infections. We developed a preclinical mouse model of a CIED infection model was used to evaluate the efficiencies of low versus high doses of cefazolin (50 versus 200 mg/kg) or vancomycin (10 versus 110 mg/kg) with or without rifampin (25 mg/kg) in combination therapy. Sham control mice for the cefazolin experiments that were administered sterile saline solution had bioluminescence signals of $1.1 \times 10^5 \pm 2.1 \times 10^5$ photons/s, $2.2 \times 10^5 \pm 3.5 \times 10^5$ photons/s, and $1.3 \times 10^5 \pm 2.5 \times 10^5$ photons/s on days 0, 1, and 3, respectively, which subsequently decreased to background levels ($\sim 2 \times 10^4$ photons/s) by postoperative day 7. High-dose vancomycin resulted in 44-fold ($2.4 \times 10^4 \pm 3.2 \times 10^4$ photons/s), 9-fold ($2.5 \times 10^4 \pm 2.4 \times 10^4$ photons/s), and 3-fold ($3.9 \times 10^4 \pm 1.0 \times 10^4$ photons/s) reductions on days 0, 1, and 3, respectively ($P < 0.01$). In contrast, low-dose vancomycin lacked any therapeutic effect as the bioluminescence signals did not differ from those seen with the sham treatment. In contrast, the vancomycin (low dose)-rifampin combination had a marked therapeutic effect, with 40-fold ($2.6 \times 10^5 \pm 3.4 \times 10^5$ photons/s), 10.6-fold ($2.6 \times 10^5 \pm 6.5 \times 10^4$ photons/s), 2.4-fold ($2.8 \times 10^4 \pm 1.8 \times 10^4$ photons/s), and 1.2-fold ($2.9 \times 10^4 \pm 1.3 \times 10^4$ photons/s) statistically significant reductions in bioluminescence signals on postoperative days 0, 1, 3, and 5, respectively ($P < 0.05$) compared with low-dose vancomycin treatment alone.

In summary, high-dose cefazolin and high-dose vancomycin resulted in similarly decreased bioluminescence signals whereas low-dose cefazolin but not low-dose vancomycin prophylaxis resulted in decreased bioluminescence signals compared with sham treatment. Furthermore, the addition of rifampin to low-dose vancomycin but not to low-dose cefazolin led to a marked decrease in bioluminescence signals.

**Effect of the prophylactic antibiotics on ex vivo bacterial counts.** To confirm the findings obtained with in vivo bioluminescence imaging (Fig. 5A), ex vivo CFU were enumerated from the capsules and implants (Fig. 5B and C). Sham control mice averaged $6.0 \times 10^4 \pm 2.2 \times 10^4$ CFU harvested from the capsules and $1.5 \times 10^4 \pm 2.1 \times 10^4$ CFU isolated from the implants. High-dose cefazolin prophylaxis and high-dose vancomycin prophylaxis were both highly efficacious, as either prophylactic antibiotic therapy resulted in virtually no CFU harvested from the capsules (cefazolin = no CFU isolated and vancomycin = $1.0 \times 10^0 \pm 1.0 \times 10^0$ CFU) or the implants (cefazolin = $1.5 \times 10^0 \pm 1.5 \times 10^0$ CFU and vancomycin = no CFU isolated) ($P < 0.05$). In contrast, low-dose cefazolin and vancomycin were moderately efficacious, as they resulted in statistically significant decreased CFU harvested from the capsules (14.9-fold [4.1 $\times 10^1 \pm 2.3 \times 10^1$ CFU] and 9.9-fold [7.3 $\times 10^1 \pm 9.8 \times 10^1$ CFU], respectively) and implants (143-fold [1.0 $\times 10^2 \pm 9.4 \times 10^1$ CFU] and 86-fold [2.1 $\times 10^2 \pm 1.4 \times 10^2$ CFU], respectively) compared with sham treatment ($P < 0.05$). Interestingly, the addition of rifampin to low-dose cefazolin did not result in a further reduction of CFU harvested from the capsules compared to low-dose cefazolin alone but resulted in no CFU harvested from the implants. In contrast, the addition of rifampin to the low-dose vancomycin resulted in a substantial reduction of CFU as there were virtually no CFU harvested from either the capsules (1.0 $\times 10^0 \pm 1.0 \times 10^0$ CFU) or the implants (no CFU isolated).

**DISCUSSION**

Infections associated with CIED are difficult to diagnose and treat and may lead to life-threatening complications (2–4). Since improved antibiotic prophylaxis may further reduce the rate of infections, we developed a preclinical mouse model of a S. epidermidis localized subcutaneous implant infection to evaluate the efficacy of antibiotic prophylaxis. We found that high therapeutic doses of cefazolin or vancomycin were effective in reducing in vivo bacterial bioluminescence signals and ex vivo CFU from the sur-
rounding tissue capsules and the implants. In contrast, suboptimal low doses of cefazolin or vancomycin reduced the *in vivo* bioluminescent signals and *ex vivo* CFU only moderately. The addition of rifampin to low-dose vancomycin was highly effective in reducing *in vivo* and *ex vivo* bacterial burden. Interestingly, the addition of rifampin to low-dose cefazolin was less effective, since CFU were reduced from the implants but not the capsules. Taken together, these results suggest that rifampin combination therapy had a therapeutic benefit as a prophylactic therapy when combined with vancomycin and to a lesser extent with cefazolin.

There are several factors that should be taken into account when interpreting the *in vivo* bioluminescence data. First, al-
though Xen43 has a stable lux construct integrated into the bacterial chromosome (23), the bioluminescent signals decreased to background levels by day 7 despite the presence of CFU in the capsules and on the implants. The reason for this is likely due to the low metabolic activity of bacteria as they form biofilms as we previously observed with Xen43 in a subcutaneous catheter biofilm infection mouse model (23). Second, an inoculum of $1 \times 10^8$ CFU S. epidermidis (which was similar to the $5 \times 10^7$ CFU inoculum in the subcutaneous catheter model [23]) was required to produce an implant infection with consistent ex vivo CFU isolated from the capsules and implants (Fig. 2C and D). In contrast, lower inocula resulted in more-variable numbers of ex vivo CFU, which did not reach statistical significance. The relatively high inoculum of $1 \times 10^8$ CFU required to induce an implant infection in this

**FIG 5 Efficacy of prophylactic antibiotic therapy.** A medical-procedure-grade titanium disc incubated with a bioluminescent S. epidermidis strain ($1 \times 10^8$ CFU) was surgically placed into a subcutaneous pocket on the backs of mice to model an implant-related infection ($n = 5$ to 10 per group). The following prophylactic antibiotic treatment or sham treatment with sterile saline solution (sham) was administered to the mice 30 min preoperatively: cefazolin (cefaz; 50 mg/kg of body weight) + rifampin (25 mg/kg) or cefazolin (200 mg/kg) (left panels) or vancomycin (vanco; 10 mg/kg) + rifampin (25 mg/kg) or vancomycin (110 mg/kg) (right panels). (A) Bacterial burden as measured by in vivo bioluminescence (mean total flux [photons/s] ± standard error of the mean) (logarithmic scale). The dotted line denotes the level of background bioluminescence. (B and C) On postoperative day 7, the capsules and titanium discs were harvested and the numbers of bacteria (mean CFU ± standard error of the mean [logarithmic scale]) isolated from the capsules (B) and implants (C) were determined. *, $P < 0.05$; †, $P < 0.01$; ‡, $P < 0.001$ (prophylactic antibiotic-treated mice versus sham treatment; Student’s t test [two tailed]). n.d. = none detected.
model is likely due to the low virulence of S. epidermidis and the subcutaneous site of infection, which induced robust postoperative neutrophil recruitment in LysEGFP mice that was the same in the presence of the S. epidermidis infection and the surgical procedure alone (Fig. 4).

There are also important factors pertaining to the approximation of the human exposures of cefazolin, vancomycin, and rifampin in mice that should be taken into account in comparing exposures between the species. First, there are differences in serum protein binding, and the amount of drug bound is higher in humans versus mice for cefazolin (~85% versus ~50% [49–51]), vancomycin (35% to 50% versus ~25% [52, 53]), and rifampin (~97% versus ~90% [54]). Thus, the amount of free and active drug for these antibiotics is higher in mice than in humans. Second, the half-lives are much longer in humans versus mice for cefazolin (2 h versus 30 min [55, 56]) and vancomycin (7.7 h versus 2 h [42, 57]). Since the infected discs were implanted 30 min after administering the prophylactic antibiotics, the increased half-life of vancomycin may have contributed to its showing better effectiveness than cefazolin. Conversely, the half-life of rifampin is 4 h in humans and 12 h in mice (58, 59), indicating that rifampin has a prolonged effect in mice and providing an explanation for the increased efficacy of rifampin in this study. It should be mentioned that the typical human exposure of rifampin (10 mg/kg or 600 mg daily) was originally based on a combination of pharmacokinetics, toxicity, and cost (45, 60). At this dose, the AUC values are 48.5 µg · h/ml in humans and 132 µg · h/ml in mice (54). However, as mentioned above, the amount unbound drug is 3-fold higher and the half-life is 3-fold shorter in humans than in mice, making it difficult to match the human exposure. Taken together, these differences in the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics (PK/PD) of the antibiotics between the species highlight the limitations of approximating human exposures in mice. Ideally, for evaluating a range of antibiotic doses, additional clinical S. epidermidis isolates as well as other bacterial strains that cause CIED infections (with various antibiotic susceptibilities) would provide more-precise PK/PD data to better match the exposures in humans and provide greater evidence of efficacy. These studies are to be the subject of our future investigations.

From a mechanistic standpoint, although the precise reason for the increased efficacy of rifampin combination therapy in our model is unknown, previous studies have found that rifampin has enhanced activity against bacteria in biofilms (27–30). This anti-biofilm activity is consistent with our findings since cefazolin–rifampin–vancomycin–rifampin-treated mice had no CFU isolated from the implants. In addition, a prior study found that bacteria in biofilms have increased cell wall thickness, rendering them less sensitive to vancomycin (61). Since both vancomycin and cefazolin act by disrupting bacterial cell wall synthesis, these antibiotics may be less active against bacteria in biofilms. In contrast, rifampin acts by inhibiting bacterial DNA-dependent RNA synthesis by binding to the bacterial RNA polymerase (62, 63).

From a clinical perspective, there are several factors that are important to take into account in considering rifampin combination as prophylactic therapy. Rifampin can cause toxicity, including hepatitis and drug interactions (32). In addition, although early reports found that rifampin combination prophylactic therapy had a therapeutic benefit in patients prior to cardiac valve replacement and cardiac bypass surgery (64, 65), these patients developed rifampin-resistant S. epidermidis infections in their normal human skin flora (66). However, certain combinations, such as daptomycin and rifampin, have been shown to decrease the development of resistance in an experimental vascular graft biofilm model (67).

Taken together, our findings suggest that antibiotic prophylaxis against CIED and perhaps other surgical implant infections can be further optimized to prevent infectious complications and improve clinical outcomes. In particular, a reexamination and reconsideration of rifampin combination as prophylactic therapy may be warranted in certain patient populations at highest risk for CIED infections as these infections can have deadly consequences. Alternatively, other antibiotic combinations with longer half-lives such as tetracyclines (doxycycline, minocycline, or tigecycline) or newer glycopeptides (daptomycin, telavancin, dalbavancin, or oritavancin) could serve as additional candidates for combination prophylactic therapy and our mouse model could serve as a valuable preclinical system to evaluate these potential antibiotic combinations before initiating clinical trials.
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