Community-Acquired Pyelonephritis in Pregnancy Caused by KPC-Producing Klebsiella pneumoniae
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This Journal section presents a real, challenging case involving a multidrug-resistant organism. The case authors present the rationale for their therapeutic strategy and discuss the impact of mechanisms of resistance on clinical outcome. An expert clinician then provides a commentary on the case.

Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) usually infect patients with significant comorbidities and health care exposures. We present a case of a pregnant woman who developed community-acquired pyelonephritis caused by KPC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae. Despite antibiotic treatment, she experienced spontaneous prolonged rupture of membranes, with eventual delivery of a healthy infant. This report demonstrates the challenge that CRE may pose to the effective treatment of common infections in obstetric patients, with potentially harmful consequences to maternal and neonatal health.

Pyelonephritis in pregnancy confers a high risk of maternal complications and preterm birth (1). Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) threaten patients throughout the continuum of health care but do not commonly cause community-associated infections. Extensive resistance and the limited efficacy of existing alternatives limit the treatment of infections caused by CRE (2). We review a case of pyelonephritis caused by CRE acquired in the community by an unexpected host, a pregnant woman, which led to obstetric complications and therapeutic challenges.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 27-year-old woman (G3P0020) presented at 18 weeks of pregnancy to the emergency department of a metropolitan hospital in northeastern Ohio with fever, rigors, nausea, vomiting, dysuria, and flank pain. She had a history of recurrent urinary tract infections (UTIs) since childhood. The most recent episode occurred at the onset of pregnancy (8 weeks), was caused by Escherichia coli susceptible to ampicillin and all tested cephalosporins, and was treated with cephalaxin. Previously, she had been prescribed nitrofurantoin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole to treat a UTI caused by similarly susceptible bacteria. She had not traveled outside the region and had not been admitted to the hospital in the past 2 years. Recently, the patient had cared for her mother, who received chemotherapy for breast and ovarian cancer; the mother had not been treated at a long-term-care facility.

On admission, the patient’s temperature was 102.4°F, her pulse was 110 beats/min, and her blood pressure was within the normal range. On physical examination, dry mucous membranes were noted; pulmonary auscultation did not reveal any rales, and her abdomen was gravid and nontender, with a fetal heart rate measured at 140 beats/min. Right costovertebral angle tenderness was demonstrated. Urine analysis showed pyuria; empirical antibiotic treatment with ceftriaxone was administered at 2 g intravenously (i.v.) every 24 h for 3 days. The patient’s fever persisted, and a urine culture eventually yielded >100,000 CFU/ml of Klebsiella pneumoniae. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) demonstrated resistance to all beta-lactams, including carbapenems, as well as aminoglycosides and quinolones (Table 1). Blood cultures remained sterile, and ultrasound imaging did not reveal abscess or anatomical anomalies of the urinary tract.

CHALLENGE QUESTION

Which antimicrobial(s) would be appropriate for the patient presented in the case?

A. Colistin (i.v.)
B. Oral fosfomycin
C. Oral fosfomycin and extended-infusion meropenem
D. Oral fosfomycin and extended-infusion cefepime
E. Ceftazidime-avibactam (i.v.)
F. Meropenem and ertapenem (i.v.)

TREATMENT AND OUTCOME

After the results of AST became available, antimicrobial therapy was administered; it consisted of one dose of meropenem (2 g...
ST258 isolate from this case differs from the two KPC-2- and KPC-3-producing K. pneumoniae. The court et al., demonstrated that the KPC-2-harboring isolate from this case belonged to sequence type 258 moniae. The isolate from this case belongs to sequence type 258 (ST258), which is the predominant strain of CRE found in northeastern Ohio. Further genetic typing using repetitive-sequence-based PCR (rep-PCR) revealed that the KPC-2-harboring K. pneumoniae from this patient shares <80% similarity with other K. pneumoniae ST258 isolates from northeastern Ohio and represents a strain that was distinct from the two predominant clades found in the region (Fig. 1). Additionally, PCR amplification and sequencing of the wzi gene of the capsular polysaccharide cps cluster (according to the method described by Brisse et al. [4]) identified sequence 83, which predicts capsular type K23. In contrast, the two predominant KPC-producing K. pneumoniae ST258 clades in northeastern Ohio belong to wzi sequences 29 and 81, which predict capsular types K41 and K81, respectively.

Here we present a case of complicated urinary tract infection (cUTI) caused by KPC-producing K. pneumoniae in a pregnant woman without recent health care exposures or travel history; this is a very unexpected host for this pathogen. This report highlights the potential emergence of CRE in community-associated infections and echoes reports of New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase (NDM)–producing Enterobacteriaceae causing cystitis among community dwellers (5). Molecular typing revealed that the KPC-producing K. pneumoniae isolate from this case, although belonging to the widespread ST258, is of a genotype and capsular type different from those of other isolates found in northeastern Ohio. Therefore, this represents the introduction of a novel strain with an unusual clinical behavior in our community. The establishment in our region of a network for the molecular surveillance of CRE allowed us to detect this sentinel event (2). To our knowledge, no other community-acquired CRE infections have occurred in our region.

Infection with KPC-producing K. pneumoniae in this case proved difficult to treat due to extensive drug resistance and the potential adverse impact of antibiotics on fetal health. We chose fosfomycin as the “backbone” of the antibiotic regimen because of its effectiveness against KPC-producing K. pneumoniae and its safety when given for bacteriuria in pregnancy (category B) (6, 7). Tigecycline was not considered since it may cause fetal harm (category D); furthermore, tigecycline has limited effectiveness in the treatment of UTIs. Rather, we added ceftazidime, which is regarded as safe in pregnancy (category B) and offers potential synergistic .

### TABLE 1: Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of KPC-2-Producing K. pneumoniae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic(s)</th>
<th>MIC (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin(s)</td>
<td>≥32</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftriaxone</td>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefpodoxime</td>
<td>≥64</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meropenem</td>
<td>≥16</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>≥16</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colistin</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrofurantoin</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperacillin-tazobactam</td>
<td>≥128/4</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fosfomycin</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* According to guidelines issued by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.

---

**FIG 1**: Genetic typing of Klebsiella pneumoniae from the present case with rep-PCR and sequencing of wzi demonstrate that the KPC-2-harboring K. pneumoniae ST258 isolate from this case differs from the two KPC-2- and KPC-3–producing K. pneumoniae ST258 isolates representative (973/1902 and 677/1607) of the two clades that predominate in northeastern Ohio.
activity with fosfomycin, also an inhibitor of cell wall synthesis (8). Cefepime, a zwitterion, achieves high concentrations in the periplasm and is a relatively poor substrate for hydrolysis by KPC-2 beta-lactamase (9). Since cefepime is stable over time, it is feasible to administer it in continuous infusion in order to maximize the time that the concentration of the drug exceeds the MIC of the organism, the most relevant pharmacodynamic parameter. By using 6 g/day as a continuous infusion, we estimate that levels in plasma of 20 to 30 μg/ml were maintained; moreover, cefepime achieves a very high concentration in the urine, averaging 3,120 μg/ml after the administration of a 2-g dose. We therefore reasoned that concentrations of cefepime sufficient to inhibit the growth of *K. pneumoniae* (MIC = 32 μg/ml) would be maintained in the urine and genitourinary tract. Given that its half-life is 2 h (in subjects with normal renal function), we estimate that the concentration of cefepime in urine 2 days after stopping treatment is negligible at <0.00002 μg/ml (http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2012/050679s036lbl.pdf). In this case, treatment was prolonged for several weeks until delivery with the intent of preventing further relapses of pyelonephritis and sepsis, considered seriously detrimental to the fetus and justifying the risk of “collateral damage” from antibiotics. This approach was successful, as proven by sterile urine cultures (obtained weekly while the patient was on cefepime and 6 weeks after the end of therapy) and the absence of symptoms.

Meropenem (also category B) administered as a prolonged infusion in combination with fosfomycin may have achieved a similar effect. Indeed, meropenem administered by continuous infusion was used successfully to treat a KPC-producing *K. pneumoniae* bloodstream infection arising from a urinary source. In that case, fresh solutions of meropenem had to be prepared every 8 h to overcome the potential instability of meropenem after several hours at room temperature, posing an additional logistical challenge (10). This, and our limited experience with the use of carbapenems in pregnancy (relative to that with cephalosporins), justified the choice of cefepime over meropenem or dual-carbapenem therapy.

Ceftazidime coformulated with avibactam, a novel beta-lactamase inhibitor that inactivates KPC, recently received approval by the U.S. FDA for the treatment of cUTI but was not available when this patient was treated. Registration trials included one female patient with cUTI proven to be caused by KPC-producing *K. pneumoniae* (meropenem MIC, >8 μg/ml) who was successfully treated with ceftazidime-avibactam. Of note, female patients recruited in these trials had a negative serum pregnancy test; reproductive toxicology studies performed with avibactam during early pregnancy in animals did not raise any safety concerns (http://www.fda.gov/downloads/AdvisoryCommittees/CommitteesMeetingMaterials/Drugs/Anti-InfectiveDrugsAdvisoryCommittee/UCM425459.pdf). In conclusion, this case illustrates an attempt to devise an antibiotic regimen to overcome a molecularly defined mechanism of resistance that is a challenge to clinicians worldwide. At the same time, it underscores the obstacles for the delivery of precise and effective treatment for infections in the era of extensively drug-resistant organisms, such as genetic heterogeneity of bacteria, host factors that affect treatment response and recurrence, and limited knowledge regarding drug combinations.

**COMMENTARY**

The development of an antibiotic regimen for any given patient is no easy matter. In the accompanying paper, Khatri and colleagues describe their decision-making when posed with a pregnant patient who developed pyelonephritis due to KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Three issues became relevant when deliberating on the drug regimen: (i) extensive drug resistance, (ii) the type and site of the infection (pyelonephritis), and (iii) pregnancy. We will examine each of these sequentially.

The term “extensive drug resistance” (XDR) refers to loss of susceptibility to all but one or two antibiotic classes. Although Khatri and colleagues do not list susceptibility to all antibiotics which comprise the XDR definition (11), it is clear that KPC production severely compromised the antibiotic choice for this patient. A number of observational studies have suggested that combination antibiotic therapy leads to a superior clinical outcome in patients with severe infections due to KPC producers than monotherapy does (12). It is important to point out that the superiority of combination antibiotic therapy for KPC producers has not yet been shown in randomized controlled trials, although such trials are under way.

Interestingly, Khatri and colleagues chose to use cefepime in their antibiotic regimen, despite *in vitro* testing indicating a MIC of 32 mg/liter, which is defined as “resistant” using current breakpoints. A number of authors have now used prolonged infusions of beta-lactam antibiotics to optimize pharmacodynamic parameters and potentially achieve prolonged antibiotic concentrations above the MIC (13), even if such a MIC may be defined as “resistant.” In this era of XDR, breakpoints should be regarded as “guidelines” rather than as absolute determinants of the efficacy of an antibiotic regimen against any given organism.

Increasingly, concentrations of beta-lactam antibiotics can be measured in clinical practice to determine whether such concentrations actually exceed the MIC for a considerable part of the dosing interval. In a study of 384 critically ill patients receiving beta-lactams who had drug concentrations measured (14), 16% did not achieve a target of 50% \(f_t > MIC\) (free antibiotic concentrations above the MIC at 50% of the dosing interval), and these patients were 32% less likely to have a positive clinical outcome. A positive clinical outcome was associated with increasing 50% \(f_t > MIC\) and 100% \(f_t > MIC\) ratios (14). This underscores the importance of infectious disease clinicians interacting with clinical pathologists at their hospitals in order to facilitate introduction of beta-lactam drug concentrations into routine clinical practice.

The site of infection is also an important consideration in choosing an antibiotic regimen. The issue of utility of orally administered fosfomycin for infections other than cystitis is vexed. Current guidelines for the treatment of pyelonephritis do not include fosfomycin as a recommended agent (15). Serum and renal tissue concentrations of fosfomycin, when administered orally, are substantially lower than urinary concentrations (16). In one study, clinical cure of patients with pyelonephritis due to fosfomycin-susceptible *E. coli* was only 44% in patients treated with oral fosfomycin (8 g twice daily for 1 week) (16). These results send a clear message to drug developers — there is an urgent need for new orally administered antibiotics active against multidrug-resistant (MDR) and XDR Gram-negative organisms. While the situation is being improved somewhat with intravenous therapy,
few new options exist for orally administered therapy (with the possible exception of eravacycline).

The final consideration in the case presented by Khatri and colleagues is that of the use of antibiotics in pregnancy. It is estimated that between 19 and 44% of women are prescribed antibiotics during pregnancy (17). In the case of critically ill patients, concerns about the pregnant woman’s well-being typically outweigh the potential risk of teratogenicity. However, in most clinical situations, such as the one described, the pregnant state substantially reduces treatment options. Increasing antibiotic resistance and the need for classes other than “safe” penicillins and cephalosporins mandate exploration of the safety in pregnancy of the antibiotics most likely to be used for XDR organisms.

This case highlights the alarming prospect of a community-acquired XDR K. pneumoniae infection in an otherwise healthy host. Unfortunately, it is likely that clinicians will increasingly face such therapeutic dilemmas in the future.
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