Neutropenia Associated with Long-Term Ceftaroline Use
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Ceftaroline is a fifth-generation cephalosporin with potent antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive and Gram-negative pathogens. Neutropenia is a rare serious adverse event for the class of cephalosporins; however, we observed several cases of severe neutropenia in our outpatient infectious disease practice believed to be associated with ceftaroline use. The aim of this study was to determine the incidence of neutropenia among patients receiving ceftaroline therapy for more than 7 days. We conducted a retrospective cohort analysis of patients admitted to an 800-bed regional medical center between June 2012 and December 2014 who received ceftaroline for more than 7 days to assess the incidence of developing clinically significant neutropenia. Demographic and patient care data points as well as underlying admitting and chronic diagnoses were retrospectively collected from the medical record. Clinically significant neutropenia was defined as an absolute neutrophil count (ANC) less than 1,500 cells/mm³. Analysis was performed to determine the incidence, severity, and outcome of neutropenia following ceftaroline administration. A total of 39 patients were included in the cohort. The median duration of therapy was 27 days. Seven patients (18%) developed neutropenia while on ceftaroline therapy. Four (10%) of the neutropenic patients had an ANC of <500 cells/mm³. The median first neutropenic day was day 17, with the median ANC nadir of 432 cells/mm³ on day 24. We determined that extended ceftaroline infusion is associated with the development of neutropenia. We recommend obtaining a complete blood count (CBC) with differential at the onset of therapy and weekly thereafter. Should the ANC fall below 2,500 cells/mm³, then twice-weekly CBCs should be monitored for the duration of ceftaroline therapy, and therapy should be discontinued if the ANC falls to 1,500 cells/mm³ or less.

Materials and Methods

The cohort included adult patients admitted to an 800-bed academic medical center between June 2012 and December 2014 with an infection for which they received ceftaroline therapy for 7 days or greater. This study was approved by the institutional review board at Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center in New Orleans.

The primary outcome measure was the development of clinically significant neutropenia while receiving ceftaroline therapy. We defined clinically significant neutropenia as an absolute neutrophil count (ANC) of less than 1,500 cells/mm³, as this value is considered abnormal by current laboratory standards.

Baseline characteristics were obtained by review of the electronic medical record. Gathered variables included demographics (age, sex, and...
race), renal function, hepatic function, ceftaroline dose, duration of therapy, weight and body mass index (BMI), and selected comorbid illnesses. We considered the baseline ANC to be the ANC on the day prior to or the day of initiation of ceftaroline. In cases in which these data were not available, we considered the earliest ANC while on therapy to be the baseline. Patients were excluded if they had neutropenia at baseline. We considered comorbidities to be present if they were documented in the admission history and physical, discharge summary, or infectious disease consult note.

We calculated the Charlson comorbidity index (11), a scoring system used to assist in prediction of 10-year mortality due to chronic comorbid illnesses, as a measure of chronic health for comparison of those who did and those who did not develop neutropenia. Investigators determined the primary site of infection by reviewing the subjects’ medical records for the infection source, categorizing the primary site as skin/skin structure, orthopedic, pulmonary, or endovascular. Orthopedic infections included patients with osteomyelitis, discitis, and septic arthritis. Endovascular infections included patients with primary bacteremia, endocarditis, or central venous device-related bacteremia. These categories were considered exclusive; a patient could not have more than one source. The investigators reviewed home and inpatient medication lists and recorded concurrent receipt of medications with a >1% risk of neutropenia. Data on risk for neutropenia were gathered from http://www.pdr.net and http://www.wolterskluwercdi.com/.

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS version 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Baseline characteristics between those patients with the outcome in question (neutropenia) versus those without this outcome were compared by Wilcoxon’s rank sum or chi-square for continuous or dichotomous variables, respectively. Because of the low number of cases, we were unable to perform multivariable analysis to further explore risk factors for the development of neutropenia; thus, all analysis is descriptive in nature.

RESULTS

A total of 49 patients received ceftaroline therapy between June 2012 and December 2014. Of these patients, 39 received greater than 7 days of ceftaroline therapy. Baseline characteristics are listed in Table 1. The median duration of therapy was 27 days, with a range of 9 to 125 days. In patients who did and did not develop neutropenia, the median durations of therapy were 23 days (25th to 75th percentile, 19.5 to 51.5) and 25.5 days (25th to 75th percentile, 14.25 to 38.25), respectively (P = 0.755). Of note, the patient that received 125 days had a complicated disease course with a nonoperable spinal epidural abscess; this patient ultimately did develop neutropenia. The next-longest duration of therapy was 52 days. Figure 1 depicts a box plot of the durations of therapy.

Seven patients developed neutropenia (ANC < 1,500 cells/mm³). We did not detect significant changes in cell lines other than the neutrophil (lymphocytes, basophils, and eosinophils).

### Table 1 Baseline characteristics of patients who did and did not develop neutropenia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value for:</th>
<th>Nonneutropenic patients</th>
<th>Neutropenic patients</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 32)</td>
<td>(n = 7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (25th–75th percentile)</td>
<td>50.5 (40.75–60.75)</td>
<td>44 (26–54)</td>
<td>0.129&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, median (25th–75th percentile)</td>
<td>31.55 (25.40–38.83)</td>
<td>23.9 (22.2–26.2)</td>
<td>0.024&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine clearance, median (25th–75th percentile)</td>
<td>90 (67.99–141.25)</td>
<td>105 (93.00–109.00)</td>
<td>0.360&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex, n (%)</td>
<td>24 (75)</td>
<td>2 (28.6)</td>
<td>0.030&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White race, n (%)</td>
<td>28 (87.5)</td>
<td>7 (100)</td>
<td>0.614&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of malignancy, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (6.1)</td>
<td>1 (14.3)</td>
<td>0.457&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline neutropenic med, n (%)</td>
<td>13 (41.9)</td>
<td>3 (42.9)</td>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlson comorbidity index, median (25th–75th percentile)</td>
<td>2 (0.25–3)</td>
<td>1 (0–3)</td>
<td>0.359&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary site of infection, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.249&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin/skin structure</td>
<td>7 (22)</td>
<td>1 (14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopedic</td>
<td>17 (53)</td>
<td>3 (43)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endovascular</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>2 (29)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary</td>
<td>6 (19)</td>
<td>1 (14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline ANC (thousands), median (25th–75th percentile)</td>
<td>8.4 (6.3–15.7)</td>
<td>6.5 (5.4–10.3)</td>
<td>0.300&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of ceftaroline therapy (days), median (25th–75th percentile)</td>
<td>25.5 (14.25–38.25)</td>
<td>23 (19.5–51.5)</td>
<td>0.755&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Determined by Wilcoxon rank sum test.
<sup>b</sup> Determined by Fisher’s exact test.
<sup>c</sup> Determined by Pearson chi-square test.
Figure 2 depicts trends in these cell lines throughout the first 6 weeks of ceftaroline therapy. We noted wide fluctuations in the platelet counts of many patients; however, there was no correlation with ceftaroline therapy or its cessation (some patients developed transient thrombocytopenia [<150,000/mm^3] but recovered despite continuation of therapy).

Twenty-six of the 32 patients who did not develop neutropenia initially received 600 mg of ceftaroline every 12 h. One patient, who had an orthopedic infection, received 600 mg every 8 h; the remaining 5 patients received lower doses due to impaired renal function. Three patients required additional dose adjustment during treatment due to changes in renal function. Of the seven patients who did develop neutropenia, six of them received 600 mg of ceftaroline every 12 h; one, again with an orthopedic infection, received 600 mg every 8 h (q8h). None of these patients required dose adjustment or developed significant renal impairment throughout the duration of treatment.

Of the 39 patients included in the analysis, 36 had ANC data available from the day of or 1 day prior to the initiation of therapy. The remaining 3 patients had their first ANC documented after the first day therapy. Table 1 includes the baseline characteristics of those patients who developed neutropenia and those patients who did not. Patients who developed neutropenia were more likely to be females with a lower BMI; however, in the total cohort, women did not have a statistically significantly lower BMI than men, with a median of 28.3 (25th to 75th percentile, 22.3 to 33.5) versus 31.6 (25th to 75th percentile, 24.8 to 39.2) (P = 0.153 by Wilcoxon rank sum test). One patient who developed neutropenia...
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DISCUSSION

In general, antibiotics are a well-tolerated class of medications; however, their administration is not without adverse effects. From anaphylaxis to photosensitivity, from benign to life threatening, these adverse effects are numerous and diverse, affecting nearly every organ system. One of the most commonly affected systems affected by antimicrobial therapy is that of hematopoiesis.

Hematologic consequences of antimicrobial use have been described for nearly all classes of antibiotics. The effect of antimicrobials on hematopoiesis can be fairly benign, such as the transient thrombocytopenia seen with the ureidopenicillins (12), or tragic, as seen in the aplastic anemia precipitated by chloramphenicol (13). Fortunately, the broadest class of antimicrobials—the β-lactam class—is among the most well tolerated of all, with few adverse hematologic reactions (14).

Though no hematologic toxicity is without concern, antibiotic-induced neutropenia is especially worrisome, as neutrophils are required to combat the very process for which the antibiotic is prescribed. Fortunately, drug-induced neutropenia is a rare event. Andrés and Maloisel reported 6 cases per million population per year, and the incidence of drug-induced neutropenia increases with age. The authors also noted that women appear twice as likely to experience the complication as men, an observation consistent with our findings (15).

The development of neutropenia is associated with an increased risk of infection. This risk is stratified by the degree of neutropenia, a patient’s underlying immune status, and the adequacy of bone marrow stores. In the case of patients with chemotherapy-induced neutropenia, febrile patients are further risk stratified as high-risk and low-risk individuals. High-risk patients are those who are anticipated to have profound neutropenia (ANC of <100 cells/mm³) for a prolonged period (>7 days). Low-risk patients are patients who are expected to have a brief period (<7 days) of neutropenia followed by recovery of cells. Definitions of neutropenia vary by source. The WHO defines neutropenia as an ANC of <1,800 cells/mm³. The lower limit of the reference range for ANC is 1,500 cells/mm³. In patients with neutropenia due to chemotherapy, an ANC of <500 cells/mm³ is the point at which the risk of admission and complications increases; therefore, this value stratifies febrile neutropenic patients in the guidelines for the treatment of this condition (16).

Many drug classes have been described to cause neutropenia, including the penicillin (17) and cephalosporin members of the β-lactam class; however, the risk of cephalosporin-induced neutropenia appears to be small compared to the risk with more commonly associated drugs, such as methimazole, clozapine, sulphasalazine, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. Most consider the cephalosporin class of β-lactam drugs to have a favorable safety profile, with hypersensitivity reactions being the most common adverse events (17). Few members of the cephalosporin class of antibiotics have been associated with the development of neutropenia, with less than 1% of patients receiving a cephalosporin having developed the complication (18). Although rare, neutropenia has been described for patients receiving prolonged therapy with the fourth-generation cephalosporin ceftazidime for osteomy-

![Graph of mean absolute neutrophil count (ANC) by week in those who became neutropenic and those who did not. CI, confidence interval.](http://aac.asm.org/Downloaded)
elitis. In these cases, neutropenia resolved after discontinuation of the drug (19).

The mechanism underlying β-lactam-induced neutropenia is not completely understood, but it likely occurs due to an immune-mediated event or a direct toxic mechanism (20). Bone marrow examination in patients with drug-induced neutropenia may show decreased or absent myeloid precursor cells. The term myeloid maturation arrest refers to the disease state in which the patient remains neutropenic but immature forms of the myelocyte are present on bone marrow biopsy. Recovery of cells is faster in those patients with myeloid precursors present (2 to 7 days) on bone marrow biopsy than in those without precursor cells (>14 days) (15).

Although a review of the four major phase III ceftaroline clinical trials did not reveal an increased incidence of neutropenia in patients who received ceftaroline (7, 10, 21), there are documented cases of neutropenia thought to be secondary to its use reported in the aftermarket literature. The first case was a 90-year-old woman being treated with ceftaroline for MRSA health care-associated pneumonia, with secondary bacteremia and possible vertebral osteomyelitis. The patient developed neutropenia after 25 days of ceftaroline. Recovery occurred within 1 week of discontinuation of the drug (22). In a second case, a 67-year-old man treated with high-dose ceftaroline (600 mg every 8 h q8h) for MRSA septic arthritis developed profound neutropenia after 21 days of therapy (23). Of note, both of these cases occurred after the patients received therapy far longer than that administered in the phase III studies (7–10).

More recently, Jain et al. performed a retrospective chart review of 12 patients who received ceftaroline therapy for refractory MRSA and coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* infections; ceftaroline was discontinued in 7 of those patients due to hematologic toxicities. The median time of discontinuation was 22 days (24).

While neutropenia is not a commonly reported serious adverse event (<2%) associated with ceftaroline use, in our clinical practice, nearly 18% of patients who received more than 7 days of ceftaroline therapy developed laboratory-confirmed neutropenia defined as an ANC of <1,500 cells/mm³. The timing of the development of neutropenia in our study is consistent with the previously reported cases of neutropenia, developing early in the fourth week of therapy. Both the neutrophilic group and those that did not develop neutropenia had a fall in their ANC with a nadir near the same time (day 24 versus day 21, respectively). This finding may reflect a decline due to treatment of an infection, but the similarity in timing is concerning for a drug reaction that continues only in a select group of people.

Although our sample size is not large enough to determine specific risk factors for the development of neutropenia, we noted that patients who developed neutropenia had a lower BMI and were more likely to be female. This finding may implicate pharmacodynamic principles as a possible etiology of the development of neutropenia; it is possible that in patients with low body mass, there is a cumulative bone marrow toxicity manifested over time as suppression in the neutrophil population. Further studies should explore this finding, as dose reduction in lean patients could potentially avoid this complication. Although in the overall cohort, we did not find a statistical difference in the BMI of men versus women, there was a trend for women to have a lower BMI. The association between female sex and the development of neutropenia may be due, in large part, to the lower BMI rather than other gender-related differences.

Cefaroline is currently FDA approved for the treatment of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections (ABSSSI) and community-acquired pneumonia (CAP). CANVAS and FOCUS included treatment courses with durations between 5 and 14 days. As noted in our study, only 25% of those patients who would develop neutropenia did so by day 14. The recovery from neutropenia may be rapid and therefore may not have been noted as clinically significant during the shorter duration of therapy in the clinical trials.

Our patient population consisted primarily of patients receiving ceftaroline for off-label indications such as bacteremia and osteomyelitis. Off-label use of medications is very common and accounts for up to 20% of all prescription drug use in some studies (25). While vancomycin and daptomycin are suggested treatment modalities for infective endocarditis and osteomyelitis in the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) guidelines on the management of patients with MRSA infections, the use of these medications for these indications is largely off-label (26). The risk of use for these diagnoses has long been accepted as the standard of care, as a bactericidal antibacterial agent is desired for these disease processes. In our study population, ceftaroline was administered as a third-line agent for those patients unable to complete therapy with either vancomycin or daptomycin. Adverse drug reactions to vancomycin and daptomycin are commonly encountered in clinical practice, and we anticipate further off-label use, including that of longer duration, of ceftaroline as practitioners look for alternative bactericidal agents to treat MRSA infections.

Patients receiving outpatient parenteral antibiotic therapy (OPAT) require a heightened awareness for complications, as these patients are no longer close at hand and monitoring occurs intermittently. The IDSA practice guideline for OPAT recommends monitoring once-weekly complete blood count (CBC) and basic metabolic panel to monitor for adverse events in patients receiving cephalosporin therapy. The guideline also suggests more frequent monitoring of laboratory data if an adverse trend is identified during laboratory monitoring; however, the guideline does not give recommendations on how frequently (27).

This investigation represents the first study to describe the experience of all patients receiving long-term ceftaroline therapy at a single institution and the adverse effect of neutropenia seen in such a cohort. Based on our findings, a CBC with a differential should be obtained prior to the initiation of therapy and weekly during therapy. In our study, 4 (10%) of our 39 patients receiving ceftaroline developed an ANC of <500 cells/mm³ during therapy. To avoid the complications associated with the development of neutropenia, intense monitoring should begin prior to the development of the complication of neutropenia. Seventy percent of our patients who had an ANC fall below 2,500 cells/mm³ went on to develop laboratory-confirmed neutropenia. Due to our findings, we now recommend twice-weekly CBCs once a fall in the ANC below 2,500 cells/mm³ is seen. Clinicians should continue this intense monitoring for the duration of ceftaroline therapy, and therapy should be discontinued if the ANC falls below 1,500 cells/mm³.

**Study limitations.** The size of the cohort precluded us from performing a multivariable statistical analysis to determine if there were any specific patient characteristics that increased the risk for developing neutropenia while on ceftaroline. We recom-
mend that analysis be performed on a larger cohort, as this additional information would also assist providers in determining those who are at a higher risk for this complication of therapy.
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