Daptomycin Improves Outcomes Regardless of Vancomycin MIC in a Propensity-Matched Analysis of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Bloodstream Infections

Kimberly C. Claeys, a,b Evan J. Zasowski, a,b Anthony M. Casapao, a,b* Abdalhamid M. Lagnf, a,b Jerod L. Nagel, a,b Cynthia T. Nguyen, a,b Jessica A. Hallesy, a Mathew T. Compton, a Keith S. Kaye, d Donald P. Levine, d Susan L. Davis, b,c Michael J. Rybak, a,b,d


Vancomycin remains the mainstay treatment for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) bloodstream infections (BSIs) despite increased treatment failures. Daptomycin has been shown to improve clinical outcomes in patients with BSIs caused by MRSA isolates with vancomycin MICs of $>1\,\text{mg/liter}$, but these studies relied on automated testing systems. We evaluated the outcomes of BSIs caused by MRSA isolates for which vancomycin MICs were determined by standard broth microdilution (BMD). A retrospective, matched cohort of patients with MRSA BSIs treated with vancomycin or daptomycin from January 2010 to March 2015 was completed. Patients were matched using propensity-adjusted logistic regression, which included age, Pitt bacteremia score, primary BSIs source, and hospital of care. The primary endpoint was clinical failure, which was a composite endpoint of the following metrics: 30-day mortality, bacteremia with a duration of $\geq 7$ days, or a change in anti-MRSA therapy due to persistent or worsening signs or symptoms. Secondary endpoints included MRSA-attributable mortality and the number of days of MRSA bacteremia. Independent predictors of failure were determined through conditional backwards-stepwise logistic regression with vancomycin BMD MIC forced into the model. A total of 262 patients were matched. Clinical failure was significantly higher in the vancomycin cohort than in the daptomycin cohort (45.0% versus 29.0%; $P=0.007$). All-cause 30-day mortality was significantly higher in the vancomycin cohort (15.3% versus 6.1%; $P=0.024$). These outcomes remained significant when stratified by vancomycin BMD MIC. There was no significant difference in the length of MRSA bacteremia. Variables independently associated with treatment failure included vancomycin therapy (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] $=2.16$, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.24 to 3.76), intensive care unit admission (aOR $=2.46$, 95% CI = 1.34 to 4.54), and infective endocarditis as the primary source (aOR $=2.33$, 95% CI = 1.16 to 4.68). Treatment of MRSA BSIs with daptomycin was associated with reduced clinical failure and 30-day mortality; these findings were independent of vancomycin BMD MIC.
0.001) and 30-day all-cause mortality (12.9% versus 3.5%; \( P = 0.047 \)) among vancomycin-treated patients compared to daptomycin-treated patients (14). In a quasieperimental study by Kul- lar et al., the implementation of an early daptomycin treatment pathway for MRSA BSIs caused by isolates exhibiting a vancomycin MIC of \( >1 \) mg/liter demonstrated improved rates of clinical success (75.0% versus 41.4%; \( P < 0.001 \)) (15). Most recently, Weston et al. reported higher clinical failure rates among vanco-
mycin-treated patients than daptomycin-treated patients (51.0% versus 34.0%; \( P = 0.048 \)) and noted that the outcomes did not change in those treated with daptomycin, regardless of renal func-
tion (16). Currently, there are few studies that have directly ad-
Addressed the impact of elevated vancomycin MICs on patient out-
comes when patients are treated with daptomycin for MRSA BSIs and the current literature has failed to demonstrate the same as-
Sociation between outcomes and elevated MIC as with vancomy-
clin-treated patients, making daptomycin an ideal treatment alter-
native (17).

Many of the studies comparing the clinical efficacy of vanco-
mycin to daptomycin for MRSA BSIs have relied on automated
testing systems (ATSs) to determine the vancomycin MIC. Re-
cently, the clinical utility of determination of vancomycin MICs by ATSs has come into question (18–20). When three commercial
MIC ATSs (the MicroScan, Vitek2, and BD Phoenix systems) were compared to the current Clinical and Laboratory Standards Insti-
tute (CLSI) standard of broth microdilution (BMD), the results were less than assuring. Overall, agreement (±0 log dilution) was low, ranging from 34.3% to 66.2% (21). Of importance, it was
found that the MicroScan system reported the vancomycin MIC to be higher by 1 log dilution for over 60% of tested isolates, while the BD Phoenix and Vitek2 systems reported the vancomycin MIC to be 1 log dilution lower for 26.7% and 32.3% of tested isolates, respectively. Vancomycin MICs of \( >1 \) mg/liter have been
associated with worse patient outcomes; however, the lack of pre-
cision of ATSs calls into question the true clinical validity of this
assertion. The current study used vancomycin MICs reported by BMD in order to determine if improved clinical outcomes with
daptomycin were truly dependent on vancomycin MIC being \( >1 \)
mg/liter.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study design and population.** This was a retrospective propensity-
matched cohort study of patients treated with vancomycin or daptomycin for MRSA BSIs conducted at the Detroit Medical Center (DMC), Henry Ford Hospital (HFH), and the University of Michigan Health System (UMHS) from January 2010 to March 2015. Patients were included if the culture of at least one blood sample was positive for MRSA and they received at least 72 h of MRSA-directed therapy. Only data from the index visit during the study period were included in the analysis. Patients were excluded from analysis if they were less than 18 years of age, the source of the BSI was determined to be pneumonia, they were receiving renal replacement therapy at the start of MRSA therapy, the *S. aureus* isolated was resistant or nonsusceptible to one or more of the study antibiotics, and/or the patient had a polymicrobial BSI. Patients were defined to be daptomycin
treated if daptomycin was given within 72 h of the start of MRSA-
directed therapy. The institutional review boards at WSU, HFH, and UMHS approved this study. A waiver of informed consent was obtained at all sites. Study data were collected and managed using research electronic data capture (REDCap; Vanderbilt University), hosted at Wayne State University (22).

**Clinical data, outcomes assessments, and definitions.** Data were col-
lected from the patients’ electronic medical records (eMR) postdischarge. The information collected included demographic information, clinical
characteristics, course of therapy, and clinical outcomes. The Charlson comorbidity score was obtained for all patients, as was the Pitt bacteremia score, within 48 h of BSI onset. Information on the length of stay (LOS; in days), intensive care unit (ICU) admission, length of stay in the ICU (ICU LOS; in days), and the length of bacteremia (in days) was also collected. The duration of bacteremia was defined as the number of calendar days from the first positive culture for MRSA. An MRSA BSI was considered complicated if the length of bacteremia was \( \geq 5 \) days; the patient developed a metastatic focus of infection, including infective endocarditis; or the infection involving hardware was not removed within 4 days of BSI onset (40). Persistent bacteremia was defined as an MRSA BSI present for \( \geq 7 \) consecutive days (4). The eMR was reviewed to determine the primary source of BSI, as designated by the treating physician: skin/soft tissue, bone/joint, infective endocarditis, intravenous (i.v.) catheter, or other/unknown. For patients with multiple possible sources of MRSA BSI, a dominant focus was determined according to a previously published ranking: endocarditis > bone/joint > other > skin/soft tissue > i.v. catheter (23).

The primary outcome was clinical failure, a composite endpoint which included 30-day all-cause mortality, bacteremia persistent for \( \geq 7 \) days, or a change in antibiotic therapy secondary to persistent signs and symptoms of infection. Thirty-day all-cause mortality was defined as mortality from any cause within 30 days of collection of the first blood sample positive by
culture. Secondary outcomes included inpatient all-cause mortality, MRSA-attributable mortality, length of bacteremia, LOS, LOS after BSI
onset, ICU LOS and ICU LOS after BSI onset, and 60-day MRSA BSI-
related readmission. Clinical outcomes were also determined by an adjudication committee consisting of two infectious diseases physicians (D.P.L. and K.S.K.) blinded to treatment. The definition of clinical failure used by the adjudication committee was a composite of the following metrics: 30-day MRSA-related mortality, as determined by D.P.L. or K.S.K.; new or worsening signs/symptoms of infection during the hospital stay requiring a change in antibiotic therapy; or blood cultures persistently positive for \( \geq 7 \) days.

The safety assessment included documentation of all adverse events occurring while the patient was on daptomycin or vancomycin. Adverse events were recorded as follows: not related, possibly related, or related to drug therapy on the basis of documentation within the eMR. Nephroto-
icity was defined as a minimum of two consecutive documented increases in the serum creatinine concentration (an increase of 0.5 mg/dl or \( \geq 50\% \) from the baseline concentration) in the absence of an alternative explana-
tion (24). Elevations in creatine phosphokinase (CPK) concentrations were defined as unexplained signs and symptoms of myopathy in con-
junction with a CPK concentration of \( >1,000 \) IU/liter or an asymptom-
atic condition with a CPK concentration of \( >2,000 \) IU/liter (25).

**Microbiological and molecular assessment.** Microbiological testing of the first available blood isolate for each patient included was performed. The susceptibility of each isolate to vancomycin and daptomycin was determined at the hospital of care by the use of ATSs; DMC utilized the MicroScan system, and HFH and UMHS utilized the Vitek2 system. Susceptibilities to vancomycin and daptomycin were also determined by BMD in duplicate according to CLSI guidelines at the Anti-Infective Research Laboratory (ARL; Detroit, MI) (26). According to the ARL proto-
col, if there was a 1-log-dilution discrepancy, the higher MIC was docu-
mented. If there was a \( >1 \)-log-dilution discrepancy, BMD was repeated. The staphylococcal cassette mec (SCCmec) USA, and accessory gene reg-
ulator (agr) types as well as the presence of the Panton-Valentine leuko-
cidin (PVL) toxin gene were determined through multiplex PCR as de-
scribed previously; agr dysfunction was determined phenotypically by a
delta-hemolysin assay (27–29).

**Statistical analysis.** If a difference in clinical failure of 20% favoring the daptomycin-treated arm, a statistical power of 80%, and a two-sided \( \alpha \) value of 0.05 were assumed, a minimum of 100 patients was needed in each treatment arm. Patients were matched using propensity-adjusted
logistic regression, which included age, Pitt bacteremia score, primary BSI
source, and hospital of care. A caliper length of 0.2 without replacement was used in the propensity match. Descriptive statistics were reported as percentages, means ± standard deviations, or medians with interquartile ranges (IQRs), as appropriate. Dichotomous variables were compared using Pearson’s chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate. Continuous variables were compared using the Wilcoxon rank sum test or Student’s t test, as appropriate. Variables with a P value of <0.05 were considered significant. Although the cohorts were matched using propensity matching as described above, comparisons of baseline demographics and clinical characteristics between patients treated with vancomycin and patients treated with daptomycin were made to determine if the groups were, in fact, matched appropriately. Comparisons of patients that were determined to have experienced clinical failure and those that did not were then made. Multivariable conditional backwards-stepwise logistic regression was performed to determine the variables independently associated with clinical failure. All variables associated with the outcome of interest upon univariate analysis with a P value of <0.1 or determined to be clinically relevant a priori, such as the vancomycin MIC determined by BMD, were included in the regression model. A P value of 0.05 was used as the cutoff for the variable to remain in the model. The model fit was determined by the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test, with a P value of >0.05 being considered adequate. The Kaplan-Meier estimator was used to determine 30-day survival between patients treated with vancomycin and those treated with daptomycin. The log rank statistic was used to compare the two survival curves. Statistical analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS program (version 22.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

RESULTS

From January 2010 to March 2015, a total of 848 patients were screened for inclusion, and 563 were excluded for the following reasons: a repeat MRSA BSI (n = 39), blood cultures cleared before initiation of vancomycin or daptomycin (n = 23), receipt of renal replacement therapy at the start of therapy (n = 134), treatment with daptomycin or vancomycin for <72 h (n = 135), time to switch to daptomycin >72 h (n = 38), <18 years of age (n = 4), pregnant (n = 4), a polymicrobial BSI (n = 38), pneumonia as the primary MRSA BSI source (n = 75), the vancomycin MIC was >2 mg/liter by testing with an ATS (n = 1), the isolate was daptomycin nonsusceptible (n = 0), and no isolate was available for BMD MIC testing (n = 72). A total of 285 patients met the inclusion criteria, and a total of 262 patients were matched (data not shown). Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics were balanced between the two cohorts (Table 1). The median Pitt bacteremia score was low at 3 (interquartile range [IQR], 2 to 3), and the patient median age was 57.0 years (IQR, 46.0 to 70.0 years). The primary source of MRSA BSI was balanced between the two groups, with no significant differences; however, patients receiving daptomycin were numerically more likely to have bone/joint as the primary source of MRSA BSI. The most common primary sources of MRSA BSI were bone/joint (n = 65, 24.8%) and skin/soft tissue (n = 63, 24.0%).

The clinical course and the interventions received were not significantly different between the two groups (Table 2). Lengths of stay, the numbers of ICU admissions, and ICU lengths of stay were all similar. The number of days of bacteremia was numerically higher in the daptomycin-treated patients (4.0 days [IQR, 2.0 to 6.5 days] days) than the vancomycin-treated patients (3.0 days [IQR, 2.0 to 6.0 days]); however, this difference was not statistically significant. When the data were adjusted for when the patients started a study antibiotic, the median number of days of bacteremia was the same for daptomycin- and vancomycin-treated patients (3.0 days [IQR, 1.0 to 6.0 days]). Patients in the daptomycin cohort were commonly started on vancomycin therapy before being switched to daptomycin (n = 106, 80.9%). Among the vancomycin-treated patients, the median initial steady-state trough level was 17.7 mg/liter (IQR, 13.2 to 22.0 mg/liter), and 72 (54.9%) had trough levels of ≥15 mg/liter. Among the daptomycin-treated patients, 68 (51.9%) received daptomycin doses of ≥8 mg/kg. Additionally, combination therapy was common in both groups (daptomycin group, 24.4%; vancomycin group, 20.6%; P = 0.6). The most common combinations used were ceftriaxone (daptomycin group, 13.0%; vancomycin group, 10.7%; P = 0.802) and rifampin (daptomycin group, 6.9%; vancomycin group, 4.6%; P = 0.425). Infectious disease consults were more common in the daptomycin-treated patients (91.6% versus 75.6% for the vancomycin-treated patients; P = 0.001). Source control measures were not different between the two groups (32.8% for the daptomycin group versus 31.3% for the vancomycin group; P = 0.965). Among the patients with documented source control, the time to source control did not differ (3.0 days [IQR, 2.0 to 6.0 days] for the daptomycin group versus 2.0 days [IQR, 1.0 to 5.0 days] for the vancomycin group; P = 0.999).

The rate of clinical failure was significantly higher in the van-

### TABLE 1 Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>VAN (n = 131)</th>
<th>DAP (n = 131)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) age (yr)</td>
<td>57.0 (46.0–70.0)</td>
<td>56.0 (43.0–61.0)</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) Pitt bacteremia score</td>
<td>3 (2–3)</td>
<td>3 (2–3)</td>
<td>0.933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) baseline CRCL (ml/min)</td>
<td>61.6 (37.9–84.0)</td>
<td>60.4 (40.3–82.5)</td>
<td>0.885</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*AKI, acute kidney injury; DAP, daptomycin; DM, diabetes mellitus; CHD, congestive heart disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CRCL, creatinine clearance; HD, hemodialysis; IQR, interquartile range; VAN, vancomycin.*
comycin-treated patients than the daptomycin-treated patients (45.0% versus 29.0%; \( P = 0.007 \)) (Figure 1). Additionally, the rate of clinical failure was significantly higher in the vancomycin-treated patients, as determined by the adjudication committee blinded to the treatment (59.6% versus 42.6%; \( P = 0.014 \)). When the comparison of vancomycin-treated to daptomycin-treated patients with respect to the composite outcome of clinical failure was stratified by the vancomycin BMD MIC, the difference remained significant at an MIC of 0.5 mg/liter (54.5% versus 32.0%; \( P = 0.027 \)). The difference in clinical outcomes was largely driven by the need to change the anti-MRSA therapy secondary to persistent or worsening signs and symptoms of infection (28.2% for vancomycin-treated patients versus 15.3% for daptomycin-treated patients; \( P = 0.05 \)) and 30-day all-cause mortality (15.3% for vancomycin-treated patients versus 6.1% for daptomycin-treated patients; \( P = 0.01 \)). The rate of bacteremia persistent for \( \geq 7 \) consecutive days was not different between the two treatment groups (26.0% for vancomycin-treated patients versus 22.1% for daptomycin-treated patients; \( P = 0.47 \)). Upon univariate analysis, variables associated with clinical failure included vancomycin treatment group, ICU admission, bone/joint as the primary source of BSI, infective endocarditis as the primary source of BSI, complicated bacteremia, acute kidney injury, and a history of i.v. drug use (data not shown). Effective source control was protective against clinical failure. Complicated bacteremia was removed from the model because it was colinear with the definition of clinical failure. Vancomycin BMD MIC was forced into the model as specified \( a \) priori. The variables that remained significant in the final model were vancomycin treatment group (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 2.16, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.24 to 3.76), ICU admission (aOR = 2.46, 95% CI = 1.34 to 4.54), infective endocarditis as the primary source of MRSA BSI (aOR = 2.33, 95% CI = 1.16 to 4.68), and source control (aOR = 0.55, 95% CI = 0.30 to 1.02) (Table 3). As provided in Figure 2, the Kaplan–Meier estimate of 30-day mortality was not significant by the log rank test (\( P = 0.05 \)).

Adverse drug reactions were infrequent, being documented in 11.5% of patients in both treatment groups. Three patients (2.3%) in the daptomycin arm experienced elevations in the CPK concentration to \( \geq 2,000 \) IU/liter, and two (1.5%) of these patients were reported to have myopathy. These patients were switched to alternative agents; two were switched back to vancomycin, and one was switched to linezolid. After switching to an alternative agent, CPK levels decreased. Among the vancomycin-treated patients, 12 (9.2%) were reported to have developed nephrotoxicity. One of these patients was subsequently placed on renal replacement therapy. This patient presented with an estimated creatinine clearance...
of 37.9 ml/min and a vancomycin trough concentration of 14.3 mg/liter.

There was a high level (73.7%) of discordance between ATS MICs and BMD MICs for vancomycin (Figure 3). By the ATS methodology, 59.5% of all isolates demonstrated a vancomycin MIC of 2 mg/liter; by the BMD methodology, only 5.7% of the isolates had a vancomycin MIC of 2 mg/liter. The level of agreement between the methodologies was low (2.2%) at a vancomycin MIC of 0.5 mg/liter and increased with higher vancomycin MICs. There was a higher level of agreement between the ATS and BMD methodologies for daptomycin MICs. The majority of isolates had daptomycin MICs of ≤0.5 mg/liter by both the ATS and BMD methodologies (94.5%). There were no significant differences in molecular characteristics between isolates from the daptomycin-treated and vancomycin-treated patients, including SCCmec type IV (58.8% versus 64.9%), USA300 (43.5% versus 49.6%), the presence of PVL (42.0% versus 51.1%), and agr group I (53.4% versus 59.5%), respectively. Additionally, agr dysfunction was present in 26.0% of daptomycin-treated patients and 26.7% of vancomycin-treated patients.

**DISCUSSION**

The current IDSA MRSA treatment guidelines recommend consideration of agents other than vancomycin in the face of persistent or worsening signs and symptoms regardless of the vancomycin MIC (4). While numerous previous studies have

---

**TABLE 3 Variables associated with clinical failure in multivariable analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR</th>
<th>95% CI for unadjusted OR</th>
<th>aOR</th>
<th>95% CI for aOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICU admission</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>1.41–4.32</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>1.37–4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin group</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.20–3.34</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>1.26–3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source control</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.29–0.91</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.30–1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infective endocarditis</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.55–5.59</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>1.26–3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone/joint infection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin BMD MIC</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.43–1.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute kidney injury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.v. drug use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complicated bacteremia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Hosmer-Lemeshow, $P = 0.726.

**FIG 2** Kaplan-Meier analysis of probability of 30-day survival for vancomycin-treated versus daptomycin-treated patients.
demonstrated a correlation between increased vancomycin MIC and treatment failure, this is still an issue of clinical debate. For instance, although previous meta-analyses have reported worse outcomes in patients with MRSA BSIs caused by isolates with elevated vancomycin MICs, the most recent meta-analysis did not find such an association (5, 9). The current study demonstrated improved outcomes in daptomycin-treated patients, including patients infected with isolates with vancomycin MICs of 0.5 mg/liter and 1.0 mg/liter by BMD. Forty-five percent of vancomycin-treated patients met the predefined criteria of clinical failure by meeting at least one of the composite metrics. This result was aligned with the results of previous studies directly comparing vancomycin to daptomycin for the treatment of MRSA BSIs, which reported vancomycin treatment failure rates of 25% to 45% (10, 13–16, 30). Overall, the 30-day all-cause mortality rate was low (15.3% versus 6.1%; P = 0.01) but aligned with the results of previous studies. In particular, it is interesting to note that in a study by Moore et al., there was no significant difference in clinical failure rates; however, the 60-day all-cause mortality rate was lower (44.3% versus 21.0% [14]) in the study by Kullar et al. (15) and Murray et al. (14), clinical failure was largely driven by higher proportions of patients with bacteremia persistent for ≥7 days among the vancomycin-treated patients (44.3% versus 21.0% [P < 0.001] in the study by Kullar et al. [15] and 42.4% versus 18.8% [P = 0.001] in the study by Murray et al. [14]). In the current study, the rate of persistent bacteremia was lower than that previously reported in vancomycin-treated patients and was similar between the two groups (26.0% versus 22.1%; P = 0.47). Additionally, the median length of bacteremia was 3 to 4 days (IQR, 2.0 to 6.5 days), which is shorter than that previously reported. There are several possible explanations for the current finding. To address the shortcomings of our previous studies, we included patients with i.v. catheters as the primary site of infection, as such patients frequently experience shorter durations of bacteremia. Patients with these infections represented approximately 10% of the entire study population. The increased readiness to change to an alternative agent or add therapy for potential synergy in vancomycin-treated patients more likely contributed to the shorter duration of bacteremia. The requirement for a change in anti-MRSA therapy was significantly higher in vancomycin-treated patients than daptomycin-treated patients (28.2% versus 15.3%; P = 0.05), and this occurred at a median of 136.1 h (IQR, 113.7 to 194.5 h) after the initiation of vancomycin. This readiness to switch to an alternative agent is likely secondary to advocating for more aggressive management and evidence for the use of synergistic combination therapies in patients with MRSA BSIs described in recent publications (31–35).

Additionally, in the current study, vancomycin MICs were confirmed using CLSI BMD, which is the current “gold standard” (26). This is important, as previous studies have found discrepancies between the results obtained with ATSs and BMD (21). Of particular interest, 53 of the 156 (33.9%) isolates determined to have a vancomycin MIC of 2.0 mg/liter by ATSs were determined to have an MIC of 0.5 mg/liter by BMD. Although vancomycin MICs were not determined by Etest, previous studies have demonstrated discordance between the results obtained by ATSs and Etest and a 1-fold higher dilution by Etest than by BMD (18, 20). There is considerably less information on the concordance of daptomycin MICs obtained by ATSs and BMD; however, the level of agreement seems to be higher than that seen with vancomycin (36). In the current study, daptomycin MICs demonstrated greater than 90% agreement by the two methods when the MIC was ≤0.5 mg/liter. These findings highlight the lack of precision of ATSs for determination of vancomycin MICs and the need to further evaluate how best to navigate this difficult clinical situation, as BMD is perceived to be too time intensive to be used on all patient isolates.

There are several important strengths and limitations to the current study. Although this was a multicenter study, the participating institutions were all in southeastern Michigan, which may limit external validity. Additionally, due to the retrospective nature of data collection, certain metrics may have been missed, such as readmissions to other sites. As in the study by Murray et al., only
patients that were switched to daptomycin within 72 h were included in the analysis (14). This measure, along with the use of propensity analysis, was used to limit selection and prescribing bias. Among the vancomycin-treated patients, initial steady-state trough levels achieved the goal of ≥15 mg/liter in only 55% of patients. This represents a potential limitation in terms of pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamics (PK/PD) optimization of vancomycin compared to daptomycin but also represents current real-world practices. In the study by Weston et al., vancomycin trough concentrations achieved the goal of 15 mg/liter in 66% of vancomycin-treated patients (13). In the study by Weston et al. (16), the median vancomycin level was 15.3 mg/liter (range, 8.2 to 25.6 mg/liter), and Murray et al. (14) reported median trough levels of 17.6 mg/liter (IQR, 14.9 to 21.2 mg/liter), similar to the levels reported in the current study. Additionally, several studies have demonstrated that the current practice of measuring trough concentrations does not adequately correlate to the true PK/PD index of an area under the concentration–time curve (AUC)/MIC of ≥400. Of note, up to 60% of patients with a trough concentration of <15 mg/liter still achieved this PK/PD index. The inability to measure AUC in this cohort represents a limitation of the current study (37–39).

In conclusion, an early switch to daptomycin in patients with MRSA BSIs was associated with improved outcomes compared to those achieved with vancomycin regardless of the vancomycin MIC determined by BMD. Both the 30-day all-cause mortality and the MRSA infection-related mortality rates were lower in the daptomycin-treated patients. There were significant discrepancies between BMD and ATss in terms of the vancomycin MIC, with numerous isolates reported to have a 2-log difference in MICs. The limitation of ATss should be considered while treating MRSA BSIs.
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