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Few oral antibiotics exist for the empirical treatment of extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL) urinary tract infections (UTI). In this study, we sought to determine the activity of fosfomycin against ESBL-producing uropathogens from patients at 3 Veterans Affairs (VA) facilities between 2010 and 2013. Among the ESBL uropathogens, 19.9% were fosfomycin resistant. Fosfomycin remains active against a majority of the ESBL uropathogens, although resistance among Klebsiella spp. was higher than that in previous reports.

The isolation of extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL)-producing uropathogens is increasing among both hospitalized patients and patients in the community (1, 2). Large national surveys of isolates demonstrate that many isolates of Escherichia coli, which cause the majority of urinary tract infections (UTI), are now resistant to most oral antibiotics, including fluoroquinolones, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, and β-lactam agents (1, 3). Treatment options are limited in these situations, thus making empirical antibiotic choices more challenging for physicians.

Previous surveys have shown that fosfomycin, an oral phosphonic acid derivative that disrupts cell wall synthesis, is active against 85 to 100% of multidrug-resistant (MDR) uropathogens (4–7). The majority of these studies have focused on E. coli, and there is limited information about the likelihood of fosfomycin activity against the full spectrum of multidrug-resistant uropathogens (8). Knowledge of the rates of resistance can help optimize the use of fosfomycin and improve the accuracy of empirical therapy, as susceptibility results for this agent are not routinely available (9–11). The aim of the present study was to determine the prevalence of fosfomycin resistance among ESBL uropathogens collected from 2010 through 2013 and to describe patterns of co-resistance with routinely tested antimicrobials.

(This study was presented in part at the Infectious Disease Society of America Clinical Meeting, 2 to 6 October 2013, San Francisco, CA.)

The Veterans Affairs (VA) Boston (MA) clinical laboratory processes bacterial cultures for 3 hospital campuses in the Boston area, including acute-care and long-term-care facilities, and also for 6 regional community clinics. All MDR Gram-negative uropathogens were collected from January 2010 to June 2013 and stored as part of standard laboratory policy. The presence of an ESBL was determined by screening and confirmation testing, as per standard CLSI guidelines (12). Additional standard susceptibility testing results for each isolate were available from the clinical microbiology database. The isolates were retrieved from the freezer and tested for resistance to fosfomycin. Fosfomycin use was limited during this time period, and none of the patients in the study cohort had received fosfomycin at the time of urine specimen collection.

Fosfomycin resistance was determined by use of disk diffusion and standard published breakpoints (fosfomycin resistant for zone size <16 mm for E. coli) (13). Duplicate isolates corresponding to the same pathogen from the same patient isolated within 2 weeks of each other were excluded. Resistance rates to each of the various antimicrobials were calculated, and P values were calculated using chi-square or Fisher’s exact test.

A total of 204 MDR urine isolates were tested. Of these, 120 (58.8%) isolates were E. coli, 71 (34.8%) isolates were Klebsiella species, 5 (2.5%) isolates were Pseudomonas sp., and 8 (3.9%) isolates were other and included Acinetobacter, Serratia, Morganella, Citrobacter, Proteus, and Enterobacter species. Overall resistance to fosfomycin was 21.6% (44/204). E. coli isolates had a significantly lower rate of resistance to fosfomycin (4.2% [5/120]) than that of Klebsiella species (46.4% [33/71]) (P < 0.01). The percentages of isolates that were fosfomycin resistant increased between the years of 2010 and 2013 from 17.0% (7/41) to 25.5% (13/51), but this increase was not statistically significant (P = 0.44).

The rates of fosfomycin resistance were similar when analyses were limited to the first uropathogen detected for each unique patient, for a total of 20.9% (34/163) compared to 21.6% of the total cohort. When stratified by species, fosfomycin resistance among unique patients was 3.5% for cultures with E. coli and 49.0% for cultures with Klebsiella spp., compared to 4.1% and 46.4%, respectively, for the whole cohort.

Among the 204 MDR uropathogens, 170 (83.3%) uropathogens were resistant to fluoroquinolones, and 130 (63.7%) uropathogens were resistant to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. Ni-
trofurantoin was the most active oral agent on the routine susceptibility panel, with 36.0% resistance (53/147 isolates). Of the total 147 ESBL uropathogens with full susceptibility panels, 31 (21.1%) uropathogens were resistant to all oral agents, including fluoroquinolones, nitrofurantoin, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. Among these, 10 isolates (32%) were also resistant to fosfomycin; all of these uropathogens were *Klebsiella* species.

The likelihood of fosfomycin resistance among *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* uropathogens was not significantly associated with resistance to other oral agents (Fig. 1). Among fluoroquinolone- or trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole-resistant *E. coli*, coresistance to fosfomycin was relatively low, at 5% for each drug. Conversely, among fluoroquinolone- or trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole-resistant *Klebsiella* spp., approximately half were also resistant to fosfomycin. Fosfomycin resistance relative to nitrofurantoin activity was varied.

Rates of ESBL uropathogens are rising, both within health care settings and in the community. Since treatment of a UTI is usually initiated empirically, continued evaluations of the most likely active agents are critical for clinical and microbiological success. In the absence of routine testing of uropathogens to alternative agents, such as fosfomycin, knowledge of the expected activity to this agent is limited. Our study provides estimates of the activity of fosfomycin in patients with ESBL-resistant uropathogens and promotes optimal empirical therapy of these infections.

In this study of predominantly male veterans, we found an overall resistance rate of 19.9% to fosfomycin among our ESBL uropathogen collection. Previous studies have found rates of susceptibility of as high as 99.4% among *E. coli* (14), similar to the 96% rate of susceptibility observed in our study. However, the resistance rate of 46% among *Klebsiella* spp. was almost double that reported in a U.S.-based study of 95 carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE), in which 26% were resistant to fosfomycin (5). Surveys of resistance among isolates from other countries have reported rates ranging from 0 to 5% for *E. coli* and 7 to 25% for *Klebsiella* species (9, 14–18). Thus, our data suggest higher rates of resistance among *Klebsiella* spp. than those previously reported.

Evaluating patterns of coresistance with other routinely tested oral agents was somewhat helpful in predicting fosfomycin resistance but not statistically significant for any specific pattern. The main finding of interest was that all but 10 uropathogens were susceptible to an oral antimicrobial when fosfomycin was included. Among *E. coli* isolates that are susceptible to fluoroquinolones, fosfomycin susceptibility was 100%. This could be used by clinicians to avoid fluoroquinolone use for a UTI and prescribe a genitourinary-specific agent instead, in keeping with a fluoroquinolone-sparing approach advocated by guidelines for UTI treatment (3).

Our study is limited in generalizability, as the majority of isolates were from white male veterans. In addition, fosfomycin testing by disk diffusion for *Klebsiella* spp. is not standard clinical microbiology practice, and fosfomycin is currently not FDA approved for the treatment of *Klebsiella* species infections. However, previous studies have evaluated fosfomycin for *Klebsiella* using similar diffusion cutoff values. Our findings suggest that fosfomycin is active against the majority of multidrug-resistant uropathogens at our institution.
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