Rapid emergence of resistance to linezolid during linezolid therapy of an
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Abstract

We report the emergence of linezolid resistance (MICs 16 – 32 mg/liter) in clonally-related vancomycin-susceptible and -resistant Enterococcus faecium isolates from an ICU patient, after 12 days of linezolid therapy. Only linezolid-susceptible isolates of the same clone were detected 28 days after termination of linezolid therapy.
Enterococci are part of the normal intestinal flora but they can also cause serious infections of animals and humans. Approximately 90% of enterococcal infections are caused by Enterococcus faecalis, and 5% to 10% by Enterococcus faecium (16). The percentage of enterococcal infections caused by E. faecium has increased in recent years, probably due to the broad spectrum of intrinsic and acquired antibiotic resistance and carriage of virulence genes by strains of this species (15). Glycopeptide resistance is mediated by the vanA or vanB gene clusters, which are located on transposons Tn1546 and Tn1547, respectively (4). Serious infections caused by vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE), whose incidence has been rising in recent years, are proving increasingly difficult to treat. Linezolid, the first of a new class of systemic antibacterial agents, the oxazolidinones, is among the first-line therapeutics against all VRE infections except endocarditis (18). Linezolid was licensed for clinical use in Europe in 2001. Enterococcal resistance to linezolid was first described in 1999 in the USA and later sporadically detected in enterococci worldwide (10). In Germany, a surveillance study aimed at the detection of linezolid resistance among VRE in 2001 and 2002 found no linezolid-resistant enterococci (2). The first linezolid-resistant VRE in Germany was reported in 2004 (6). However, treatment failure due to emergence of linezolid resistance or reduced susceptibility of enterococci is rare (1, 13).

In the present paper, we report the isolation of linezolid-resistant E. faecium isolates from various specimens taken from a patient after 12 days of linezolid therapy.

The patient (a 76-year-old female) was transferred to our surgical intensive care unit (ICU) for acute gastrointestinal bleeding two weeks after undergoing a pancreaticoduodenectomy (Whipple’s procedure). On transferral the patient was mechanically ventilated and required circulatory support for septic shock. Antibiotic therapy was started with piperacillin/tazobactam (4.5 g t.i.d., i.v.) and ciprofloxacin (400 mg b.i.d, i.v.), (both continued for 12 days) plus fluconazole (400 mg i.d., i.v.; continued for 18 days). During the subsequent course necrotizing pancreatitis persisted and several surgical interventions were
performed. One month after initial surgery the remaining pancreatic tissue was resected and splenectomy performed. One week prior to this intervention, the first vancomycin-resistant but linezolid-sensitive *E. faecium* (VRLSE) was isolated, as well as a multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Both were repeatedly detected in intraabdominal cultures, easyflow catheters and urine, whereas blood cultures remained negative. Consequently, antibiotic treatment was changed to a combination of meropenem (1.0 g t.i.d., i.v.) and linezolid (600 mg b.i.d., i.v.; continued for 12 days). Thereafter, microbiological swabs of the abdominal drainages revealed the presence of *E. faecium* isolates, with resistance either to both vancomycin and linezolid (VRLRE) or to linezolid alone (VSLRE). However, in the absence of signs of inflammatory reaction or fever, antibiotic therapy was terminated despite the continuing presence of *E. faecium* and also of multidrug-resistant *P. aeruginosa*. MICs of antimicrobial agents, including vancomycin and linezolid, were determined with the Vitek II system. Susceptibility to linezolid and vancomycin was also determined by E-test according to the manufacturer’s recommendations, and by broth microdilution (3). Follow up microbiological swabs of the abdominal drainages up to four weeks after termination of linezolid therapy revealed the presence of VRLRE. Thereafter, *E. faecium* isolates with linezolid resistance were no longer detectable, whereas VRLSE persisted throughout the ICU treatment period (Table 1). Three months after admission, the patient was transferred to a rehabilitation center in a stable condition.

Six representative enterococcal isolates, collected at different times and showing different resistance phenotypes (linezolid MICs, 2 – 32 mg/liter; vancomycin MICs, 1 – 32 mg/liter) (Table 1), were subjected to additional investigations. The six isolates were evaluated for their genetic relatedness using *SmaI* macrorestriction analysis (MRA). Assessment of the MRA patterns according to international criteria for genotyping by MRA (11, 19) indicated both close relatedness and identity (Fig. 1, lanes 1 – 6). Additionally, we could show by multilocus sequence typing (7) that these isolates belong to the clonal complex 17 (CC-17) of *E. faecium*.
They represent the sequence type ST-18, which is a double locus variant of ST-17, the founder of CC-17. *E. faecium* belonging to CC-17 are epidemic-virulent, hospital-adapted strains which have spread in hospitals worldwide (21), including German clinics (7).

The presence of vanA and vanB genes in all isolates was determined by PCR as described elsewhere (7). The vanA gene was detected in all VRLSE and VRLRE isolates but not in the VSLRE (Table 1). The VSLRE isolates were genotypically identical or closely related to the vancomycin-resistant isolates (Fig. 1), raising the possibility that VSLRE were segregants from VRLRE which had lost the vanA gene cluster. It is also conceivable, however, that glycopeptide-resistant and glycopeptide-sensitive *E. faecium* isolates with identical MRA patterns were present at the same time and that both developed linezolid resistance during linezolid therapy.

Linezolid resistance in enterococci is usually associated to a point mutation in the central region of domain V of the 23S rDNA leading to a nucleotide substitution from guanine (G) to uracil (U) at position 2576 in the 23S rRNA, the target of oxazolidinone antibiotics (8, 12). Identification of the resistance genotype is complicated by the presence of six 23S rRNA alleles in *E. faecium*. Although one mutated 23S rRNA allele seems to be sufficient to confer resistance, the number of mutated alleles correlates with an increase in the MICs to linezolid (8). In the examined *E. faecium* isolates, mutations in the 23S rDNA of three out of six 23S rRNA alleles led to linezolid resistance as determined by real-time PCR using two Taqman probes as described elsewhere (20).

The rapid emergence of resistance to linezolid in our *E. faecium* isolates contradicts previous reports indicating that such resistance arises only after prolonged therapy with this antibiotic (5). Interestingly, no linezolid-resistant *E. faecium* could be detected in follow-up swabs four weeks after termination of linezolid therapy, although vancomycin resistance was still present (Fig 1, lane 6). This observation is consistent with a recent report describing the reversion to
susceptibility of a linezolid-resistant *S. aureus* strain in a patient following termination of linezolid therapy (9).

In conclusion, because resistance to linezolid during therapy might occur rapidly, close monitoring of the strains’ susceptibility is advisable.
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Figure 1. SmaI-macrorestriction patterns of *Enterococcus faecium* isolates

M, Molecular mass standard (*Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 8325). The sequence of the MRA patterns of *E. faecium* strains in this figure corresponds to the sequence of the isolates listed in Table 1.
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Table 1. Characteristics of *Enterococcus faecium* isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>AMP</th>
<th>ERY</th>
<th>TET</th>
<th>Q/D</th>
<th>TEC</th>
<th>VAN</th>
<th>LZD</th>
<th>23S rDNA</th>
<th>vanA</th>
<th>vanB</th>
<th>esp</th>
<th>hyl</th>
<th>MRA type*</th>
<th>MLST type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Va18647</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1 ST-18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Va20971</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1 ST-18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Va20972</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1 ST-18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Va21282</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1 n.t.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Va21523</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1 n.t.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Va24517</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1 n.t.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time in days after start of linezolid therapy when the corresponding strain was isolated (linezolid therapy was terminated after 12 days).

MIC, minimal inhibitory concentration; AMP, ampicillin; ERY, erythromycin; TET, tetracycline; Q/D, quinupristin/dalfopristin; TEC, teicoplanin; VAN, vancomycin; LZD, linezolid.

* The difference in only one band in MRA patterns between the first two isolates and the following four isolates indicates the presence of the same clone in lanes 1 to 6 (see also MLST data).

The sequence of the isolates characterized in this table corresponds to the sequence of the six lane numbers shown in Figure 1 (MRA patterns).