A novel benzodioxole-containing inhibitor of *Toxoplasma gondii* growth alters the parasite cell cycle
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ABSTRACT:

Toxoplasma gondii is an obligate intracellular parasite that can cause disease in the developing fetus and in immunocompromised humans. Infections can last for the life of the individual, and to date there are no drugs that eliminate chronic cyst stages characteristic of this parasite. In an effort to identify new chemical scaffolds that could form the basis for new therapeutics, we carried out a chemoinformatic screen for compounds that had the potential to interact with members of a superfamily of parasite-secreted kinases, and assayed them for growth inhibition in vitro. Out of 17 candidate compounds we identified one with potent anti-parasitic activity. The compound has an IC50 of ~2 nM, and structure-function analyses implicate the benzodioxole moiety in its action. The compound does not appear to be cytotoxic to host cells. Using microarray analyses of both parasites and host cells treated with the compound, we found that the levels of very few host cell transcripts are altered by the compound while a large number of parasite transcripts are of different abundance after compound treatment. Gene ontology analyses of parasite transcripts with different abundance revealed an enrichment of cell cycle-related genes, suggesting that the compound alters progression of the parasite through the cell cycle. Assaying nuclear content of treated parasites demonstrated that compound treatment significantly increased the percentage of parasites in the S/M phase of the cell cycle compared to controls. This compound and its analogs represent a novel scaffold with anti-parasitic activity.
INTRODUCTION:

*Toxoplasma gondii* is an obligate intracellular protozoan parasite that infects over a billion humans worldwide. It causes severe disease in individuals with compromised immune systems, such as HIV/AIDS patients and immunosuppressed organ transplant patients (19). In addition, primary *Toxoplasma* infections during pregnancy can result in severe disease in the developing fetus (2, 20). While in most cases healthy individuals do not get severely ill from *Toxoplasma* infection, in recent years strains have been identified that have caused severe disease in healthy, immunocompetent adults (17, 18). The accepted treatment regimen for toxoplasmosis is pyrimethamine and sulfadiazine (33). Pyrimethamine treatment requires supplementation with folinic acid to prevent bone marrow suppression, and sulfadiazine can cause severe kidney disease in children (15) and HIV/AIDS patients (7), and can cause rashes after long-term treatment (49). These data highlight the need for the development of new anti-*Toxoplasma* therapies that are more effective and have fewer adverse side effects. Moreover, there is still no cure for *Toxoplasma* infection, in that tissue cysts of this parasite can persist for the life of the individual even after treatment, and these cysts can reactivate to cause severe disease (9).

*Toxoplasma* protein kinases have been shown by us and others (44, 47) to be key virulence genes in *Toxoplasma* infections. Secreted protein kinases, such as ROP18, are highly polymorphic proteins that are major determinants of strain-specific disease outcome in the mouse model. For example, expression of virulent alleles of ROP18 in an avirulent genetic background can increase lethality in the mouse by over 4 logs (44, 47). ROP18 belongs to a superfamily of secreted kinases from *Toxoplasma* (the ROP2 superfamily; (24)), with an estimated size of over 87

secreted kinases from *Toxoplasma* (the ROP2 superfamily; (24)), with an estimated size of over
50 genes (10). This superfamily includes both catalytically active kinases (such as ROP18) as well as a large number of kinases that are predicted to be catalytically inactive (so-called “pseudokinases”; (10, 34)). In an effort to begin to identify molecules that might affect the activity of this superfamily of proteins, we took a focused chemoinformatics approach to identify small molecule inhibitors of rhoptry kinases. Crystal structures are available for two members of the ROP2 superfamily (ROP2 and ROP8; PDB IDs: 3byv and 3dzo, respectively; (41)) and we used these to construct a homology model of the type II allele ROP18 (ROP18II). We then carried out in silico similarity searches on 45,384 commercially available compounds to identify those that with structural similarity to ATP, and then used virtual docking to select those compounds with the most favorable binding energy within the predicted ATP binding pocket of our ROP18II homology model. Of these, we selected 17 compounds and screened them for the ability to alter parasite growth in vitro. From this small number of compounds we identified one with potent inhibitory effects against Toxoplasma in vitro, and assessed the effects of the compound on parasites as well as host cells using microscopy and microarray analyses. While the active compound does not appear to be targeting ROP18II directly, it is highly inhibitory to the growth of Toxoplasma in vitro, appears to be irreversible after 24 h of treatment, and has no observed cytotoxic effects on host cells at its most effective anti-parasitic concentration. Moreover the compound may act via disruption of the cell cycle based on microarray and DNA content analyses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Structure prediction and evaluation
The modeling of the three-dimensional (3D) structure of type II ROP18 was performed by the automated homology modeling program, Swiss-Model (11, 32, 40). The ROP18 protein sequence from strain ME49 (www.toxodb.org; TGME49_005250) was used to search for appropriate templates using the Swiss-Model server. As expected, the best structural templates were the kinase-like domains of two other rhoptry kinases ROP2 (PDB ID: 3dzo(41)) and ROP8 (PDB ID: 3byv; (41)) whose catalytic domains are predicted to be inactive (34). Given that there was low sequence identity with the best templates, 29% with 3byv and 28% with 3dzo, the alignment process was done manually using the program DeepView (45). In brief, the ROP18 II sequence and templates were loaded into DeepView. 3dzo was then superimposed iteratively onto 3byv and the ROP18 II sequence was aligned onto the superimposed structure. Both templates were then chosen as modeling scaffolds and were used by the Swiss-Model server to generate the ROP18 II homology model. Validation of the homology model was performed using PROCHECK (35) and energy minimization was performed by Verify3D (13). The overall stereochemical quality of the protein was assessed by Ramachandran plot analysis (42) and the structures were visualized using Swiss PdbViewer-4.0. To further validate the ROP18 II model it was visually compared to a published homology model for the type I allele of ROP18 (ROP18 I; (41)) that was based on the ROP8 structure (3byv; (41)), T. gondii calcium-dependent protein kinase 1 bound to a “bumped” kinase inhibitor NA-PP2 (TgCDPK1; PDBID: 3I7C; (39)), as well as mouse protein kinase A bound to ATP (PDBID: 1ATP; (55)).

**ATP similarity searches**

Two small-molecule libraries of compounds were screened *in silico* in this study. One is the Kinase Targeted Library from Life Chemicals (Orange, CT) composed of 26,573 compounds; the second one is the drug-like subset of the ZINC 8.0 database (approximately 3.5 million
compounds; (29)). The Kinase Targeted Library from Life Chemicals is a collection of synthetically tractable and commercially available small molecules that were generated through ligand and receptor based approaches and further filtered using Lipinski’s rule-of-five (37). Both compound libraries were obtained in SDF format (2D) and converted to MOL2 format (3D) using the program OPEN BABELv2.2.0 (27). Morphological similarity to ATP was determined using Sybyl8.0 (Tripos; http://www.tripos.com; (30)), and all molecules with similarity scores greater than 0.7 were chosen for further analysis.

ADME/Toxicity Predictions

Computational modeling tools were used to estimate the bioavailability, aqueous solubility, blood brain barrier potential, human intestinal absorption, the cytochrome P450 (i.e. CYP2D6) enzyme inhibition potential, mutagenicity, and hERG inhibition of the hits obtained from the database screening using the ACD/ADME Boxes software (ACD Labs, Toronto, Canada; http://www.acdlabs.com (31)). Mutagenicity, hERG and CYP2D6 inhibition were estimated with ACD/TOX screening (ACD Labs, Toronto, Canada; http://www.acdlabs.com). Compounds with the best ADME/Tox profiles were chosen for further analysis.

Virtual docking

Docking studies were performed to see if the hits identified through similarity searches were able to interact with the type II ROP18 homology model using Molegro Virtual Docker (48). This program has the ability to carry out flexible docking studies based on a hybrid search algorithm called guided differential evolution (48). Initially all the molecules imported into MVD’s workspace were automatically prepared using the MVD’s procedure (48). The
preparation procedure fixes and or assigns any missing bonds, bond order, charges, and protonation states in order to make proper predictions during docking. Prior to docking, an ATP binding domain pocket with a volume of 338 Å$^3$ was identified in our ROP18 homology model using MVD’s built-in cavity detection algorithm (48). For the docking simulation we employed the MolDock scoring function in combination with the MolDock SE search algorithm and Tabu clustering (11). A search space volume of radius 15Å encompassing the ATP binding domain was chosen for docking, and both the ligands and catalytic pocket residues were allowed to be flexible during the simulation. Each ligand was docked iteratively into the chosen cavity in ten independent runs, each of which consisted of 1500 steps. Poses generated from each run were subjected to Tabu clustering whereby the lowest energy pose below an energy score (Moldock E.Score; (46) ) of 100 was generated as output. Thus, there were 10 poses per ligand ranked by energy. The lowest energy pose of the 10 poses per ligand was selected for visual inspection by comparing the orientation of the molecule to a reference ATP pose docked in a similar fashion. The reference ATP pose (shown in Fig. 1) had the lowest free energy and similar orientation to bound ATP in mouse PKA (Protein Kinase A, PDBID: 1ATP (55)). Ligands that had similar docking orientations as the reference ATP pose were chosen for in vitro growth screens.

**Passage of Human Fibroblast Cell Line**

Human foreskin fibroblasts (HFFs) were passed and maintained at 37°C and 5% CO$_2$ in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium with 10% fetal calf serum, 2 mM glutamine, and 50 ug/mL each of penicillin and streptomycin (cDMEM).

**Parasite strains**
In most of the *in vitro* assays we used strain 5A10, which was constructed as follows: strain CEPΔHXGPRT (a type III strain) was transfected with a construct containing both GFP and click beetle luciferase (under control of the GRA2 and DHFR promoters, respectively), sorted using flow cytometry, and GFP positive clones were isolated using limiting dilution. To complement the HXGPRT defect of this strain, it was then transfected with the vector pGRA-HA-HXGPRT (44), selected for the presence of the plasmid using selection with mycophenolic acid and xanthine (MPA/X) as described previously (22), and cloned by limiting dilution. Strain PB3-10 was derived from strain ME49B7 by transfecting with the same click beetle luciferase/GFP plasmid as 5A10, sorted using flow cytometry, and cloned by limiting dilution.

To test the effects of ROP18II expression on compound sensitivity, strain CEPΔHXGPRT was transfected with an HA-tagged version of the type II allele of ROP18 (including 538 bp of upstream promoter sequence (44)) and cloned by limiting dilution after MPA/X selection. When this strain was used to infect mice it showed the same increase in virulence associated with expression of type II ROP18 in a type III strain as described previously (i.e., injection with 1,000 parasites was uniformly lethal; (44)).

**Parasite preparation for cell-based assays**

For each cell-based assay, infected host cell monolayers were scraped and parasites isolated from host cells by passage through 25 and 27 gauge needles, quantified using a hemacytometer, and wells of 24- or 96-well plates were seeded with either 10,000 or 20,000 parasites in cDMEM. After 4-24 hr incubation at 37°C and 5% CO2 to allow for invasion, media was removed and test compounds were added. All test compounds were diluted in cDMEM to a
Luciferase assays

Samples were harvested for luciferase assays by aspirating media and adding either 300µL (for 24 well plate assays) or 50 µL (for 96-well plate assays) of 1X Cell Culture Lysis Reagent (Promega, Madison, WI) to each well. Twenty µL of each sample was transferred to a white-bottom 96-well plate (Nunc, Denmark) and mixed with 50 µL of luciferase assay reagent (LAR; Promega, Madison, WI). Parasite-derived luciferase activity was counted using a Centro XS3 LB960 luminometer (Berthold Technologies, Oak Ridge, TN).

Extracellular and intracellular parasite treatments

To test the effects of compound treatment on extracellular parasites and HFF monolayers, freshly lysed parasites and confluent monolayers were treated separately for 16 h with either vehicle or 2µM F1792-0016, and then washed 3X with 200 µL cDMEM. Then, treated parasites were used to infect untreated monolayers while freshly lysed parasites were used to infect treated monolayers for 3 days prior to harvest and luminescence assays. To test the effects of compound exposure on intracellular parasites, HFFs in 96 well plates were infected for 4 h and then treated for 24 h with either 2 µM F1792-0016 or vehicle. After washing with cDMEM, wells were then treated with vehicle or compound. In this assay we tested 3 conditions: 1) 24 hours vehicle followed by 48h vehicle (control); 2) 24h compound treatment followed by 48 h vehicle; 3) 24 hours compound followed by 48h compound. Plates were harvested and analyzed for luminescence as described above.
Cell viability and compound toxicity:

Host cell viability in the presence of compounds was determined using both microscopic observation and the Cell titer 96® Aqueous One Solution Assay (Promega, Madison, WI) which measures the number of living cells based on mitochondrial dehydrogenase conversion of MTS to formazan, which absorbs at 490 nm. HFF’s were seeded into 96-well plates (BD Falcon, NJ) and incubated for 72 hrs. Media was removed and the cells were treated with each compound at a final concentration 10 µM in phenol-red free cDMEM for 72 hr. Staurosporine (10 µM) and vehicle were included as a positive and negative control respectively. Three independent experiments were done for each compound in replicates of ten per experiment. Absorbance at 490 nm was recorded using an iMark™ Microplate Absorbance Reader (Bio Rad, Hercules, CA).

Fluorescence and Electron Microscopy

Confluent HFF monolayers on coverslips were infected with 20,000 parasites/well for 4 hours, washed, and then treated with 2 µM and 0.01 µM F1792-0016 or vehicle. Cells were fixed with 4% formaldehyde and processed for fluorescence microscopy on days 1, 2, 3, and 4 post-treatment. Slides were examined using a Zeiss Axiohot upright microscope equipped with a 100X Oil immersion objective. The F1656-0035 phenotyping was performed using confluent T25s infected with 100,000 parasites per flask and treated with 10 µM F1656-0035. The T25s were visualized using Zeiss Axiovert microscope equipped with a 40X objective on each day.
For electron microscopy, confluent HFF monolayers in 6 well plates were infected with parasites at an MOI of 1 for 4 hours, washed, and then treated with 2µM compound or vehicle. After 56 hours exposure, the monolayers were washed briefly in PBS (pH 7.4) and fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in PBS for 12 hours at room temperature. The monolayers were then washed 3 times in PBS and post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide in 1% potassium ferricyanide for 1hr at 4ºC. Monolayers were washed 3 times in PBS and then dehydrated in a graded ethanol series (30, 50, 70, and 90%). The final dehydration step was done 3 times in 100% ethanol with 15 minute incubations between changes. Upon dehydration, the monolayers were then incubated for three hours in epon which was changed every hour. After the final epon incubation, beem capsules were inserted into the monolayer and allowed to polymerize overnight at 37º C and then for 48 hours at 60º C. Sections were visualized using JEM-1011 Transmission electron microscope (JEOL Ltd, Tokyo, Japan).

Microarray analyses

Parasites growing in confluent HFF monolayers were syringe released and quantified as described above. Resuspended parasites were then centrifuged at 800 x g and washed 2X with 10 mL cDMEM. Washed parasites were resuspended in enough fresh media to infect HFF’s in T25’s at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1.5. The parasites were allowed to grow overnight, and divided into 3 different treatment groups: Group 1 had media replaced with 2 µM F1792-0016, group 2 with 10 µM F1792-0007, and group 3 with vehicle. In parallel, uninfected HFF’s were divided into 3 sets of three and treated similarly. Both infected and uninfected flasks were incubated for 24 and 48 h, and RNA extraction and labeling was performed per the manufacturer recommendations using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and Illumina® TotalPrep.
RNA Amplification Kit (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA). For F1792-0016, F1792-0007 and vehicle we performed 3 biological replicates for the 48 h time point for both infected and uninfected cells. For F1792-0016 only, we also performed one biological replicate for the 24 h timepoint for infected cells only. cRNA samples containing parasite and host cell RNA were hybridized onto Toxoplasma 169 chips (Available through the University of Pennsylvania Genomics Core Facility (3)) while those containing only host cells were hybridized to HumanWG-6 v3 expression Beadchips (Illumina, San Diego, CA).

For the human WG-6 illumina bead chips, data were normalized using the cubic spline method in BeadStudio v3 (23), while the data from the Toxoplasma affymetrix chip was normalized using the Affy package implemented in R using the quantile normalization method and log\(_2\) transformed using median polishing (26). To identify genes with significantly different abundance between treatment groups for both sets of microarrays, we used the Linear Models for Microarray Analysis package as implemented in R (R/Limma; (46)). After linear model fitting, raw P-values were calculated for each gene for contrasts of vehicle versus F1792-0016 treatment and vehicle versus F1792-0007 treatment for the 48 h timepoint. To control for the testing of multiple hypotheses, the False Discovery Rate method was used to adjust P-values. Genes were deemed to be of significant differential abundance if the adjusted P-value was less than 0.05 and the absolute value of the fold-difference was \(\geq 2\).

Gene ontology analyses of Toxoplasma transcripts were carried out using GeneMerge (14), and utilized the most recently released version of the annotated Toxoplasma gondii genome, for which there is at least one GO annotation for 3,202 genes (www.toxodb.org). This software uses the hypergeometric distribution to determine if particular GO categories are enriched in groups of differentially expressed genes when compared to all of the genes on the microarray.
(14). In addition, it uses a highly stringent Bonferroni \( P \)-value correction (based on the total number of GO categories represented on the microarray) to adjust \( P \)-values and control the experiment-wise error rate (14). In separate analyses, the GO annotations for all genes on the array that were either of significantly higher or lower abundance after 48 h treatment with F1792-0016 (identified as described in the preceding paragraph) were loaded as the “study” group, and all of the GO-annotations were loaded as the “population group”. GO categories were deemed significantly enriched in the higher or lower abundance gene sets if they had adjusted \( P \)-values < 0.05.

**Statistical Analyses of Compound treatment data**

Raw luminescence data for all runs were log\(_2\) transformed, and significant differences between vehicle and compound treatment were identified by one-way ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni-Holm post-hoc test. Bonferroni corrections were always based on the number of predetermined comparisons (in most cases, vehicle versus all compound treatments). Treatments were considered significant at \( P < 0.05 \).

**Flow cytometric analyses of cell cycle**

Parasites were used to infect monolayers for 24 h and then treated as above with either vehicle or 2 \( \mu \)M F1792-0016 for 24 and 48 h, and then harvested for cell cycle analyses as described previously (36). Briefly, intracellular parasites were isolated by passage of host cells through a 30-gauge needle followed by filtration through a 3.0 \( \mu \)m pore-size membrane (Whatman). Parasites were then fixed in 70% ethanol and their DNA stained using 1 \( \mu \)m Sytox Green (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) plus 50 units RNase A, 200 units RNase T1 (Ambion, Foster City, CA) per ml, in 50mM Tris, pH 7.5. Samples were analyzed on a FACSArria flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ). Results were analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star),
and the percentage of parasites in each phase of the cell cycle (G1 or S/M) was determined by gating. All assays were performed in triplicate and percentages of parasites in the S/M phase of the cell cycle were compared for statistical significance between control and test groups by use of a two-tailed t test (P < 0.05) using JMP software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). At least 10,000 events were collected per sample.

RESULTS:

Sequence alignment and model building

Since the percentage identity of ROP18II to the two best available templates (ROP2 and ROP8) falls below 30%, we manually aligned the target sequence with the templates as described in the Materials and Methods section. Ramachandran plot analysis showed that 90% of the residues were in the “most favored” region, 9.4% in the “allowed region” and 0.7% were in the “disallowed” region, and the RMSD between the templates and the model was 0.66 Å and 0.37 Å with ROP2 and ROP8 respectively. The overall topology of the modeled Type II ROP18 consists of 13 beta strands and 15 alpha helices, encompassing residues 224-536 (Figs 1 and 2). To validate our model we compared our modeled ATP binding site to a recently published homology model of another ROP18 allele (that from the type I strain; RH) that was also based on the ROP2 and ROP8 structures (3dzo and 3byv, respectively; (41)), TgCDPK1 (PDBID: 3I7C; (39)), as well as mouse protein kinase A bound to ATP (PDBID: 1ATP; (55)). The type I and type II alleles of ROP18 share 96% identity, and there is a significant amount of concordance between our ROP18II model and that of ROP18I (41). In the published homology model for ROP18I, lysine 266 and glutamine 285 were predicted to coordinate interactions with the alpha and beta phosphates on the ATP molecule (41), and these are found within the same locations in
our model (K281 and E300 in the ROP18II sequence; Fig. 2B). Moreover these residues are in close proximity to the alpha and beta phosphates of ATP derived from the structure overlay with PKA. Other general features are very similar in the two homology models, including the position of the activation loop and the novel N-terminal extension characteristic of the ROP2 superfamily of secreted pseudokinases and kinases (24, 34). Compared to PKA, the ROP18II Lys281 and Glu300 are in the same location as Lys 72 and Glu 91 of PKA, although Glu 300 is slightly further away from the alpha phosphate of ATP than the corresponding glutamine in PKA (Fig. S1). Asp 427 and Asn 414 of ROP18II are in the same location and orientation as Asp184 and Asn171 of PKA which coordinate interactions with 2 manganese ions and the alpha and beta phosphates of ATP (Fig. S1). TgCDPK1 bound to the bumped kinase inhibitor NA-PP2 also shows high concordance with our ROP18II model. In particular, while TgCDPK1 has a glycine residue at the “gatekeeper” position (Gly 128) which allows for binding of NA-PP2, ROP18II has a methionine at this position (Met 356), and this bulky residue overlaps with and would be predicted to sterically hinder NA-PP2 binding (data not shown), as expected (39). The concordance of our model with TgCDPK1 and PKA further supports the model for kinase activity and ability to coordinate cofactors in the pocket during docking.

Similarity searches, toxicity predictions and virtual docking

Recognition of small molecules by proteins is largely mediated by molecular surface complementarities. Structure-based drug design approaches use this as the fundamental guiding principle; that is, closely related molecules will elicit similar activity in a biological assay (38, 54), and we searched our selected libraries for compounds with the highest similarity to ATP. Three hundred and five compounds from the Life Chemical Library and 336 compounds from
the ZINC 8.0 drug-like dataset showed a similarity to ATP higher than 70%, and were subjected to further analyses. To these molecules we applied *in silico* assessment of ADME/Tox properties using ACD ADME/TOX software (1). Based on this screen we selected 199 compounds from the Life Chemicals library and 100 molecules from the ZINC8.0 drug-like dataset with the best ADME/Tox properties. These 299 compounds were docked using Molegro Virtual Docker (MVD) software (48). A total of 17 molecules were selected based on both the MolDock energy score (48) and the way they fit into the ATP binding site (Fig. 3).

**F1792-0016 inhibits Toxoplasma growth in vitro**

We hypothesized that small molecules designed to fit into the ATP binding pocket of ROP18 may make excellent candidate compounds with the capacity to interact with members of this superfamily. By testing only a small number of compounds (i.e., 17) we identified one compound that significantly affected the growth of strain 5A10 (a type III strain) *in vitro* (Fig. 4). This compound, F1792-0016 (see Fig. 3 for structures) was the most potent, decreasing parasite growth by 96±0.14% (*P*<0.001 compared to vehicle control). Dose curves showed that IC50 of F1792-0016 is 2.1 ± 1.8 nM (Fig. 5A), but there is also a reproducible plateau between 10 and 100 nM, suggesting that this compound may have multiple binding sites and/or targets with different inhibitory concentrations. In that 5A10 is a type III strain, and is known to express very low levels of ROP18 (44), we also determined the IC50 of F1792-0016 against a type III strain engineered to express a type II allele of ROP18, and found it to be similarly sensitive to the compound as 5A10 (IC50=3.6 ± 2.3 nM). This suggests that while we chose this compound based on the potential to inhibit ROP18, it is unlikely that the mechanism of killing is due to direct interaction with this kinase.
While F1792-0016 was soluble in liquid media at all tested concentrations, it crystallized only after it was placed in the presence of host cells at 10 µM. F0920-5744 also inhibited parasite growth by 40.60 ± 4.73%, but the host cells were also rounded and distorted, suggesting that this compound may be toxic to host cells. Therefore, for this study we focused on further characterizing the effects of F1792-0016 on parasite growth.

Two other compounds showed moderate enhancing effects in our primary screen of our compound set (ST055366 and ST4033541; 190 and 145 % of control, respectively; Fig. 4), although these increases were not found to be statistically significant (P>0.05). We conducted further experiments with ST055366 and found that it consistently enhanced parasite growth in a type III strain (clone 5A10; 220% of control) and equally well in a type III strain engineered to express high levels of ROP18 (223% of control), indicating that this enhancing effect is unlikely due to interactions with ROP18. Compound ST4033541 did not show any significant enhancement of growth in subsequent assays (data not shown).

A Screen of Second Generation Compounds Shows a Potential Relationship between Structure and Function

To identify analogs of F1792-0016 we used it as a scaffold in similarity searches using PubChem (pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and identified 3 other compounds with similarity to F1792-0016 of at least 0.9 (based on Tanimoto scores; (28); Fig. 3). One compound, F1656-0035, showed mild inhibition of parasite growth (62.90±9.44%, P< 0.01) and is structurally identical to F1792-0016 except for an additional methyl group. This modification increased the solubility of the compound, in that concentrations as high at 30 µM were soluble in DMSO (compared to 2 µM for F1792-0016). In contrast, two compounds (F1792-0007 and F1792-0008)
consistently increased parasite growth after 3 days of treatment (250±49.0% and 223±23.5% respectively; *P*<0.0001 for both) and are structurally similar to F1792-0016 except for the bond between the two oxygens on the benzodioxole moiety has been broken. F1792-0007 and F1792-0008 have EC50s of 0.04 ± 0.02 µM and 0.1 ± 0.07µM, respectively (Fig. 6).

**Active compounds do not show evidence for toxicity to human fibroblast host cells**

Using a tetrazolium reduction assay (MTS; (16)) we compared MTS reduction in vehicle-treated host cells to those treated for 72 h with each of the compounds. Based on this assay cells treated with F1792-0016, F1792-0007, F1792-0035, and F1792-0008 were 95 ± 0.03 %, 100 ± 0.02 %, and 101 ± 0.02% viable when compared to vehicle alone. In contrast, positive control cells treated with staurosporine had 0.4 ± 0.0005 % viability compared to the negative control, vehicle-treated cells. Thus, all four effective compounds were found to be non-cytotoxic by this assay at concentrations that were effective at inhibiting parasite growth. In addition, visual inspection showed the monolayer to be intact for all the effective compounds while those treated with staurosporine were detaching from the substratum 4h post-treatment.

**The inhibitory effects of F1792-0016 on growth are delayed and irreversible**

To examine the timing of the effects of F1792-0016 treatment on parasite growth, we treated parasites with 2 µM F1792-0016 and quantified luminescent signal at 24, 48 and 72 h post-treatment. The compound significantly reduced luminescent signal compared to vehicle controls only at the 72 hour time point (*P*<0.001; 34 ± 10% of control; Fig. 5B). To determine whether the inhibitory effects of the compound were reversible, we treated intracellular parasites for 24 h with either vehicle or 2 µM F1792-0016, thoroughly washed the monolayers to remove the compound, and allowed them to grow for another 48 h in the presence of either vehicle or 2
µM F1792-0016. Interestingly, growth compared to controls after 24 h treatment and washing (14.6 ± 4.2 % of control) was very similar, and not significantly different (P=0.48), from that observed by growing the parasites in the presence of the compound for the full 3 day period (10.6 ± 2.2% of control; Fig. 5D). Overall these data suggest that while the effects of the compound on total parasite numbers (as measured by luminescent signal from the parasites) are not observed until 3 days after drug exposure (Fig. 5B), the binding of the compound to its target(s) may be irreversible, or exposure to the compound for 24 h initiates a cascade that eventually lead to parasite growth inhibition and/or death. Despite the irreversible effect of the compound on intracellular parasites after only 24 h exposure, the compound showed only mild effects that were not statistically significant (P=0.56; 62 ± 7.0% of control; Fig. 5D) on parasites pretreated for 16 h and washed prior to invasion and 3 days of growth (Fig 5D). Similarly, only mild inhibitory effects (P=0.60; 85 ± 17% of control) were observed when parasites were allowed to invade pretreated and washed host cells, showing that compound disruption of key host cellular processes is not responsible for reduced parasite growth.

F1792-0016 destroys parasite-containing vacuoles

To visualize the effects of F1792-0016 on parasite morphology, we treated GFP-expressing parasites with either vehicle or 2 µM F1792-0016, and examined them after 24, 48 and 72 h of treatment using fluorescence microscopy, and after 56 h of treatment using electron microscopy. We observed that a characteristic of F1792-0016 treatment is the appearance of vacuoles that fluoresce in the GFP channel, but do not contain any individually defined parasites (Fig. 7). We quantified the percentage of vacuoles with this phenotype over time, and found that their numbers increase linearly over the span of 3 days, from 7.2 ± 3.5% on day 1 to 63.0 ± 8.0%
on day 3 (P<0.001; Fig. 5C). In contrast, on days 1, 2 and 3 a very low percentage (<1% for all
time points) of these destroyed vacuoles are seen in the cDMEM/1% DMSO-exposed controls
(Fig. 5C). This phenotype is less evident in parasites treated with 0.01 µM F1792-0016, in that
after 2, 3 or 4 days of treatment with 0.01 µM F1792-0016, only 9, 18, and 21% of vacuoles
were found to be lysed, respectively. A known lethal inhibitor of *Toxoplasma gondii*, FUDR,
also produces this same lysed phenotype (Meehan and Boyle, unpublished data), suggesting that
2 µM F1792-0016 is killing *T. gondii* which then results in destruction by the host cell. Since 2
µM F1792-0016 appears to kill the parasites, this explains why the effect is irreversible after 24
hours (Fig. 5D), and suggests that F1792-0016 has an early effect on *T. gondii* that is not
observed until 72-96 h post-treatment. In addition, while F1792-0016-treated vacuoles appear to
be disrupted by both phase contrast and GFP fluorescence, DNA staining with Hoechst dye
reveals that the parasite nuclei are still capable of binding DNA dyes (Fig. 7). Treatment with 10
µM F1656-0035 (the F1792-0016 analog) also resulted in a gradual increase in the same
phenotype as observed with F1792-0016 treatment (Meehan and Boyle, unpublished data).
Visualizing the parasite ultrastructure after 56 h treatment with vehicle or 2µM F1792-
0016 using electron microscopy provides a clearer picture of parasite fate. Treated parasites
have an intact parasitophorous vacuole membrane (PVM) and nuclei, but individual parasites are
enlarged with a rounded feature that lacks a defined anterior or posterior position as compared to
vehicle controls (Fig 7G,H). Most of the parasites organelles are either missing or deformed
while some of the parasite’s plasma membrane is broken leading to organelle leakage into the
parasitophorous vacuole (PV). The PV is also very dense compared to controls. The compound
mode of killing seems to involve the destruction of parasite membrane integrity which leads to
the lysis of the parasites.
F1792-0016 does not stop replication or kill at a certain stage of replication or based on size

As shown in Fig. 5C, F1792-0016 treatment results in destroyed vacuoles after 24 h, but parasite growth appears to continue in the survivors at least for the next 24 h since the average number of parasites per vacuole increases during this time period (Figure S2). To determine if this delayed effect was due to the completion of a certain number of replication cycles post-compound treatment, we compared the size distribution of vacuoles containing parasites that were still defined based on GFP signal versus those that exhibited the lysed phenotype (e.g., Fig. 7D,F) at 48 h post-compound treatment. We found that the size distributions of live and dead vacuoles were very similar. Vacuoles destroyed by F1792-0016-treatment ranged in size from 12 to 557.6 µm² with a mean of 106.34±98.57 µm², compared to live vacuoles which ranged in size from 3.2 to 768 µm² with a mean of 118.83±158.26 µm². This suggests that F1792-0016 treatment leads to the destruction of vacuoles regardless of size and does not kill based on a strict number of replication cycles post-compound exposure.

microarray analyses of host cells treated with F1792-0016 and F1656-0007.

While the data summarized above suggest that F1792-0016 and F1656-0007 act directly on parasites, it is possible that these compounds may affect parasite growth and/or viability by altering the host cell environment. As a first step to examine the effects of these compounds on host cells, uninfected fibroblast monolayers were treated for 48h with compound or vehicle and RNA was harvested for microarray analyses. Transcript abundance was determined using Illumina WG-6 human gene chips. Out of the 37,805 host genes queried on the chip, only 3
were found to be of different abundance in F1792-0016-treated cells when compared to controls (at least 2-fold different between treatments, and an adjusted $P$-value<0.05; see Materials and Methods). The gene with the largest difference was cytochrome P450, which was 3.4-fold lower in F1792-0016 treated cells (adjusted $P=3\times10^{-6}$). Interestingly, all 3 genes with different abundance in F1792-0016- treated cells were also significantly different in F1656-0007 treated cells, suggesting that some of these genes may be characteristic responses of human cells for processing chemical insults. Again, cytochrome P450 was the gene with the greatest abundance difference in the presence of F1656-0007 (4.0-fold lower abundance; adjusted $P=1\times10^{-6}$). The second most altered transcript in both compound treatments was that coding for TCDD-inducible poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (TIPARP), which was 2.1-fold lower in treated cells ($P<4\times10^{-5}$ for both compounds). TIPARP is involved in cellular responses to exposure to dioxin (12), although it is typically upregulated by toxin exposure rather than being downregulated as we saw in our experiments (21). Overall, the effects of these compounds on uninfected human fibroblasts *in vitro* appears to be subtle, and the changes that do occur are in a small number of genes involved in dealing with chemical-induced stress.

Microarray analyses of parasites treated with F1792-0016 and F1656-0007.

In contrast to the paucity of changes in host cell transcript levels due to compound exposure, parasite transcripts were dramatically altered due to treatment with F1792-0016. In all 629 *Toxoplasma* genes (out of 8059 queried on the chip) were found to be of different abundance after 48 h of F1792-0016 treatment. In a separate experiment, we also examined transcript abundance 24 h post-compound exposure and compared it to that observed at the 48 h timepoint. Of the 629 *T. gondii* genes that were found to be of different abundance at the 48 h timepoint,
142 of these (~22%) were at least 2-fold different compared to the 24 h vehicle control (Fig. 8). While statistics were not possible on the 24 h data since we only had one biological replicate, they suggest that some of the effects on parasite transcription are evident as early as 24 h after compound exposure. However, clearly the most potent effects on transcription occur at the 48 h timepoint (Fig. 8).

This is not surprising, given the extreme phenotypic effects observed and that at this timepoint ~30% of the vacuoles have been destroyed (see Figs. 5 and 7). Gene Ontology analyses on those genes of higher and lower abundance in the presence of F1792-0016 for 48 h revealed a number of GO categories that were significantly enriched in each of these gene populations (Table 1). Specifically, genes that were of higher abundance in F1792-0016-treated parasites were enriched for the GO annotations for nucleosome and nucleosome assembly ($P=2 \times 10^{-5}$ and $1.3 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively) including Histone H2A and its paralogs. Genes that were of lower abundance in the presence of F1792-0016 were significantly enriched for a number of GO categories, including the proteasome (prosome; $P=4.3 \times 10^{-6}$) and other genes involved in intracellular protein transport and degradation (see Table 1 and Fig. 8). In addition, of the 27 genes of at least 3-fold lower abundance in F1792-0016-treated parasites, 11 of them are genes that are either annotated as specific to cyst stages of the parasite (bradyzoites) or that have a clear pattern of upregulation in bradyzoites based on publicly available data (www.toxodb.org). In the present study many of these bradyzoite specific genes were found to be of relatively high abundance in parasites treated with vehicle alone, and based on unpublished data from our laboratory as well as others (www.toxodb.org) with the same strain (ME49), these levels are much higher than is typical for tachyzoites in culture. This could be due to stresses that are placed on the parasite by the presence of 1% DMSO (although we have found that this treatment
does not significantly alter the growth of parasites in vitro), and regardless of the reasons for
atypically high levels of these bradyzoite-specific genes, it is clear from our data that parasites
treated with F1792-0016 have significantly lower levels of these transcripts than controls. This
suggests that the compound may be either downregulating the expression of key stress-related
genes in the parasite, and/or the parasite may be locked in a phase of the cell cycle during which
these genes tend to be expressed at lower levels (8).

An advantage of the Toxoplasma microarrays used in this study (available through
www.upenn.edu; (3)) is that they also have probes for 297 human genes that are detectable when
hybridizing labeled RNA from Toxoplasma-infected host cells prepared as we have for this study.
Interestingly, while as described above very few genes (11 in all) were of different abundance in
host cells treated with F1792-0016 in the absence of Toxoplasma, 182 of the 297 human host
genes on the Toxoplasma expression chip were of different abundance in infected cells treated
with F1792-0016 (Fig. S1). Of these, the vast majority (179/182) were of higher abundance in
the presence of F1792-0016. The most dramatically upregulated genes were stromal-cell derived
factor 1 (SDF1; 12.0-fold higher; \( P=2.3 \times 10^{-4} \)), LIM-domain binding protein 2 (LDB2; 11.0-fold
higher; \( P=2.5 \times 10^{-4} \)), and small-inducible cytokine subfamily B, member 10 (SCYB10; 9.0-fold
higher; \( P=0.002 \)). Importantly, none of these genes were altered by F1792-0016 treatment in
cells that were NOT infected (all data are available through the Geo Repository). Other host cell
transcripts of higher abundance in F1792-0016-treated, Toxoplasma-infected cells include TLR4,
IL10 receptor beta, and STAT2. A subset of these data can be found in figure S1.

Overall, our microarray analyses found that 1) host cells treated with these active
compounds alone appear to be only moderately affected in terms of their transcriptional profile,
and 2) that treatment of Toxoplasma with F1792-0016 leads to rampant changes in gene
transcription in both *Toxoplasma* genes as well as a subset of host cell genes, and that this may reflect alterations or blocks in the cell cycle.

572 *F1792-0016 treatment alters the cell cycle of *T. gondii*. Given the significant enrichment for nucleosome-related genes in F1792-0016-treated parasites, we wanted to determine if progression through the cell cycle was blocked or altered by compound treatment. When parasites were treated for 48 h with 2 µM F1792-0016, 51 ± 1.0% of the parasites were in the S/M phase of the cell cycle compared to 32 ± 2.4% in untreated controls (*P*=0.0002; Fig. 9). This suggests a possible mechanism of action for F1792-0016 may be to arrest parasites in the S/M phase of the cell cycle, as has been seen with other inhibitors of *T. gondii* growth such as monensin (36). Previously mutants resistant to the antibiotic monensin were identified and we also examined whether one such mutant (MSH-1) was also resistant to F1792-0016, and found that it was equally susceptible to F1792-0016 as a wild-type strain (for both strains 48 h F1792-0016 exposure resulted in 40% S/M parasites compared to 29% in controls; *P*>0.05).

585 **DISCUSSION**

In this study we used chemoinformatics approaches to identify compounds that may have affinities for protein kinases and/or other ATP-binding proteins in the human pathogen *Toxoplasma gondii*, and tested *in vitro* the effects of these compounds on parasite growth. Members of the ROP2 superfamily of secreted protein kinases are known to play key roles in parasite pathogenesis (10), including the secreted kinase ROP18, which has moderate effects on parasite growth *in vitro* (25) and potent effects on pathogenesis in the mouse (44). To do this we
generated a homology model of type II ROP18 based on the most closely-related homologues with solved structures, and used virtual docking to identify compounds that docked with comparatively low energy into the ATP binding site of the homology model. Simultaneously, we performed morphological similarity searches using two different commercially available libraries to identify compounds that are highly similar to the ATP. In principle we aimed to identify ATP-like compounds that could act as inhibitors of ROP18. Through this process, and based on previous work demonstrating that expression of the appropriate ROP18 allele can increase parasite growth \textit{in vitro}, we examined the effects of these compounds on parasite growth. This compound may not target ROP18, since there is no difference in sensitivity to this compound in strains that express high levels of ROP18 and those that do not. Regardless, the homology model of the ROP18 kinase domain, which was built based on similarity to two Toxoplasma proteins with kinase folds (ROP2 and ROP8; (34)), served as a starting point for the identification of compounds that may interact with parasite kinases. Future work will focus on identifying the targets of this class of compounds. The importance of our study is that we were able to identify benzodioxole-containing compounds that halt parasite growth \textit{in vitro}.

The active compound F1792-0016 strongly inhibits parasite growth \textit{in vitro}. This compound contains both a quinoxaline group and a benzodioxole group, and by testing closely related analogs (F1656-0035, F1792-0007 and F1792-0008; see Fig. 3 for structures) for their activity against Toxoplasma, the benzodioxole moiety appears to be important for the inhibitory activity of this compound. F1656-0035 has an identical structure except for the addition of a methyl group on C-1 of the quinoxaline moiety, while F1792-0007 and F1792-0008 both have altered forms of the benzodioxole. F1656-0035 inhibited parasite growth \textit{in vitro} in a similar fashion as F1792-0016 (albeit at reduced potency), while both F1792-0007 and F1792-0008
enhanced parasite growth in vitro. While the mechanism for the observed growth enhancement by these two analogs is not known (i.e., whether the analogs are interacting with the same target(s) as F1792-0016 or others), these restricted structure-activity studies suggest that the benzodioxole moiety is important for the inhibitory activity of F1792-0016.

Benzodioxole-containing compounds have been implicated as inhibitors of eukaryotic protein kinases, and their effects on the receptor tyrosine kinase EphB4 have been studied in great detail (4-6). Benzodioxole-containing compounds were found to be highly effective at inhibiting EphB4-activity in vitro, and co-crystals with EphB4 reveal that the benzodioxole moiety interacts with the gatekeeper threonine residue in the kinase (6). When the benzodioxole is substituted with other moieties, this dramatically reduces effectiveness against EphB4 (4). The only exception to this was when the benzodioxole was substituted with an indazole, as this compound retained activity against the kinase in vitro (4). In the Toxoplasma genome, most predicted active kinases have bulky amino acids (e.g., Met, Leu, Ile) at the gatekeeper position, and only a smaller subset of protein kinases from Toxoplasma have smaller residues like threonine at this position (www.toxodb.org; unpublished data). The nature of the gatekeeper residue, and in particular the presence of smaller amino acids at this position (such as threonine), allows for alteration of the putative target for the kinase inhibitor and subsequent testing of the parasites for resistance to the compound. In a bioinformatic survey of the Toxoplasma genome, a gene coding for a cyclin-dependent protein kinase homologue (TGME49_019100) does have a threonine residue at the gatekeeper position (www.toxodb.org). Based on the fact that transcript levels of genes involved in transitions between different phases of the cell cycle are altered by exposure to F1792-0016, and the fact that other benzodioxole-containing compounds interact
with the gatekeeper threonine in kinases like EphB4 (4-6), the *Toxoplasma* cyclin-dependent kinase may be an excellent candidate for the target of F1792-0016.

The importance of the quinoxaline-containing moiety in F1792-0016 cannot be ruled out, and other compounds with this core structure have been shown to have antimicrobial effects. In particular, N-oxide-containing quinoxaline derivatives were shown to reduce the growth of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (43, 50, 52, 53), and the human malaria parasite, *Plasmodium falciparum* (51). In the present study we have found that a quinoxaline-containing compound without 1,4 N-oxides is also effective against an apicomplexan parasite, in this case *Toxoplasma gondii*.

Since *T. gondii* is an obligate intracellular parasite, determining whether effective compounds are targeting the host cell, the parasite, or both can be difficult to address. In this study we used an MTS assay and visual observation to address host cell cytotoxicity of the compounds, and this led us to rule out compound F0920-5744. Based on these assays F1792-0016-exposed cells are healthy. However, the compounds could be altering parasite growth or survival by altering certain key (and as yet unknown) signaling pathways within the host cell that are crucial for *Toxoplasma* survival. When we treated uninfected host cells with F1792-0016 for 48 hours we found that very few host cell transcripts that were significantly altered in abundance, except for a short list of genes involved in responding to stress and/or chemical insults (such as P450 and TIPARP). Moreover, host cell treatment with an enhancer of parasite growth (F1792-0007) during the same experiment altered levels of 11 of the 12 transcripts altered by F1792-0016 treatment. This provides strong evidence that the inhibitory effects of F1792-0016 are due to effects on the parasite, rather than the host cell.
As might be expected from an inhibitor that results in the death of parasites within the host cell, a large number of parasite transcripts were altered by exposure to the drug. At 48 h post-treatment, approximately 30% of the parasite vacuoles exhibit the disrupted phenotype, while the rest of the vacuoles appear normal. Some of these normal vacuoles do undergo subsequent rounds of division, although all of them eventually succumb to the effects of the drug. The specificity of the parasite transcriptional profile may shed light on possible mechanisms of action of F1792-0016. Given the significantly enriched GO categories related to nucleosomes and nucleosome assembly and DNA content analyses, F1792-0016 may halt parasites at a particular phase of the cell cycle. Recently transcriptional analyses were conducted on cell-cycle synchronized *T. gondii* parasites (RH strain; (8)). The authors found that the certain genes in the *Toxoplasma* transcriptome could be divided into either an S/M-phase subtranscriptome or a G1-phase subtranscriptome. Interestingly, histone components, such as Histone H3 (gene model TGME49_061240), were from 7 to 54-fold more abundant in F1792-0016-treated parasites, and this gene is more highly expressed during the S/M phase than G1 ((8); www.toxodb.org). In contrast, proteasome components are a part of the G1 transcriptome (8). While not all components of these subtranscriptomes were of different abundance after exposure to F1792-0016, it is possible that a larger percentage of F1792-0016-treated parasites are in the S/M phase of the cell cycle. The effect of F1792-0016 on histone-related transcript levels is very similar to that observed previously for monensin, which was found to have potent anti-*Toxoplasma* activity. Microarray analyses of monensin-treated parasites resulted in increased abundance of some of the same transcripts that are upregulated in the presence of F1792-0016, particularly members of the histone family (H2, H3 and H4; (36)). Consistent with this observation, monensin also increases the number of parasites that are in the S/M phase of the cell cycle (36). When we
tested if F1792-0016 was acting in a similar fashion we found that a larger percentage of compound-treated parasites were in the S/M phase of the cell cycle than vehicle-treated controls, suggesting that cell cycle disruption may be a mechanism of action and/or a downstream effect of F1792-0016 treatment. While the effects on the percentage of parasites in S/M is clear, it is difficult to know if F1792-0016 is specifically blocking exit from S/M. Compared to monensin, this is a difficult hypothesis to test with F1792-0016, since treatment with 2 µM always results in the eventual destruction of the parasites within the host cell, possibly due to a lack of production of key effectors that aid in the prevention of recognition of the parasites and lysosomal fusion.

Support for the activation of host innate immune processes after compound treatment is found in the altered host cell transcript levels for parasitized cells in the presence of F1792-0016. A large number of the human immune-related genes queried by the *Toxoplasma* expression array were significantly upregulated in the presence of parasites. By comparing our data from the Illumina WG-6 chips on uninfected host cells, it is clear that these transcripts are not changing in abundance due to direct effects of F1792-0016 on the host cells, but rather due to indirect effects of the compound on the parasite. Many key innate immune mediators, including 2 chemokines (CXCL12 and SCYB10), Toll-like receptor 4 and STAT2 are of increased abundance in infected, F1792-0016-treated cells. What is interesting about these data is that they appear to provide a snapshot of the transcriptional profile of host cells that are in the process of targeting *Toxoplasma*-containing vacuoles for destruction. This would be expected for a compound that is somehow incapacitating the parasites such that key effector molecules required for maintenance of the vacuole, and permitting continued growth of the parasites, are no longer produced or have been rendered ineffective.
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Figure 1: ClustalW alignment of ROP18II, ROP2I and ROP8I, showing sequence included in the homology model. For ROP18II, residue numbers are based on the first methionine of ToxoDB gene model TGME49_005250. Key residues are indicated by squares: M356 is the putative “gatekeeper” residue in the ATP-binding pocket; D362 is the predicted catalytic aspartic acid; D427 and N414 coordinate interactions with Mg²⁺; K281 is predicted to bind the alpha and beta phosphates of ATP; and E300 is predicted to coordinate ATP positioning.

Figure 2: A) Homology model of ROP18II based on residues 224 to 536. The predicted N-lobe and C-lobes are indicated. B) ATP-binding pocket the ROP18II homology model showing the lowest energy poses for ATP (grey) and F1792-0016 (beige). The same residues discussed above in Figure 1 are also indicated.

Figure 3: Structures of the 20 compounds assayed for antiparasitic activity in this study. Compounds were selected based on cavity fit into the homology model and docking energy score, except for the three analogs of F1792-0016 (blue star) that were obtained from PubChem similarity searches (green and yellow stars). S.Score—similarity score to ATP, E.Score—docking energy score, ND—not determined.

Figure 4: Results of a primary screen with 17 compounds identified by ATP similarity searches and virtual docking. All compounds were screened at 10 µM, and parasite growth was measured after three days of treatment with 10 µM. One compound (F1792-0016) inhibited parasite growth by 96±0.14% (P=4.63x10⁻⁸). In addition, F0920-5744 mildly inhibited growth by
40.60±4.73% (P>0.05), but upon visual inspection it was found to be toxic to the host cells.

ST055366 mildly enhanced parasite growth by 188.60±22.82% (P>0.05), while ST056006 was mildly inhibitory, showing 23.93±6.41% (P>0.05). ST056006 and ST055366 are identical except for two switched functional groups (see Fig. 2).

Figure 5: A) Dose response curves for F1792-0016 and F1656-0035. The observed EC50 for F1792-0016 is 2.1 ± 1.8 nM, and F1656-0035 is much less potent, with an EC50 of 5.03±0.58 µM. B) Growth time course for parasites treated with 2µM F1792-0016 (filled diamonds), or vehicle alone (open squares). Parasites were treated as described and harvested on days 1, 2 and 3 post-treatment. F1792-0016 does not show significant inhibitory effects until the third day of treatment. C) Time course examining the percentage of destroyed vacuoles after treatment with 2 µM F1792-0016 (diamonds) or vehicle (squares). The percent of destroyed vacuoles increases linearly over time after F1792-0016 treatment from 7.2±3.5% after 24 hours to 86.7±6.7% after 96 hours treatment. D) Treatment of extracellular parasites and host cells with 2 µM F1792-0016 prior to invasion (light and dark grey bars respectively) has only moderate effects on parasite growth over a 3 day period after treatment (62 ± 7.0% and 85 ±17% compared to control, respectively). In contrast, treatment of intracellular parasites with F1792-0016 for 24 h appears to be irreversible (black bars): there is no significant difference between the effect of 1 day of treatment and 3 days of treatment with 2 µM F1792-0016 (P>0.05).

Figure 6: Dose Response curves for the growth-enhancing compounds F1792-0007 and F1792-0008. Both compounds are maximally effective at 3.33 µM, enhancing growth by 304.6 ±
16.0% and 267.8 ± 39.5% respectively (P<0.02 for both). Compound F1792-0007 has an EC₅₀ value of 0.04 ± 0.02 µM while F1792-0008 has an EC₅₀ value of 0.1 ± 0.07 µM.

**Figure 7:** Phenotypic effects of F1792-0016 on parasites *in vitro*. Parasites were treated with vehicle (A-B) or 2 µM F1792-0016 (C-F), fixed and observed using fluorescence and electron microscopy. A-B) Parasites treated with vehicle for 48 h. C-D) Parasites treated for 72 h with F1792-0016 exhibit significant vacuole disruption that is evident both in phase contrast and in the GFP channel. E-F) Nuclear stain and GFP fluorescence of a disrupted vacuole from parasites treated for 48 h with F1792-0016. G-H) Parasite ultrastructure observed using transmission electron microscopy. G) Vehicle-treated cells. H) F1796-0016 causes disruption of the parasite plasma membrane (white arrows), and the PV is more electron dense than the controls, possibly containing the contents of lysed parasites. Co, Conoid; DG, Dense granules; Go, Golgi complex; Hn, host nucleus; M, host mitochondria; Mn, micronemes; N, Nucleus, Nu, Nucleolus; PVM, parasitophorous vacuolar membrane; PV, Parasitophorous vacoule; Rh, rhoptries. Magnification: (G) 15000x; (H) 12000x.

**Figure 8:** Heat map showing the effects of compound treatment on *Toxoplasma* gene expression. Parasites were treated with either vehicle, 2 µM F1792-0016, or 10 µM F1792-0007 for either 24 or 48 h and RNA was hybridized *T. gondii* Affymetrix microarrays. Data were normalized and analyzed as described in materials and methods, and all *T. gondii* genes with a fold-difference of at least 3.0 and a adjusted P-value<0.05 are shown. The most significant changes in gene expression occur in F1792-0016-treated parasites after 48 h of exposure, while very few genes changed significantly in abundance after F1792-0007 exposure.
Figure 9: Effects of treatment with 2 µM F1792-0016 on the cell cycle in *Toxoplasma.* Parasites were treated with vehicle or compound for 24 h (B) or 48 h (C) and DNA content was assessed using flow cytometry. D) F1792-0016 treatment causes a significant increase in the percentage of parasites in the S/M phase of the cell cycle compared to controls ($P=0.0002$).
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![Heatmap showing gene expression levels](attachment:heatmap.png)

**ToxoDB gene ID and annotation**

- **TOM49**: 035260, histone H4, putative
- **TOM49**: 061240, histone H3, putative
- **TOM49**: 061250, histone H2A, putative
- **TOM49**: 034700, PHD-finger domain-containing protein
- **TOM49**: 070640, hypothetical protein, conserved
- **TOM49**: 076556, hypothetical protein
- **TOM49**: 105160, histone H2A, putative
- **TOM49**: 051870, histone H2B, putative
- **TOM49**: 061350, histone H2A, putative
- **TOM49**: 056450, hypothetical protein
- **TOM49**: 038440, SR522A
- **TOM49**: 066400, hypothetical protein, conserved
- **TOM49**: 068470, histone H2B, putative
- **TOM49**: 115500, hypothetical protein
- **TOM49**: 067300, hypothetical protein
- **TOM49**: 061160, hypothetical protein, conserved
- **TOM49**: 090000, protein phosphatase 2A, putative
- **TOM49**: 023090, nucleotide-triphosphatase, putative
- **TOM49**: 067130, SR545A (= SRG22Y)
- **TOM49**: 061410, ankyrin repeat-containing protein
- **TOM49**: 007150, SR545C (= SRG20)
- **TOM49**: 064650, hypothetical protein
- **TOM49**: 060860, enolase 1
- **TOM49**: 067130, SR545A (= SRG22Y)
- **TOM49**: 026720, translin, putative
- **TOM49**: 024570, hypothetical protein
- **TOM49**: 002950, hypothetical protein, conserved
- **TOM49**: 028090, phospholipase/carboxylesterase, putative
- **TOM49**: 007140, SR545B (= SRG22Z)
- **TOM49**: 025060, nuclease, putative
- **TOM49**: 057540, hypothetical protein
- **TOM49**: 017740, oxoacyl-ACP reductase, putative
- **TOM49**: 120110, proliferating cell nuclear antigen, putative
- **TOM49**: 010240, syntaxin family protein, putative
- **TOM49**: 022370, SRG13
- **TOM49**: 100250, SNARE domain-containing protein
- **TOM49**: 028710, hypothetical protein
- **TOM49**: 072850, RNA recognition motif domain-containing protein
- **TOM49**: 010100, chopyrta neck protein 2
- **TOM49**: 066280, beta-adaptin-like protein, putative
- **TOM49**: 076510, endoplasmic reticulum retention receptor, putative
- **TOM49**: 052640, plasma-membrane H+-ATPase, putative
- **TOM49**: 120150, SR516B (= SRG9)
- **TOM49**: 007760, hypothetical protein
- **TOM49**: 091040, lactate dehydrogenase
- **TOM49**: 063330, PFEF kinase family protein
- **TOM49**: 113230, hypothetical protein
- **TOM49**: 050520, bcdesmozoite antigen, putative
- **TOM49**: 068570, bcdesmozoite surface antigen
- **TOM49**: 017750, hypothetical protein
- **TOM49**: 093750, hypothetical protein
- **TOM49**: 018140, hypothetical protein, conserved
- **TOM49**: 116804, FUN14 family domain-containing protein
- **TOM49**: 007210, hypothetical protein
- **TOM49**: 009570, sec61 homolog transferase, putative
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Table 1: Enriched GO terms in *Toxoplasma* transcripts with either higher or lower abundance after 48 h of treatment with 2 µM F1792-0016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Ontology Term</th>
<th>Population frequency</th>
<th>Study frequency</th>
<th>P value*</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th># of differentially-expressed genes</th>
<th>F1792-0016 effect on transcript levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0006886</td>
<td>0.0329</td>
<td>0.1069</td>
<td>2.0E-06</td>
<td>intracellular protein transport</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0016192</td>
<td>0.0233</td>
<td>0.0878</td>
<td>2.1E-06</td>
<td>nonselective vesicle transport prosome</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0004298</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td>0.0534</td>
<td>4.3E-06</td>
<td>prosome</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0005839</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td>0.0534</td>
<td>4.3E-06</td>
<td>macropain</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0008565</td>
<td>0.0115</td>
<td>0.0534</td>
<td>1.1E-04</td>
<td>protein transporter activity</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0030117</td>
<td>0.0103</td>
<td>0.0458</td>
<td>1.2E-03</td>
<td>membrane coat</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0008094</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>0.0267</td>
<td>1.3E-03</td>
<td>DNA dependent ATPase activity</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0016021</td>
<td>0.0547</td>
<td>0.1221</td>
<td>1.8E-03</td>
<td>integral to membrane</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0005741</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
<td>1.0E-02</td>
<td>outer mitochondrial membrane</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0004748</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
<td>1.0E-02</td>
<td>ribonucleoside-diphosphate reductase activity</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0008308</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
<td>1.0E-02</td>
<td>voltage-gated anion channel activity</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0004175</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>0.0229</td>
<td>1.1E-02</td>
<td>proteinase</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0006511</td>
<td>0.0227</td>
<td>0.0649</td>
<td>1.2E-02</td>
<td>ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0015031</td>
<td>0.0149</td>
<td>0.0496</td>
<td>1.8E-02</td>
<td>protein transport</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0051603</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>0.0267</td>
<td>1.9E-02</td>
<td>proteolysis during cellular protein catabolic process</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0016020</td>
<td>0.1603</td>
<td>0.2443</td>
<td>3.9E-02</td>
<td>membrane</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0006820</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
<td>4.9E-02</td>
<td>anion transport</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0000786</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>0.0574</td>
<td>2.5E-05</td>
<td>nucleosome</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>higher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0006334</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>0.0574</td>
<td>1.3E-04</td>
<td>nucleosome assembly</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>higher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO ID</td>
<td>FDR</td>
<td>q-value</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0004672</td>
<td>0.0957</td>
<td>0.2295</td>
<td>6.0E-04</td>
<td>protamine kinase activity</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>higher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0006468</td>
<td>0.0966</td>
<td>0.2295</td>
<td>7.3E-04</td>
<td>protein amino acid phosphorylation</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>higher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0003723</td>
<td>0.0503</td>
<td>0.1311</td>
<td>3.0E-02</td>
<td>RNA binding</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>higher</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Bonferroni-corrected P-value based on the number of GO categories in the study population.