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Abstract

Treatment failure and parasite drug susceptibility in dermal leishmaniasis caused by *Leishmania Viannia* species are poorly understood. Prospective evaluation of drug susceptibility of strains isolated from individual patients before drug exposure and at clinical failure allows intrinsic and acquired differences in susceptibility to be discerned and analyzed. To determine whether intrinsic susceptibility or loss of susceptibility to miltefosine contributed to treatment failure, we evaluated miltefosine susceptibility of intracellular amastigotes and promastigotes of six *L. braziliensis* and six *L. panamensis* isolated sequentially, at diagnosis and treatment failure, from two children, and four adults ≥55 years with concurrent conditions. Four patients presented only cutaneous lesions, one mucosal disease, and one disseminated mucocutaneous disease. Expression of *Leishmania* drug transporter genes *abca2 abca3, abcc2, abcc3, abcg4, abcg6* and *LbMT* was evaluated by qRT-PCR. Intracellular amastigotes (Median EC$_{50}$ 10.7 µmoles/L) were more susceptible to miltefosine than promastigotes (Median EC$_{50}$ 55.3 µmoles/L) (p<0.0001). Loss of susceptibility at failure demonstrated by EC$_{50}$ >32 µmoles/L miltefosine, the upper limit of intracellular amastigote assay, occurred in *L. panamensis* infection in a child and in *L. braziliensis* infection in an adult, and was accompanied by decreased expression of the miltefosine transporter LbMT (LbMT/β-tubulin: 0.42 to 0.26, p = 0.039; 0.70 to 0.57, p = 0.009, respectively). *LbMT* gene polymorphisms were not associated with susceptibility phenotype. *Leishmania* ABCA3 transporter expression was inversely correlated with miltefosine susceptibility (r
Loss of susceptibility is one of multiple factors involved in failure of miltefosine treatment in dermal leishmaniasis.
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**Introduction**

Miltefosine, an alkylphosphocholine originally developed as an anti-cancer drug, is the first oral drug with proven efficacy for treatment of visceral leishmaniasis (VL) within the Indian subcontinent and cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) caused by species of the *Viannia* subgenus in South America (1). Miltefosine was approved for treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis in Colombia in 2004 after demonstrating efficacy comparable to pentavalent antimonials against disease caused by *Leishmania (Viannia)* panamensis (2). Although miltefosine has not been widely used in Latin America, treatment failures and relapses have been observed in randomized controlled clinical trials (3, 4). The efficacy and advantages of this oral drug, particularly for treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis in children (4) and patients having contraindications for antimonial treatment warrant measures to prolong its therapeutic life-span.

Selective pressure exerted by antimicrobial therapy is influenced by the immune status of the host, susceptibility of the infecting microbial population, drug pharmacokinetics, adherence to treatment, dosing regimen and use of monotherapy (5). The long half-life (150-200 hours) and low therapeutic index of miltefosine results in
exposure of surviving parasites to sub-therapeutic drug concentrations that may persist in plasma up to 6 months after completion of treatment, and thereby promote the emergence of resistance (1, 5, 6). Several studies have provided evidence of inter and intra species differences in the susceptibility of *Leishmania* to anti-leishmanial drugs including miltefosine (7, 8). Intrinsic differences in drug susceptibility of parasites transmitted in different geographic areas and foci of transmission are likely to influence the response to treatment and the selection of resistant populations.

Single nucleotide changes in the transporter protein LdMT have been shown to confer resistance to miltefosine (9). Moreover, such mutations are readily selected by incremental *in vitro* exposure of promastigotes to miltefosine, and confer the resistant phenotype on the amastigote stage (9). *Leishmania braziliensis* strains evaluated in vitro for susceptibility to miltefosine have generally demonstrated lower susceptibility than *L. donovani*; this difference has been attributed to lower expression of the miltefosine transporter complex, LbMT/LbRos3, in the plasma membrane of *L. braziliensis* (8). The expression of this transporter in other species and populations of *Leishmania*, and the participation of this or other transporters in the response to treatment in unknown.

In vitro susceptibility to antimicrobial agents including anti-leishmanial drugs does not necessarily correlate with treatment outcome (10). Nevertheless, the frequency of treatment failure increases as drug tolerance and resistance increase: infections caused by organisms that are susceptible have a higher rate of response to treatment than infections with drug resistant organisms (11, 12). Moreover, drug resistance defined by
in vitro susceptibility tests is generally an independent risk factor for treatment failure (10). The multifactorial basis of therapeutic response and the intra-species diversity of *Leishmania* confound attempts to correlate clinical outcome with in vitro drug susceptibility. Furthermore, investigation of the relationship between in vitro susceptibility and clinical response is severely limited by the inability of health systems to monitor adherence to treatment or clinical response to treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis. Therefore the prospective detection of treatment failure is uncommon and the availability of infecting *Leishmania* at the time of diagnosis and at treatment failure is rare. Such clinical strains offer insight into host and parasite determinants of therapeutic response.

We examined treatment failure and loss of susceptibility to miltefosine based on clinical response, in vitro susceptibility to miltefosine, and transporter gene expression in *L. panamensis* and *L. braziliensis* strains isolated from six dermal leishmaniasis patients before treatment with miltefosine and at clinical failure.

**Methods**

**Study population**

Six dermal leishmaniasis patients who presented clinical failure after treatment with miltefosine were included in the study (Table 1). Four patients presented 1-6 active cutaneous lesions of 1-4 months duration. One patient presented disseminated mucocutaneous disease of one month evolution and another presented active mucosal
disease of 96 months duration and scarred cutaneous lesions that occurred 25 years before consultation. Diagnosis and treatment were conducted by health personnel of the Centro Internacional de Entrenamiento e Investigaciones Médicas (CIDEIM). All patients completed treatment with miltefosine at 1.4-2.5 mg/kg/day for 28 days.

Two of the six patients were children who received directly observed treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis as participants in a randomized clinical trial (4). They were clinically evaluated at the end of treatment, 13 and 26 weeks after initiating treatment. Treatment failure in these children was diagnosed by the absence of healing at week thirteen in accordance with the study protocol (4). The four adult patients were 55 to 72 years of age, presented concurrent conditions (Table 1) and received miltefosine on an ambulatory basis. Two adults had previously failed treatment with Glucantime®. Failure of miltefosine treatment in these patients occurred at or before 26 weeks following initiation of treatment. In one case the primary lesion had worsened and new lesions had appeared (six in total) at end of treatment; one had not healed at week 26 and the other two experienced reactivation of cutaneous (ten of the initial fifty) or mucosal lesions.

The study protocol was approved by the CIDEIM Ethical Review Board for studies involving human subjects and conducted in accordance with national and international guidelines for Good Clinical Practice. Signed informed consent was obtained from each participant and for those <18 years of age, consent was also provided by a parent.
Clinical strains of *Leishmania*

*Leishmania* strains were isolated by culture of tissue fluid aspirated from lesion borders at diagnosis before treatment and at clinical failure. Post treatment strains were isolated from lesions that failed to heal or reactivated, or in one case, from a new lesion that occurred during treatment. The latter patient did not return to an endemic area during treatment and hence was not re-exposed to transmission. Species identification was achieved using monoclonal antibodies and isoenzyme electrophoresis as previously described (13). The profile of monoclonal antibody reactivity was identical for the pre and post treatment strains isolated from each patient. Strains were stored in liquid nitrogen until evaluation of drug susceptibility. In vitro susceptibility to miltefosine (hexadecylphosphocholine-HePC) was evaluated within four passages from isolation.

Control strains

Internal controls included a wild type (WT) miltefosine-sensitive cloned strain of *L. (V.) panamensis*, transfected with the luciferase reporter gene (*Luc*), MHOM/COL/03/3594/LUC001 as previously described (14), and a line derived from this strain by exposure of promastigotes to incremental concentrations of HePC (Cayman Chemical Co., Ann Arbor, MI), MHOM/COL/86/1166-LUC056 (15). The latter line is resistant to 60 µmoles HePC /L as promastigotes. These controls were included in each assay of drug susceptibility of clinical strains. Control parasites were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (HIFBS, Gibco) 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin-solution (10,000 U/mL (units/mL) Penicillin
G/10,000 µg/mL Streptomycin; Gibco BRL) and 80 µg/mL Geneticin G418 (Sigma Aldrich). The miltefosine resistant line was propagated and maintained in the presence of 60 µmoles HePC /L throughout the study.

**In vitro amastigote infection and drug susceptibility assays**

In vitro susceptibility to miltefosine was evaluated in intracellular amastigotes and promastigotes. Drug susceptibility of intracellular amastigotes of clinical strains was evaluated as described by Fernandez et al (15). Briefly, U-937 macrophages (ATCC CRL-159.3) were co-cultured with stationary-phase promastigotes during two hours at 34°C and a 5:1 parasite to cell ratio, washed with PBS and incubated for 24 h at 34°C. Miltefosine susceptibility was defined as 50% effective concentration (EC$_{50}$) using a concentration range of 0.5 to 32 µmoles HePC /L (0.20 to 13 µg/mL), and as percent parasite survival at the single discriminatory concentration of 16 µmoles HePC /L (6.5 µg/mL) (15) after 48 hours of drug exposure. Infection was blindly evaluated by microscopy in 300 macrophages (100 cells per triplicate well) for each drug concentration, and the number of parasites in each infected cell determined. Percent parasite survival was estimated based on infection of macrophages without drug.

**Promastigote susceptibility to miltefosine**
Susceptibility of promastigotes was evaluated during logarithmic growth phase in complete RPMI medium containing 10% HIFBS. Parasites were dispensed at $3 \times 10^5$ promastigotes/well in 96-well plates (Becton Dickinson); four replicates for each drug concentration were evaluated following culture at 26°C for 72 hours. The concentration range of 35 µmoles/L (14.3 µg/mL) to 80 µmoles/L (32.6 µg/mL) HePC was employed for promastigotes of clinical strains and extended to 120 µmoles/L (48.9 µg/mL) for the WT and miltefosine-resistant line. Parasite viability was determined based on acid phosphatase activity (16). Plates were evaluated at 405 nm using an ELISA reader (MRX Revelation, Dynex Technologies).

**Evaluation of gene expression of LbMT and ABC transporters by RT-PCR**

In order to explore the relationship between in vitro susceptibility to miltefosine and drug transporters potentially involved in miltefosine transport and multidrug resistance, we evaluated the gene expression profile of the miltefosine transporter LbMT, the multidrug resistance transporters ABCC2 and ABCC3, and the lipid transporters ABCA2, ABCA3, ABCG4 and ABCG6 based on the biochemical homology of miltefosine and phosphatidylcholine and evidence that ABCG4 (17) and ABCG6 (18) are involved in experimentally-derived miltefosine resistance.

Gene expression of *abca2* (LbrM. 11.1020), *abca3* (LbrM. 11.1000), *abcc2* (LbrM. 23.0230), *abcc3* (LbrM.23.0280), *abcg4* (LbrM. 15.0930) *abcg6* (LbrM. 35.3110) and *LbMT* (XM_001563228) was evaluated by qRT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted
from log-phase promastigotes with Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, USA), followed by reverse transcription with High-capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). Primer pairs were: \(abca2\) (ABCA2-F 5´ACCCTGGCGCGTGAGCTTTC3´; ABCA2-R 5´AGCGCCTCCACCTCCTCCAG3´), \(abca3\) (ABCA3-F 5´GTCTGTGACGTGCAGCCGCT3´) \(abcc2\) (ABCC2-F 5´CGAACGAGTGAGTGTGAA3´; ABCC2-R 5´CGAGAAACTCGACACGAACA3´) \(abcc3\) (ABCC3-F 5´CAGAGCGCACTGGTACCAC3´; ABCC3-R 5´GCAGCTGTGGTCCAGC3´) \(abcg4\) (ABCG4-F 5´CTCATCAGACCAACCCCTTTGT3´; ABCG4-R 5´CCAGTAGCAGCAGATCGTCA3´) \(abcg6\) (ABCG6-F 5´ACGACGCTGCTGATCTT3´; ABCG6-R 5´CTAGTTCCTCGGTCGAGACG3´) \(LbMT\) (LbMT-F 5´GGTGACGTCAGTTGTGCCGC3´; LbMT-R 5´GACGACATCGGCACGCACCT3´); \(\beta\)-tubulin (LbrM.21.2150) (\(\beta\)TUB-F 5´CTGTGACGAAACAAGACTCCA3´; \(\beta\)TUB-R-F 5´CTCGGCTGAACTCCATCTCGT3´). qPCR was performed using SybrGreen PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and BioRad® CFX-96 real-time PCR detection system. Gene expression was quantified based on standard curves for each gene and normalized to \(\beta\)-tubulin gene expression.

SNP genotyping of the \(LbMT\) gene.

The presence of C1259A (T420N) and T2567C (L856P) polymorphisms in the \(LbMT\) gene, previously reported to be associated with experimentally derived miltefosine resistance (9), and G630A (W210*) analyzed in VL and PKDL strains of \(L\) donovani (19) were characterized by sequence analysis of PCR products. Total DNA was extracted from logarithmic phase promastigotes using DNeasy Blood
and Tissue kit (Qiagen). PCR was carried out using primer sets W210*-Fw 5´-AGGCGTTCATTGACACATGC-3´, W210*-Rv 5´-TACAGGAACTGGTCAGC-3´; T420N-Fw 5´-TGGAGTACATGAACACGCT-3´, T420N-Rv 5´-CTCTTCCTCCTTGCCAGTC-3´; and L856P-Fw 5´-TCATTGGCAGTGGGAAGGTCC-3´, L856P-Rv 5´-GTGTTAGTCCCGAGAAGCCA-3´. Primers were designed using Primer-BLAST based on the *L. braziliensis* reference sequence XM_001563228. PCR products of approximately 200-bp were extracted from the gel and purified for the sequencing reaction. Sequence analyses, SNP identification and ClustalW multiple sequence alignment were performed using BioEdit v7.2.0. *L. braziliensis* LbMT reference sequence LbrM.13.1380 was used as the reference for sequence alignment.

**Statistical analyses**

EC$_{50}$ was determined using the Probit program of SPSS (version 20). Inter-stage differences in clinical strains were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney non-parametric test. Area under the dose-response curve (AUC) was used to determine differences in miltefosine susceptibility of clinical strains isolated before treatment and at treatment failure, and between sensitive and resistant controls. LbMT gene expression of strains isolated pre and post treatment from patients 2 and 5 was analyzed with Wilcoxon signed-rank test or paired test according to the distribution of the data. Spearman correlation test was employed to evaluate the association of miltefosine transporter gene expression and susceptibility of clinical strains to miltefosine. The statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism version 5.0 for Windows. Significance
was considered \( p < 0.05 \). Principal Component Analyses (PCA) were performed with quantitative transporter gene expression data using Tanagra software (20).

Results

In vitro susceptibility of promastigote and amastigote stages

**WT and experimentally selected resistant line of *L. panamensis***

Selection of miltefosine-resistant *L. panamensis* by exposure of promastigotes to increasing concentrations of miltefosine also resulted in loss of miltefosine susceptibility of intracellular amastigotes. The corresponding dose-response survival curves markedly diverged from the WT strain over the range of HePC concentrations evaluated (Fig. 1A and 1B). The mean \( EC_{50} \) value for promastigotes nearly doubled, from 56.1 µmoles HePC/L ± 8.1 (22.9 µg/mL) in the WT strain to 99.4 µmoles/L ± 2.4 (40.5 µg/mL) in the resistant line (\( p = 0.05 \)); for amastigotes, the \( EC_{50} \) increased by a factor of ten from 2.9 µmoles/L ± 1.8 (1.2 µg/mL) to >32 µmoles/L (>13.0 µg/mL) (\( p = 0.011 \)) in the experimentally selected line. Notably, 32 µmoles HePC/L is the maximum usable dose for in vitro intracellular assays because of the toxicity of higher concentrations for host macrophages, whether primary cells or established cell lines. The difference is further substantiated by analysis of the area under the curve (AUC) for promastigotes (\( p = 0.02 \)) and amastigotes (\( p < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 1A and 1B).
Clinical strains of *L. panamensis* and *L. braziliensis*

Evaluation of susceptibility of promastigotes and amastigotes of twelve clinical strains, six *L. panamensis* and six *L. braziliensis*, isolated before treatment with miltefosine and at treatment failure corroborated the higher in vitro susceptibility of intracellular amastigotes [median EC\(_{50}\) 10.7 µmoles HePC/L (4.4 µg/mL)] than promastigotes [median EC\(_{50}\) 55.3 µmoles/L (22.5 µg/mL)] (Fig. 2) (p<0.0001). The greater susceptibility to miltefosine of intracellular amastigotes of strains pertaining to two species of the *Viannia* subgenus, allowed detection of significant changes in susceptibility of parasites isolated at the time of clinical failure.

Susceptibility to miltefosine following in vivo exposure and failure of treatment

In two of six patients, one child (patient 2) and one elderly adult (patient 5), treatment with miltefosine led to emergence of drug tolerant populations of *L. panamensis* and *L. braziliensis*, respectively. Loss of susceptibility was substantiated by the significant increase in survival of intracellular amastigotes at 16 µmoles HePC/L, a concentration previously shown to discriminate between WT and the experimentally selected tolerant/resistant line (15). Survival of the *L. panamensis* strains isolated from patient no. 2 increased from 33% before treatment to 69% at failure. Similarly, the
survival of *L. braziliensis* strains from patient no. 5 increased from 61% before treatment to 116% at failure (Table 1). The increased survival of intracellular parasites exposed to incremental concentrations of HePC, and the higher EC$_{50}$ of miltefosine for the strains isolated at failure corroborated their diminished susceptibility compared with the strains isolated before treatment (Table 1, Fig. 3A and 3B). The EC$_{50}$ of miltefosine for strains isolated from patients 2 and 5 at treatment failure was $>$32 µmoles /L, the upper limit of the intracellular assay. Additionally, the dose-response profile of these strains was similar to that of the experimentally derived miltefosine-resistant line (Fig. 1B). The change in AUC was significant for strains from patient no. 2 (p<0.001). The EC$_{50}$ values, dose response curves and survival of intracellular amastigotes exposed to 16 µmoles HePC /L did not significantly differ for strains isolated before treatment and at failure from the other four patients (Table 1 and Fig. 3).

Although the magnitude of change in susceptibility of promastigotes to miltefosine was less marked and not statistically significant, the diminished susceptibility of promastigotes of strains isolated from patients 2 and 5 after treatment, concurred with results obtained with intracellular amastigotes. Whereas promastigotes of strains from patient 2 presented an increase in EC$_{50}$ from 41.5 µmoles HePC /L ± 4.1 before treatment to 52.0 µmoles/L ± 12.3 at failure, and EC$_{50}$ strains from patient 5 increased from 56.9 µmoles/L ± 20.7 to 68.6 µmoles/L ± 19.8, the EC$_{50}$ had not changed in the other four strain pairs (data not shown).
ABC transporter and LbMT expression profile of miltefosine susceptible and tolerant/resistant clinical strains

Miltefosine sensitive strains presented significantly higher expression of \textit{abca3} compared to strains having tolerance/low susceptibility to the drug ($p = 0.037$) (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, correlation analyses revealed a significant inverse relationship ($p = 0.037$) between \textit{abca3} expression and intracellular parasite survival (Fig. 4B). In contrast, expression of \textit{abca2, abcg4, abcg6, abcc2 and abcc3} was similar, irrespective of the level of drug susceptibility (data not shown). Gene expression of the miltefosine transporter LbMT did not correlate with the degree of susceptibility to miltefosine of these clinical strains (Fig. 4C). However, significantly decreased expression of this transporter was observed in the strains isolated from patients 2 and 5, which developed tolerance to HePC following treatment of the corresponding patients with miltefosine (Strains from patients 2; $p = 0.039$ and 5; $p = 0.009$) (Fig. 4D). Sequence analysis of the LbMT gene at positions 1259 and 2567, previously reported to be associated with LbMT loss of function (9), and at position 630 analyzed in \textit{L. donovani} strains isolated from patients with VL and PKDL who relapsed after treatment with miltefosine (19), revealed no association between these SNPs and the resistance phenotype of the clinical strains in our study, nor genetic variations between strains isolated before treatment and at treatment failure from the same patient (Figure 5). Interestingly, SNPs A615G, G691A, T699G and G1348A, were species specific, differentiating \textit{L. panamensis} from \textit{L. braziliensis}. 
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Principal component analysis distinctly grouped strains of *L. panamensis* and *L. braziliensis* in relation to principal components 1 and 2 (Fig. 6). Examination of the variables contributing to the different components showed that PC1 comprised *abca2*, *abca3*, *abcc3*, *abcg4* and *abcg6* transporter genes, while PC2 was dominated by *abcc2* and *LbMT*. These analyses revealed higher levels of PC2 and a trend of increased PC1 for *L. panamensis* compared to *L. braziliensis*. Principal component (PC) 1 accounted for 61% of gene expression variability and PC2 for 17%.

**Discussion**

Prospective evaluation of therapeutic response and miltefosine susceptibility before and after in vivo drug exposure during treatment provides unique opportunity to discern acquired and intrinsic differences in susceptibility. This study of patients who failed to respond to miltefosine treatment has yielded evidence of both intrinsic and acquired differences in drug susceptibility. In one case, resistance emerged from infection with a susceptible strain of *L. panamensis* and in another, resistance followed infection with an intrinsically tolerant strain of *L. braziliensis*. These two cases of loss of susceptibility to miltefosine provide direct evidence that miltefosine administered as monotherapy for cutaneous leishmaniasis can select drug resistant parasites.

Diminished clinical efficacy of miltefosine after a decade of unregulated use in India had been suspected but has now been documented (21). Strains of *L. donovani* isolated from visceral leishmaniasis and PKDL patients who relapsed following
miltefosine treatment were significantly more tolerant to miltefosine than the corresponding pre-treatment strains (19). The selective potential of miltefosine treatment in visceral leishmaniasis was also substantiated by the incremental increase in tolerance of sequential isolates of *L. infantum* obtained at relapse from an HIV co-infected patient who received maintenance treatment with miltefosine during several years (22). The emergence of tolerant/resistant *Leishmania* populations in different clinical, epidemiological and geographic circumstances underscores the need for measures to protect the effective life of this drug.

Age associated pharmacokinetic differences, parasite drug susceptibility and concurrent conditions that alter immune competence evidently contributed to the unfavorable outcome of miltefosine treatment in this group of patients. The 10-year-old, from whom the resistant strain of *L. panamensis* was isolated at week 13 follow-up, presented no clinical evidence of immune deficiency or co-morbidities that might have influenced the failure to heal. However, pharmacokinetic analyses reported by Dorlo and collaborators showing a body size-associated decrease in miltefosine exposure when the body weight dosage used in adults is applied in children (23), support the plausibility that lower exposure to miltefosine promoted outgrowth of resistant parasites and unsuccessful treatment in this patient. The 8 year old child who failed to respond to miltefosine despite the susceptibility of the infecting strain, presented a lesion on the ear, a cartilaginous site recognized to be therapeutically challenging (24). Concurrent conditions including altered renal function, immunosuppression and hypertension (25) in the elderly adult patients are likely to have contributed to the poor clinical response to
miltefosine treatment, independently of the susceptibility of the infecting *Leishmania* to miltefosine. Therefore, even when resistance does emerge, it is unlikely to be the singular cause of treatment failure. Host factors that allow surviving parasites to proliferate may both promote clinical failure and emergence of resistance.

Significantly greater susceptibility of intracellular amastigotes to miltefosine than promastigotes was consistently demonstrated both for clinical strains of *L. braziliensis* and *L. panamensis* and the WT and experimentally selected miltefosine resistant line of *L. panamensis*. Importantly, the susceptibility phenotype was detectable in both life stages. Comparative data on the susceptibility of intracellular amastigotes and promastigotes to miltefosine are limited and generally based on secondary observations of in vitro susceptibility of different *Leishmania* species/strains, host cells, culture conditions, assay and readout parameters (26), all of which influence the estimation of effective drug concentrations (15). A detailed comparative study using a single strain of *L. donovani*, suggested that promastigotes and intracellular amastigotes within peritoneal mouse macrophages were comparably susceptible to miltefosine with a trend towards greater susceptibility of intracellular amastigotes (27). Greater susceptibility of amastigotes may be attributable to increased cytotoxicity within the macrophage, conferred by alkyl-lysophospholipids promoting the death of intracellular parasites either by direct action of the drug or as a secondary effect on host cells as oxidative burst or production of reactive-oxygen metabolites (28). Distinct from other studies, our study included miltefosine susceptible and resistant controls that provided internal references for the interpretation of effective concentrations and reduction of parasite burden.
Intracellular amastigotes, which approximate the natural therapeutic target, including host cell-dependent mechanisms of action, are considered the gold standard for drug susceptibility assessment (27).

Investigations of laboratory-derived miltefosine resistant strains showed that differential drug transport contributed to changes in drug susceptibility. Reduced expression of the translocase complex LbMT/LbRos3 conferred the resistant phenotype in *L. braziliensis* strains through decreased drug uptake (8). Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) have been associated with functional variations of the LbMT/LdRos3 complex in *L. donovani* (9). However, our results and those of studies evaluating the relationship of these polymorphisms to drug susceptibility profiles of clinical strains have either not demonstrated an association, or not provided consistent evidence thus far (19), substantiating the rationale for analysis of drug susceptibility as a function of transporter gene expression for clinical strains, rather than SNP profiling. Although *LbMT* expression was not correlated with intrinsic susceptibility to miltefosine in clinical strains of *L. braziliensis* and *L. panamensis* (Fig. 4C), decreased expression of *LbMT*, but not of ABC transporters, occurred in strains that demonstrated loss of susceptibility to miltefosine at treatment failure (Fig. 4D). This suggests that in vivo exposure to miltefosine could promote the selection of less susceptible parasite populations having reduced expression of LbMT. Individual gene expression profiling of ABCA and ABCG lipid transporters revealed an inverse correlation between *abca3* and intracellular parasite survival following in vitro exposure to miltefosine (Fig. 4B) supporting the possibility that the level of ABCA3 expression...
may contribute to intrinsic variations in susceptibility of *Leishmania* strains to miltefosine. Interestingly, ABCA3 has been shown to localize to intracellular membranes where it promotes accumulation and storage of phosphatidylcholine (29). Hence up-regulation of *Leishmania* ABCA3 could promote increased drug accumulation through storage in intracellular vesicles, thereby contributing to a drug-susceptible phenotype. Nevertheless, functional confirmation of the participation and role of ABCA3 in miltefosine susceptibility is necessary.

Finally, the grouping of *L. panamensis* and *L. braziliensis* through principal component analysis of transporter gene expression substantiates a species-related profile of expression of these drug transporters. Together, our findings support the operation of multiple and different mechanisms among intrinsic and acquired phenotypes of miltefosine resistance in *Leishmania* strains, and species.

This prospective analysis of strains from dermal leishmaniasis patients who failed to respond to treatment with miltefosine provides the first direct evidence of the emergence of resistance to miltefosine during treatment of dermal leishmaniasis caused by *Viannia* subgenus species. Miltefosine is a second line treatment in Colombia and has not been widely administered. Rather, it is reserved for patients with contraindications or intolerance for meglumine antimoniate. Understanding and management of risk factors for treatment failure together with implementation of measures to assure appropriate use and adherence to treatment may prevent the loss of susceptibility to this valuable drug.
Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Mauricio Perez and Neal Alexander in conducting statistical analyses, Harry Castillo and Mabel Valderrama for their invaluable contribution in the microscopic assessment of intracellular infection, clinical team for their assistance in the diagnosis, enrollment, and clinical management of patients. Miltefosine (Impavido®) was donated by Paladin Labs Inc, Montreal Canada.

This research was supported by the Colombian National Departamento Administrativo de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación (COLCIENCIAS) contract 2229-408-20418 and 2229-519-28930. R.O., OF, MMC and MAG were supported in part by the Fogarty International Center U.S. under Award Number D43 TW006589. National Institutes of Health Global Infectious Disease Research Training Program, 2D43TW006589–08; and COLCIENCIAS Young Investigators and Innovators Program 159-2008 and 797-2009. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.
References


Table 1. Summary of clinical characteristics and miltefosine susceptibility of paired strains isolated from patients treated for cutaneous leishmaniasis with miltefosine who experienced clinical treatment failure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No.</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Concurrent conditions</th>
<th>Type of lesions</th>
<th>No. of lesions</th>
<th>Duration of lesions (months)</th>
<th>Previous treatment (N. courses)</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>EC_{50}* µmoles HepC/L ± SD</th>
<th>Parasite survival at * 16 µmoles HepC/L (% ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Cutaneous</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>L. panamensis</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.9 ± 2.2</td>
<td>12.0 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Cutaneous</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>L. panamensis</td>
<td>10.0 ± 3.7</td>
<td>&gt;32.0</td>
<td>69.0 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Uncontrolled arterial hypertension</td>
<td>Mucocutaneous</td>
<td>~50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>L. panamensis</td>
<td>15.9 ± 3.2</td>
<td>ND †</td>
<td>40.0 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 F</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Breast adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Cutaneous</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Glucantim® (2 incomplete)</td>
<td>L. braziliensis</td>
<td>10.5 ± 4.1</td>
<td>8.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>38.0 ± 9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 M</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Heart failure, uncontrolled arterial hypertension</td>
<td>Cutaneous</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>L. braziliensis</td>
<td>20.3 ± 2.5</td>
<td>&gt;32.0</td>
<td>116 ± 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 M</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Pharmacological immunosuppression</td>
<td>Mucosal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Glucantim® (1 complete)</td>
<td>L. braziliensis</td>
<td>10.7 ± 3.0</td>
<td>12.0 ± 5.2</td>
<td>55.0 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Susceptibility assays were conducted with intracellular amastigote stage. Data represent at least two experimental replicates for clinical isolates.

The EC$_{50}$ was Not Determined (ND) as data for the dose response curve for 2/3 independent experiments did not allow calculation of confidence intervals.

EC$_{50}$: 50% effective dose. HePC (hexadecylphosphocholine), miltefosine. Rx: Treatment. SD: Standard Deviation.
Figure 1. Miltefosine susceptibility of WT and an experimentally selected resistant line of *L. panamensis*. Dose-response to HePC of WT and experimentally selected miltefosine resistant controls (mean and SEM of three independent experiments), (A) Promastigotes, AUC p = 0.02; and (B) Amastigotes, AUC p<0.001. Survival is presented as the percent survival of promastigotes or intracellular amastigotes compared to drug-free control at each drug concentration. S: Sensitive, R: Resistant.

Figure 2. Susceptibility of clinical strains of *L. panamensis* and *L. braziliensis* to miltefosine as intracellular amastigotes and promastigotes. Median EC$_{50}$ of clinical strains, evaluated as intracellular amastigotes (N = 11) in at least two independent experiments, and as promastigotes (N = 12) in three independent experiments. The EC$_{50}$ of the strain of patient 3 isolated at treatment failure was not determined; data for the dose response curve for 2/3 independent experiments did not allow calculation of confidence intervals. Data were analyzed using the Mann Whitney non-parametric test. EC$_{50}$: 50% effective concentration.

Figure 3. Dose-response of intracellular amastigotes of clinical strains isolated from patients before treatment with miltefosine and at failure. (A-F) Susceptibility of strains isolated from each of the six patients (mean and SEM of three independent evaluations). Response is presented as the percent survival of intracellular amastigotes compared to drug-free control at each drug concentration.
Figure 4. Correlation of ABCA3 and LbMT expression with miltefosine susceptibility of clinical strains of *L. panamensis* and *L. braziliensis*. (A) Strains with low miltefosine susceptibility (N = 5) presented significantly lower ABCA3 transporter gene expression compared with sensitive (N = 7) strains. (B) Expression of ABCA3 was inversely correlated with the susceptibility of clinical strains (N = 12) as intracellular parasite survival at 16 μmoles/L of miltefosine. (C) LbMT expression was not correlated with miltefosine susceptibility in clinical strains of *L. panamensis* and *L. braziliensis*. The data correspond to three independent evaluations of 12 clinical strains of *L. panamensis* and *L. braziliensis*. (D) Significant reduction of LbMT gene expression was observed in strains of *L. panamensis* and *L. braziliensis* presenting diminished susceptibility to miltefosine at treatment failure. Data represent the mean and SEM of seven independent experiments.

Figure 5. Sequence analysis of the LbMT gene. PCR products of the LbMT gene from the 6 pairs of strains (N=12 strains) isolated before treatment and at treatment failure were sequenced. The presence of G630A, C1259A and T2567C SNPs, shaded in gray, were analyzed in relation to the susceptibility phenotype of each strain, showing no association between these SNPs and susceptibility to miltefosine. * Numbering refers to the number assigned to the patient from which the strain was isolated from **: L.b, *L. braziliensis*; ***: L.p, *L. panamensis*. Sequences were aligned to the reference *L. braziliensis* sequence LbrM.13.1380.
Figure 6. Principal component (PC) analysis score plot of transporter gene expression in *L. panamensis* and *L. braziliensis* promastigotes. Each point represents an individual strain. PC1 reflects expression of abca2, abca3, abcc3, abcg4 and abcg6 transporter genes, and PC2 expression of abcc2 and LbMT.
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Figure A: ABCA3 Gene expression (Gene / β-tubulin ratio) vs. Sensitive vs. Low susceptibility.

Figure B: ABCA3 Gene expression (Gene / β-tubulin ratio) vs. % Viable parasites at 16 μmoles/L HePC.

Figure C: LbMT Gene expression (Gene / β-tubulin ratio) vs. % Viable parasites at 16 μmoles/L HePC.

Figure D: LbMT Gene expression (Gene / β-tubulin ratio) vs. Patient strain.

Legend:
- L. braziliensis
- L. panamensis

Statistical values:
- ABCA3: p = 0.037
- ABCA3: r = -0.605, p = 0.037
- LbMT: r = 0.0, p = 1.000
- LbMT: p = 0.039
- LbMT: p = 0.009

Before treatment vs. At treatment failure.