

Antimicrobial Susceptibilities of *Corynebacterium* Species and Other Non-Spore-Forming Gram-Positive Bacilli to 18 Antimicrobial Agents

FRANCISCO SORIANO,* JAVIER ZAPARDIEL, AND EVA NIETO

Department of Medical Microbiology, Fundación Jimenez Díaz, 28040 Madrid, Spain

Received 17 May 1994/Returned for modification 28 September 1994/Accepted 31 October 1994

The susceptibilities of 265 strains of *Corynebacterium* species and other non-spore-forming gram-positive bacilli to 18 antimicrobial agents were tested. Most strains were susceptible to vancomycin, doxycycline, and fusidic acid. *Corynebacterium jeikeium* and *Corynebacterium urealyticum* were the most resistant organisms tested. Resistance to β -lactams, clindamycin, erythromycin, azithromycin, ciprofloxacin and gentamicin was common among strains of *Corynebacterium xerosis* and *Corynebacterium minutissimum*. Ampicillin resistance among *Listeria monocytogenes* was more prevalent than previously reported. Optochin, fosfomicin, and nitrofurantoin showed very little activity against most organisms tested, but the use of nitrofurantoin as a selective agent in culture medium may prevent the recovery of some isolates. Except for the unvarying activity of vancomycin against *Corynebacterium* species, the antimicrobial susceptibilities of the latter to other antibiotics are usually unpredictable, such that susceptibility tests are necessary for selecting the best antimicrobial treatment.

During the last two decades a renewed interest in *Corynebacterium* species and other non-spore-forming gram-positive bacilli has emerged among clinicians and microbiologists alike (2, 8, 9, 32). Infections caused by these organisms are emerging, new species are being recognized (2, 9), and infections by toxigenic and nontoxigenic *Corynebacterium diphtheriae* strains are also being described with increasing frequency, indeed, in countries where diphtheria had been totally or almost eradicated (7, 26). However, this renewed interest has not been followed by an in-depth study to determine the antimicrobial susceptibilities of such organisms. Most available data come from scattered case reports, studies on a particular organism, or very old reports, sometimes published before 1960 (9).

The aim of the study described here was to determine the antimicrobial susceptibilities of a variety of organisms, most of which were isolated from clinical specimens, against 18 antimicrobial agents.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains. We tested 265 strains of *Corynebacterium* species and other non-spore-forming gram-positive bacilli obtained from the following different sources: clinical samples ($n = 141$), skin ($n = 25$), bacterial collections ($n = 19$), and referrals to our laboratory from other institutions ($n = 80$). Strains from clinical samples were isolated during the period from 1985 to 1993. All strains were identified by conventional methods (2) and also by using the API Coryne system (25), were stored frozen in 10% skim milk, and were maintained at -70°C until use.

Antimicrobial agents. The following antibiotics were kindly provided by the manufacturers as powders for in vitro study: ampicillin and oxacillin (SmithKline Beecham Laboratories, Worthing, United Kingdom), cephalothin and vancomycin (Lilly, S.A., Madrid, Spain), cefuroxime (Glaxo Laboratories, Madrid, Spain), imipenem (Merck Sharp & Dohme, Madrid, Spain), tetracycline, doxycycline, and azithromycin (Pfizer S.A., Madrid, Spain), erythromycin (Abbott Laboratories, Madrid, Spain), clindamycin (Upjohn Co., Madrid, Spain), rifampin (Merrel Dow España, Madrid, Spain), fosfomicin (Cepa, Madrid, Spain), gentamicin (Antibióticos S.A., Madrid, Spain), and ciprofloxacin (Bayer AG, Barcelona, Spain). Optochin, fusidic acid, and nitrofurantoin were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, Mo.). Antimicrobial agents were dissolved and diluted

as indicated by the manufacturers or by following the recommendations of the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (15).

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing. The activities of the antimicrobial agents were determined by an agar dilution method (15) with Mueller-Hinton agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom), which was supplemented with 5% sheep blood for some organisms. Inocula of approximately 10^4 CFU per spot were applied to the surfaces of plates, which were incubated for 24 to 48 h at 35°C in ambient air. *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213, *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 29212, and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* FJD-705 were used as controls. Breakpoints for susceptibility were as follows: erythromycin and clindamycin, ≤ 0.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$; ciprofloxacin, nitrofurantoin, and rifampin, ≤ 1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$; ampicillin, oxacillin, fusidic acid, and azithromycin, ≤ 2 $\mu\text{g/ml}$; imipenem, vancomycin, gentamicin, tetracycline, and doxycycline, ≤ 4 $\mu\text{g/ml}$; cephalothin and cefuroxime, ≤ 8 $\mu\text{g/ml}$; and fosfomicin, ≤ 32 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. No breakpoint for susceptibility to optochin was defined.

RESULTS

The results of susceptibility studies are given in Table 1. Ampicillin, cephalothin, cefuroxime, and imipenem were active against many isolates. However, resistance to these β -lactam antibiotics was quite common among strains of *Corynebacterium jeikeium*, *Corynebacterium urealyticum*, and to a lesser extent, *Corynebacterium xerosis*, *Corynebacterium minutissimum*, *Corynebacterium striatum*, *Corynebacterium aquaticum*, *Rhodococcus* sp., and *Oerskovia* spp. Of interest were the different activities of cephalothin and cefuroxime against *Listeria monocytogenes*, which was more susceptible to cephalothin than to cefuroxime. Oxacillin had limited activity, although many strains of *Arcanobacterium hemolyticum*, *Actinomyces pyogenes*, *C. diphtheriae*-*Corynebacterium ulcerans*, *Corynebacterium pseudodiphtheriticum*, *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis*, *Corynebacterium renale* group, and *Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae* were inhibited by 2 μg of this antibiotic per ml. The activities of clindamycin, erythromycin, and azithromycin against the organisms tested varied. As a whole, clindamycin was less active than the macrolides, but resistance to erythromycin and azithromycin was very frequent among *C. jeikeium*, *C. urealyticum*, *C. xerosis*, *C. striatum*, and *Oerskovia* spp. Rifampin was active against most strains tested, although *E. rhusiopathiae* was resistant, as were many strains of *C. striatum* and several other species. Ciprofloxacin was active

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Medical Microbiology, Fundación Jimenez Díaz, Avenida de Reyes Católicos 2, 28040 Madrid, Spain. Phone: (1) 5491100. Fax: (1) 5494764.

TABLE 1. MICs of 18 antimicrobial agents for *Corynebacterium* species and other non-spore-forming gram-positive bacilli^a

Organism (no. of isolates)	Antimicrobial agent	MIC ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) ^b		
		Range	50%	90%
<i>C. jeikeium</i> (43)	Ampicillin	≤ 0.015 –>256	256	>256
	Oxacillin	≤ 0.015 –>256	>256	>256
	Cephalothin	0.060–>256	>256	>256
	Cefuroxime	0.060–>256	>256	>256
	Imipenem	≤ 0.003 –>256	>256	>256
	Tetracycline	0.5–>256	2	64
	Doxycycline	0.250–8	1	4
	Erythromycin	0.030–>256	>256	>256
	Azithromycin	0.125–>256	>256	>256
	Clindamycin	0.125–>256	>256	>256
	Rifampin	≤ 0.003 –>256	≤ 0.003	64
	Fusidic acid	0.5–32	2	32
	Ciprofloxacin	0.030–128	2	64
	Gentamicin	0.060–>256	>256	>256
	Vancomycin	0.250–0.5	0.250	0.5
	Optochin	256–>256	256	>256
	Fosfomycin	>256	>256	>256
	Nitrofurantoin	4–>256	16	256
<i>C. minutissimum</i> (20)	Ampicillin	0.030–32	0.250	32
	Oxacillin	0.250–>256	2	>256
	Cephalothin	0.060–128	0.250	128
	Cefuroxime	0.125–64	2	>256
	Imipenem	≤ 0.003 –4	0.030	4
	Tetracycline	0.125–64	4	64
	Doxycycline	0.060–1	0.250	1
	Erythromycin	0.030–>256	0.5	>256
	Azithromycin	0.125–>256	0.5	>256
	Clindamycin	0.250–>256	4	>256
	Rifampin	≤ 0.003 –256	≤ 0.003	256
	Fusidic acid	≤ 0.015 –0.250	0.030	0.060
	Ciprofloxacin	0.030–256	0.060	16
	Gentamicin	0.030–>256	0.060	>256
	Vancomycin	0.250–0.5	0.250	0.5
	Optochin	1–256	128	256
	Fosfomycin	>256	>256	>256
	Nitrofurantoin	0.5–256	16	256
<i>C. pseudodiphtheriticum</i> (12)	Ampicillin	≤ 0.015 –0.250	0.030	0.060
	Oxacillin	≤ 0.015 –2	0.250	0.5
	Cephalothin	≤ 0.015 –0.125	≤ 0.015	≤ 0.015
	Cefuroxime	≤ 0.015 –1	0.060	0.060
	Imipenem	≤ 0.003 –0.120	≤ 0.003	0.015
	Tetracycline	1–2	1	2
	Doxycycline	0.250–0.5	0.250	0.250
	Erythromycin	≤ 0.015 –128	0.030	128
	Azithromycin	≤ 0.015 –>256	0.125	>256
	Clindamycin	0.030–>256	0.125	>256
	Rifampin	≤ 0.003	≤ 0.003	≤ 0.003
	Fusidic acid	≤ 0.015 –0.060	≤ 0.015	0.030
	Ciprofloxacin	≤ 0.015 –0.5	0.250	0.5
	Gentamicin	0.030–1	0.060	0.125
	Vancomycin	0.250–1	0.250	0.5
	Optochin	64–128	128	128
	Fosfomycin	256–>256	>256	>256
	Nitrofurantoin	32–256	128	256
<i>C. striatum</i> (11)	Ampicillin	0.250–2	0.5	2
	Oxacillin	2–32	8	32
	Cephalothin	0.125–16	0.250	2
	Cefuroxime	≤ 0.015 –4	1	4
	Imipenem	≤ 0.003 –0.250	0.030	0.125
	Tetracycline	0.5–64	8	64
	Doxycycline	0.125–16	0.5	2
	Erythromycin	≤ 0.015 –>256	8	256
	Azithromycin	0.060–>256	256	>256
	Clindamycin	1–>256	>256	>256

Continued on the following page

TABLE 1—Continued

Organism (no. of isolates)	Antimicrobial agent	MIC ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) ^b		
		Range	50%	90%
	Rifampin	≤ 0.003 –>256	2	>256
	Fusidic acid	≤ 0.015 –0.5	0.030	0.250
	Ciprofloxacin	0.060–32	0.250	16
	Gentamicin	0.030–4	2	2
	Vancomycin	0.250–0.5	0.250	0.5
	Optochin	16–256	128	128
	Fosfomycin	>256	>256	>256
	Nitrofurantoin	16–256	256	256
<i>C. urealyticum</i> (63)	Ampicillin	0.030–>256	>256	>256
	Oxacillin	0.125–>256	>256	>256
	Cephalothin	0.060–>256	256	>256
	Cefuroxime	0.060–>256	256	>256
	Imipenem	≤ 0.003 –>256	>256	>256
	Tetracycline	0.250–256	16	128
	Doxycycline	0.125–32	0.5	4
	Erythromycin	≤ 0.015 –>256	16	>256
	Azithromycin	0.030–>256	128	>256
	Clindamycin	0.030–>256	>256	>256
	Rifampin	≤ 0.003 –>256	0.015	4
	Fusidic acid	≤ 0.015 –2	0.125	1
	Ciprofloxacin	0.125–64	1	32
	Gentamicin	0.060–>256	>256	>256
	Vancomycin	0.125–0.5	0.5	0.5
	Optochin	64–>256	128	256
	Fosfomycin	128–>256	>256	>256
	Nitrofurantoin	0.250–>256	256	>256
<i>C. xerosis</i> (20)	Ampicillin	≤ 0.015 –>256	0.5	256
	Oxacillin	0.5–>256	8	>256
	Cephalothin	≤ 0.015 –>256	1	256
	Cefuroxime	0.060–256	0.5	256
	Imipenem	≤ 0.015 –64	0.060	8
	Tetracycline	0.030–8	1	4
	Doxycycline	0.030–1	0.5	1
	Erythromycin	≤ 0.015 –>256	256	>256
	Azithromycin	≤ 0.015 –>256	>256	>256
	Clindamycin	0.125–>256	>256	>256
	Rifampin	≤ 0.003 –32	≤ 0.003	4
	Fusidic acid	≤ 0.015 –0.250	0.030	0.030
	Ciprofloxacin	0.030–128	4	128
	Gentamicin	≤ 0.015 –>256	4	>256
	Vancomycin	0.250–2	0.5	0.5
	Optochin	8–>256	64	128
	Fosfomycin	256–>256	>256	>256
	Nitrofurantoin	0.030–256	128	256
CDC groups (31)	Ampicillin	≤ 0.015 –4	0.250	2
	Oxacillin	0.030–16	2	16
	Cephalothin	≤ 0.015 –16	0.125	1
	Cefuroxime	≤ 0.015 –4	0.250	2
	Imipenem	≤ 0.003 –4	0.030	1
	Tetracycline	0.125–32	1	16
	Doxycycline	≤ 0.015 –16	0.125	4
	Erythromycin	≤ 0.015 –>256	0.250	8
	Azithromycin	≤ 0.015 –>256	0.5	>256
	Clindamycin	0.030–>256	2	>256
	Rifampin	≤ 0.003 –256	0.007	0.060
	Fusidic acid	≤ 0.015 –4	0.125	1
	Ciprofloxacin	0.030–32	0.125	1
	Gentamicin	≤ 0.015 –64	0.060	2
	Vancomycin	0.060–4	0.250	1
	Optochin	16–>256	256	>256
	Fosfomycin	64–>256	>256	>256
	Nitrofurantoin	8–>256	32	256

Continued on following page

TABLE 1—Continued

Organism (no. of isolates)	Antimicrobial agent	MIC ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) ^b		
		Range	50%	90%
<i>Corynebacterium</i> spp. (20) ^c	Ampicillin	≤ 0.015 –8	0.125	2
	Oxacillin	≤ 0.015 –64	2	64
	Cephalothin	≤ 0.015 –8	0.125	4
	Cefuroxime	0.030–8	0.5	4
	Imipenem	≤ 0.015 –4	0.030	1
	Tetracycline	0.060–4	0.5	4
	Doxycycline	0.030–0.125	0.060	0.125
	Erythromycin	≤ 0.015 –0.06	≤ 0.015	0.060
	Azithromycin	≤ 0.015 –0.125	0.060	0.125
	Clindamycin	≤ 0.015 –4	0.250	4
	Rifampin	≤ 0.003 –0.015	≤ 0.003	0.007
	Fusidic acid	≤ 0.015 –1	0.030	1
	Ciprofloxacin	0.030–8	0.060	1
	Gentamicin	0.060–2	0.5	2
	Vancomycin	0.250–8	0.5	4
	Optochin	32–256	128	256
	Fosfomycin	64–>256	>256	>256
Nitrofurantoin	4–>256	64	>256	
<i>A. hemolyticum</i> - <i>A. pyogenes</i> (12)	Ampicillin	0.030–0.250	0.060	0.250
	Oxacillin	0.125–1	1	1
	Cephalothin	≤ 0.015 –0.060	≤ 0.015	0.060
	Cefuroxime	≤ 0.015 –0.250	0.125	0.250
	Imipenem	≤ 0.007 –0.030	0.015	0.030
	Tetracycline	0.125–2	0.250	1
	Doxycycline	0.060–2	0.125	1
	Erythromycin	≤ 0.015	≤ 0.015	≤ 0.015
	Azithromycin	≤ 0.015	≤ 0.015	≤ 0.015
	Clindamycin	≤ 0.015 –0.030	≤ 0.015	0.030
	Rifampin	≤ 0.003 –0.007	≤ 0.003	0.007
	Fusidic acid	0.060–0.5	0.125	0.125
	Ciprofloxacin	0.125–0.5	0.250	0.5
	Gentamicin	0.060–1	0.5	1
	Vancomycin	0.250–0.5	0.250	0.5
	Optochin	32–256	256	256
	Fosfomycin	32–128	128	128
Nitrofurantoin	1–64	32	32	
<i>R. equi</i> (8)	Ampicillin	2–16	8	
	Oxacillin	32–64	32	
	Cephalothin	4–>256	64	
	Cefuroxime	2–>256	4	
	Imipenem	0.125–0.250	0.250	
	Tetracycline	4–16	8	
	Doxycycline	0.250–0.5	0.5	
	Erythromycin	0.250–0.5	0.5	
	Azithromycin	1–2	2	
	Clindamycin	2–8	4	
	Rifampin	0.030–0.06	0.030	
	Fusidic acid	1–4	1	
	Ciprofloxacin	0.5–1	0.5	
	Gentamicin	0.125–1	0.5	
	Vancomycin	0.5	0.5	
	Optochin	256	256	
	Fosfomycin	>256	>256	
Nitrofurantoin	64–128	64		
<i>L. monocytogenes</i> (16)	Ampicillin	0.250–1	0.5	1
	Oxacillin	4–8	8	8
	Cephalothin	2–8	4	8
	Cefuroxime	32–256	128	256
	Imipenem	0.125–0.250	0.125	0.250
	Tetracycline	0.5–4	2	4
	Doxycycline	0.060–0.125	0.060	0.125
	Erythromycin	0.060–0.250	0.250	0.250
	Azithromycin	0.5–1	1	1
	Clindamycin	2–4	2	4

Continued on following page

TABLE 1—Continued

Organism (no. of isolates)	Antimicrobial agent	MIC ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) ^b			
		Range	50%	90%	
	Rifampin	≤ 0.003 –0.125	≤ 0.003	0.125	
	Fusidic acid	8	8	8	
	Ciprofloxacin	0.5–8	1	1	
	Gentamicin	0.125–1	0.5	0.5	
	Vancomycin	0.5–1	1	1	
	Optochin	256–>256	>256	>256	
	Fosfomycin	>256	>256	>256	
	Nitrofurantoin	8–32	16	32	
	<i>E. rhusiopathiae</i> (5)	Ampicillin	0.125–0.250	0.250	
		Oxacillin	0.125–0.250	0.250	
Cephalothin		0.125–1	1		
Cefuroxime		2–8	4		
Imipenem		0.006	0.006		
Tetracycline		0.5–1	1		
Doxycycline		0.125–0.250	0.250		
Erythromycin		0.125	0.125		
Azithromycin		0.030–0.060	0.060		
Clindamycin		≤ 0.015 –0.060	0.030		
Rifampin		64–256	128		
Fusidic acid		0.125–0.250	0.250		
Ciprofloxacin		0.030–0.060	0.030		
Gentamicin		2–4	4		
Vancomycin		16–64	64		
Optochin		128–256	256		
Fosfomycin	16–64	32			
Nitrofurantoin	4–8	4			
<i>Oerskovia</i> spp. (4)	Ampicillin	8	8		
	Oxacillin	64	64		
	Cephalothin	4–8	4		
	Cefuroxime	8	8		
	Imipenem	1–2	1		
	Tetracycline	8	8		
	Doxycycline	0.250	0.250		
	Erythromycin	1–2	1		
	Azithromycin	0.5	0.5		
	Clindamycin	4	4		
	Rifampin	≤ 0.003 –2	≤ 0.003		
	Fusidic acid	1	1		
	Ciprofloxacin	1–8	8		
	Gentamicin	1–4	2		
	Vancomycin	0.125	0.125		
	Optochin	64–256	256		
Fosfomycin	>256	>256			
Nitrofurantoin	256	256			

^a A total of 265 isolates were tested.

^b 50% and 90%, MICs at which 50 and 90% of isolates are inhibited, respectively.

^c *C. aquaticum* (n = 4), *C. diphtheriae* (n = 2), *C. ulcerans* (n = 6), *C. pseudotuberculosis* (n = 4), and *C. renale* group (n = 4).

against many isolates, but again, resistance was common among strains of *C. jeikeium*, *C. urealyticum*, *C. xerosis*, and *Oerskovia* spp. Tetracycline and especially doxycycline were very active against most strains tested, with all MICs at which 90% of isolates are inhibited being equal to or less than 4 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Only a few strains of *C. jeikeium*, *C. urealyticum*, and *C. striatum* and several strains from the CDC groups of coryneforms were resistant to doxycycline. Fusidic acid was also active against most organisms tested, with only a few strains of *C. jeikeium* and *L. monocytogenes* being resistant to this drug at concentrations greater than 4 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Gentamicin was active against most organisms except *C. jeikeium* and *C. urealyticum* and some strains of *C. xerosis* and *C. minutissimum*. Vancomycin was the most active antibiotic against these organisms, which, with the exception of *E. rhusiopathiae*, were all inhibited

by 4 μg of vancomycin per ml. Finally, optochin, fosfomycin, and nitrofurantoin showed little activity against the organisms tested, although nitrofurantoin was active against a few strains of *C. xerosis*, *C. urealyticum*, *C. minutissimum*, *C. diphtheriae*-*C. ulcerans*, *C. jeikeium*, *E. rhusiopathiae*, and *A. hemolyticum*-*A. pyogenes*. Although any breakpoint for susceptibility to optochin was not defined, the MICs at which 50% of all strains are inhibited were ≥ 64 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Multiple antibiotic resistance was common not only in *C. jeikeium* and *C. urealyticum* but also in *C. xerosis* and *C. minutissimum*.

DISCUSSION

The most active antibiotics against *Corynebacterium* species and other non-spore-forming gram-positive bacilli were vanco-

mycin, doxycycline, and fusidic acid. The resistance of *E. rhusiopathiae* to vancomycin is an important point to consider, since vancomycin is frequently recommended as empiric therapy for serious gram-positive infections (6), with it being practically the only organism resistant to this antibiotic. Resistance to vancomycin has been recently reported in *C. aquaticum* and CDC group B1 (30). A few strains of *C. jeikeium*, *C. urealyticum*, *C. striatum*, and CDC groups of coryneforms were also resistant to doxycycline, which was more active than tetracycline. For only *L. monocytogenes* and a few strains of *C. jeikeium* were the MICs of fusidic acid equal to or greater than 8 µg/ml, but all other organisms were inhibited by 2 µg of fusidic acid per ml.

All β-lactam antibiotics except oxacillin were active against many organisms, although many strains of *C. jeikeium* and *C. urealyticum* were highly resistant to these drugs, as has been reported previously (3, 5, 19, 23). *C. striatum*, *Rhodococcus equi*, and *Oerskovia* spp. were not susceptible or were only marginally susceptible to several β-lactam antibiotics, and of particular concern is the increasing number of *C. xerosis*, *C. minutissimum*, and *C. striatum* isolates resistant to β-lactam antibiotics. These organisms had been considered susceptible to penicillins, but our results and other scattered reports (10, 13, 17, 18, 20, 27, 28, 31) suggest that penicillin-resistant strains are appearing. The dissociated susceptibility of *L. monocytogenes* to cephalothin and cefuroxime has been described previously, and this organism is also resistant to ceftazidime (11). Our results show an increase in the MICs of ampicillin for *L. monocytogenes* in comparison with those given in other reports (21). Although the inoculum size and the media used to determine antimicrobial susceptibility in *L. monocytogenes* seem to affect the results (11), a careful follow-up of the susceptibilities over time should be carried out.

Lincosamides and macrolides had been considered good therapeutic alternatives, but numerous resistant strains have now appeared among many organisms. Nevertheless, macrolide antibiotics (erythromycin and azithromycin) were more active than clindamycin against most strains tested. Several scattered reports have shown resistance to these antibiotics in *C. pseudodiphtheriticum* (1, 12), *C. xerosis* (9, 10, 31), *C. striatum* (18, 22, 28), *C. minutissimum* (27), and *A. pyogenes* (4). Rifampin was also very active against most organisms tested except *E. rhusiopathiae* and *C. striatum*. A case of recurrent pneumonia caused by a rifampin-resistant *Rhodococcus equi* strain in a patient infected with human immunodeficiency virus has been described (16). Ciprofloxacin was very active against many isolates, but again, resistant strains appeared in several species, mainly *C. jeikeium*, *C. urealyticum*, *C. xerosis*, *C. minutissimum*, and *C. striatum*, suggesting that resistance to this drug is apparently increasing (10, 18, 28).

Gentamicin was very active against most isolates, although resistance was quite common mainly in *C. jeikeium*, *C. urealyticum*, *C. xerosis*, and *C. minutissimum*, as has been reported previously (3, 9, 10, 19, 23, 27). Aminoglycoside antibiotics are probably not the drugs of choice for the treatment of most infections caused by these organisms but could be useful in combination with other antibiotics, particularly β-lactams, for some severe infections such as endocarditis (14).

Optochin, fosfomicin, and nitrofurantoin showed little activity against most isolates. Fosfomicin and the nitrofurans have been proposed as selective agents in some selective media that can be used to isolate corynebacteria (24, 29). Nevertheless, we must take into account the fact that some strains of *C. xerosis*, *C. urealyticum*, *C. minutissimum*, *C. diphtheriae*-*C. ulcerans*, *E. rhusiopathiae*, and *A. hemolyticum*-*A. pyogenes* may be inhibited by low concentrations of nitrofurantoin.

The organisms included in the present study may be mainly involved in cases of bacteremia, endocarditis, meningitis, and respiratory, skin, soft tissue, and urinary tract infections. In the early 1980s, because of the limited information available on the susceptibilities of corynebacteria to antimicrobial agents, erythromycin was suggested as the drug of choice for therapy of disease caused by all species of corynebacteria except *C. jeikeium* (9). We agree with a previous report recommending that vancomycin be used to treat serious infections caused by corynebacteria until susceptibility testing has been performed (31). *C. jeikeium*, *C. urealyticum*, *C. xerosis*, and *C. minutissimum* are, among the true *Corynebacterium* species, those having multiple antibiotic resistances. The antimicrobial susceptibilities of many of the organisms tested are therefore not always predictable; resistance to many antibiotics is increasing, and so determination of their susceptibilities may be necessary in order to obtain the best therapeutic results. The ultimate therapeutic regimen must be chosen according to the in vitro results, the location of the infection, and previous clinical experience. Our results may be of utility when prescribing antibiotics in cases in which any of the organisms tested in the present study are involved in clinical infections.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias de la Seguridad Social (90/233) and Fundación Conchita Rábago.

REFERENCES

- Colt, H. G., J. F. Morris, B. J. Marston, and D. Sewell. 1991. Necrotizing tracheitis caused by *Corynebacterium pseudodiphtheriticum*: unique case and review. *Rev. Infect. Dis.* **13**:73-76.
- Coyle, M. B., and B. A. Lipsky. 1990. Coryneform bacteria in infectious diseases: clinical and laboratory aspects. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **3**:227-246.
- Fernández-Roblas, R., S. Prieto, M. Santamaría, C. Ponte, and F. Soriano. 1987. Activity of nine antimicrobial agents against *Corynebacterium* group D2 isolated from clinical specimens and skin. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **31**:821-822.
- Gahrn-Hansen, B., and W. Frederiksen. 1992. Human infections with *Actinomyces pyogenes* (*Corynebacterium pyogenes*). *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **15**:349-354.
- Gill, V. J., C. Manning, M. Lamson, P. Woltering, and P. A. Pizzo. 1981. Antibiotic-resistant group JK bacteria in hospitals. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **13**:472-477.
- Gorby, G. L., and J. E. Peacock. 1988. *Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae*: microbiologic, epidemiologic, and clinical features of an occupational disease. *Rev. Infect. Dis.* **10**:317-325.
- Gruner, E., P. L. F. Zuber, G. Martinetti Luchini, A. von Graevenitz, and M. Altwegg. 1992. A cluster of nontoxicogenic *Corynebacterium diphtheriae* infections among Swiss intravenous drug abusers. *Med. Microbiol. Lett.* **1**:160-167.
- Johnson, W. D., and D. Kaye. 1970. Serious infections caused by diphtheroids. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **174**:568-576.
- Lipsky, B. A., A. C. Goldberger, L. S. Tompkins, and J. J. Plorde. 1982. Infections caused by nondiphtheria corynebacteria. *Rev. Infect. Dis.* **4**:1220-1235.
- Lortholary, O., A. Buu-Hoï, J. Y. Fagon, J. Pierre, M. Slama, L. Gutmann, and J. F. Acar. 1993. Mediastinitis due to multiply resistant *Corynebacterium xerosis*. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **16**:172.
- MacGowan, A. P., H. A. Holt, M. J. Bywater, and D. S. Reeves. 1990. In vitro antimicrobial susceptibility of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolated in the UK and other *Listeria* species. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **9**:767-770.
- Morris, A., and I. Guild. 1991. Endocarditis due to *Corynebacterium pseudodiphtheriticum*: five case reports, review, and antibiotic susceptibilities of nine strains. *Rev. Infect. Dis.* **13**:887-892.
- Munnely, P., A. A. O'Brien, D. P. Moore, J. A. Keane, and J. A. B. Keogh. 1988. *Corynebacterium xerosis* septicaemia in a haemodialysis patient. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* **3**:87-88.
- Murray, B. E., A. W. Karchmer, and R. C. Moellering. 1980. Diphtheroid prosthetic valve endocarditis. *Am. J. Med.* **69**:838-848.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. 1990. Approved standard M7-A2. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility test for bacteria that grow aerobically, 2nd ed. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Villanova, Pa.
- Nordman, P., P. Chavanet, J. Cailion, J. M. Duez, and H. Portier. 1991.

- Recurrent pneumonia due to rifampicin-resistant *Rhodococcus equi* in a patient infected with HIV. *J. Infect.* **24**:104–107.
17. **Nordman, P., and E. Ronco.** 1992. In-vitro antimicrobial susceptibility of *Rhodococcus equi*. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **29**:383–393.
 18. **Peiris, V., S. Fraser, C. Knowles, S. Norris, and C. Bennett.** 1994. Isolation of *Corynebacterium striatum* from three hospital patients. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **13**:36–38.
 19. **Philippon, A., and F. Bimet.** 1990. In vitro susceptibility of *Corynebacterium* group D2 and *Corynebacterium jeikeium* to twelve antibiotics. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **9**:892–895.
 20. **Porschen, R. K., Z. Goodman, and B. Rafai.** 1977. Isolation of *Corynebacterium xerosis* from clinical specimens: infection and colonization. *Am. J. Clin. Pathol.* **68**:290–293.
 21. **Poulsen, P. N., A. Carvajal, A. Lester, and J. Andreasen.** 1988. In vitro susceptibility of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolated from human blood and cerebrospinal fluid. A material from the years 1958–1985. *APMIS* **96**:223–228.
 22. **Roberts, M. C., R. B. Leonard, A. Briselden, F. D. Schoenknecht, and M. B. Coyle.** 1992. Characterization of antibiotic-resistant *Corynebacterium striatum* strains. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **30**:463–474.
 23. **Santamaria, M., C. Ponte, I. Wilhelmi, and F. Soriano.** 1985. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Corynebacterium* group D2. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **28**:845–846.
 24. **Smith, R. F.** 1969. A medium for the study of the ecology of human cutaneous diphtheroids. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* **57**:411–417.
 25. **Soto, A., J. Zapardiel, and F. Soriano.** 1994. Evaluation of API Coryne system for identifying coryneform bacteria. *J. Clin. Pathol.* **47**:756–759.
 26. **Tiley, S. M., K. R. Kociuba, L. G. Heron, and R. Munro.** 1993. Infective endocarditis due to nontoxicogenic *Corynebacterium diphtheriae*: report of seven cases and review. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **16**:271–275.
 27. **Van Bosterhaut, B., R. Cuvelier, E. Serruys, F. Pouthier, and G. Wauters.** 1992. Three cases of opportunistic infection caused by propionic acid producing *Corynebacterium minutissimum*. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **11**:628–631.
 28. **Watkins, D. A., A. Chahine, R. J. Creger, M. R. Jacobs, and H. M. Lazarus.** 1993. *Corynebacterium striatum*: a diphtheroid with pathogenic potential. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **17**:21–25.
 29. **Wichmann, S., C. H. W. von Koenig, E. Becker-Boost, and H. Finger.** 1984. Isolation of *Corynebacterium* group JK from clinical specimens with a semi-selective medium. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **19**:204–206.
 30. **Williams, D. Y., S. T. Selepak, and V. J. Gill.** 1993. Identification of clinical isolates of nondiphtherial *Corynebacterium* species and their antibiotic susceptibility patterns. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **17**:23–28.
 31. **Wood, C. A.** 1993. Nosocomial infection of a pancreatic pseudocyst due to *Corynebacterium xerosis*. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **17**:934–935.
 32. **Young, V. H., W. F. Meyers, M. R. Moody, and S. C. Schimpff.** 1981. The emergence of coryneform bacteria as a cause of nosocomial infections in compromised hosts. *Am. J. Med.* **70**:646–650.