

Resazurin Microtiter Assay Plate Testing of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Susceptibilities to Second-Line Drugs: Rapid, Simple, and Inexpensive Method

Anandi Martin,^{1*} Mirtha Camacho,² Françoise Portaels,¹ and Juan Carlos Palomino¹
Mycobacteriology Unit, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp 2000, Belgium,¹ and Tuberculosis Laboratory, Instituto Nacional de Laboratorios de Salud, Miraflores, La Paz, Bolivia²

Received 17 April 2003/Returned for modification 18 June 2003/Accepted 1 July 2003

The emergence of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis calls for new, rapid drug susceptibility tests. We have tested 150 *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates against the second-line drugs ethionamide, kanamycin, capreomycin, ofloxacin, and *para*-aminosalicylic acid by the colorimetric resazurin microtiter assay and the proportion method. By visual reading, MICs were obtained after 8 days. A very good correlation between results by the colorimetric resazurin microtiter assay and the proportion method was obtained. The colorimetric resazurin microtiter assay is inexpensive, rapid, and simple to perform, and implementation of the assay is feasible for low-resource countries.

The global situation with respect to tuberculosis (TB) has worsened with the emergence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) TB worldwide (5, 36). MDR TB, defined by resistance to at least isoniazid and rifampin, is ubiquitous (12) and is most prevalent in some countries of Eastern Europe as well as China and India (14, 47). Immigration from areas where TB is endemic, human immunodeficiency virus infection (2, 16, 23, 28), homelessness, poor socioeconomic situations (6, 21), and prison populations (10, 39, 41) are among the responsible factors. Some patients with MDR TB do not respond to treatment with first-line drugs (isoniazid, rifampin, ethambutol, pyrazinamide, and streptomycin) (17). Several studies have shown that MDR TB can be cured by a combination of second-line drugs under DOTS-Plus, the treatment strategy proposed by the World Health Organization to address the management of MDR TB in settings with good control programs (15, 18, 22, 30, 35, 48). However, these drugs are expensive, have to be taken for long periods, and can cause adverse reactions (48). Drug susceptibility testing (DST) with Löwenstein-Jensen (LJ) medium or Middlebrook agar requires 3 to 6 weeks to obtain results (7, 8). Critical drug concentrations for second-line drugs have not been completely established. The development of new, rapid DST which is easy to use and inexpensive is thus an urgent priority for determining the susceptibility to second-line drugs (29, 32). A rapid and inexpensive colorimetric method based on the oxidation-reduction indicators Alamar blue and MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium] have been successfully used for determining MICs of first-line drugs in DST of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (1, 9, 20, 31, 38, 49). Since resazurin has been recently identified as the main component of Alamar blue (34, 43), we recently developed a resazurin microtiter assay (REMA) plate (37) for detecting MDR TB and demonstrated a very good correlation

between results by this method and the proportion method (PM). In this study we evaluated the second-line drugs ethionamide (ETH), kanamycin monosulfate (KAN), capreomycin sulfate (CAP), ofloxacin (OFX), and *para*-aminosalicylic acid (PAS) with clinical isolates of *M. tuberculosis* by the colorimetric method using the REMA plate, and we compared the results with those of the PM.

One hundred fifty clinical isolates from Bolivia, Peru, and countries in Eastern Europe were studied. Fifty percent of the strains were MDR TB, 14% were polyresistant to first-line drugs, and 36% were susceptible. *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv (ATCC 27294) was used as the susceptible control.

ETH, OFX, and CAP were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, Mo.); KAN was obtained from ICN Biomedicals, Inc. (Aurora, Ohio); and PAS (4-aminosalicylic acid sodium salt hydrate, 98%) was obtained from Acros Organic NV (Geel, Belgium). Stock solutions at 1 mg/ml were filter sterilized and stored at -20°C . Working solutions were prepared at four times the final higher concentration in 7H9-S broth (Middlebrook 7H9 supplemented with 0.1% Casitone, 0.5% glycerol, and 10% OADC [oleic acid, albumin, dextrose, and catalase]; Becton-Dickinson). The final drug concentrations tested were as follows: for PAS and OFX, 8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$; for ETH and KAN, 20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$; and for CAP, 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$. Resazurin sodium salt powder from Acros Organic NV was prepared at 0.02% (wt/vol) in distilled water, sterilized by filtration, and stored at 4°C for up to 1 week.

Isolates were freshly subcultured on LJ medium. The inoculum was prepared in 7H9-S broth, adjusted spectrophotometrically to a no. 1 McFarland tube standard, and further diluted 1:10 in 7H9-S broth for the test.

The REMA plate assay was carried out as described by Palomino et al. (37). Briefly, 100 μl of 7H9-S broth was dispensed in each well of a sterile flat-bottom 96-well plate, and serial twofold dilutions of each drug were prepared directly in the plate. One hundred microliters of inoculum was added to each well. A growth control and a sterile control were also included for each isolate. Sterile water was added to all perim-

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Mycobacteriology Unit, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Nationalestraat, 155, Antwerp B-2000, Belgium. Phone: 32-3 2476334. Fax: 32-3 2476333. E-mail: amartin@itg.be.

TABLE 1. Susceptibility testing of 150 isolates of *M. tuberculosis* by the PM and the REMA plate method

Drug	MIC (µg/ml) by REMA plate method	PM	
		No. of resistant strains	No. of susceptible strains
ETH	<0.62		109
	1.25	1	10
	2.5 ^a		
	5.0	4	
	10.0	7	
	>20.0	19	
KAN	<0.62		70
	1.25		34
	2.5 ^a		17
	5.0	4	
	10.0	3	
	>20.0	22	
PAS	<0.25		13.3
	0.5		5
	1.0		2
	2.0 ^a		1
	4.0		
	>8.0	9	
OFX	<0.25		14.1
	0.5		1
	1.0		
	2.0 ^a		
	4.0	4	
	>8.0	4	
CAP	<0.30		29
	0.62		40
	1.25		53
	2.5 ^a	1	9
	5.0	5	
	10.0	11	

^a Tentative breakpoint concentration.

eter wells to avoid evaporation during the incubation. The plate was covered, sealed in a plastic bag, and incubated at 37°C under a normal atmosphere. After 7 days of incubation, 30 µl of resazurin solution was added to each well, and the plate was reincubated overnight. A change in color from blue to pink indicated the growth of bacteria, and the MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of drug that prevented this change in color. The drug concentration ranges used were as follows: for ETH and KAN, 0.62 to 20 µg/ml; for OFX and PAS, 0.25 to 8 µg/ml; and for CAP, 0.3 to 10 µg/ml.

The PM was performed with 7H11 agar or LJ medium (for PAS) (7, 8) with the following recommended critical drug concentrations: for PAS, 0.5 µg/ml; for ETH and CAP, 10 µg/ml; for OFX, 2 µg/ml; and for KAN, 6 µg/ml (3, 7, 8, 33).

To study the sensitivity of resistance detection by the REMA plate method and assuming similar growth kinetics, mixed populations of resistant and susceptible strains were prepared and tested. For each drug and in separate experiments, an increasing proportion (0 to 16%) of the resistant strain was added to the susceptible H37Rv strain, keeping the number of bacteria to a no. 1 McFarland tube standard. We determined the percentage of resistance by visual reading of the MICs.

The tentative breakpoints for each drug were based on re-

ceiver operating characteristic curve analysis (50) and calculated by using MedCalc (Mariakerke, Belgium) software, which gives the corresponding cutoff point with the best separation by the dot plot diagram. To evaluate the performance of the test, sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy values were calculated.

By visual reading of the assay plates, the MICs of the second-line drugs OFX, KAN, CAP, ETH, and PAS for 150 isolates of *M. tuberculosis* were determined, and the results were obtained after 8 days of incubation. Results of testing by the PM were available after 3 weeks. Isolates with discordant results were reevaluated by both methods. Table 1 shows the results of DST obtained by the PM and the REMA plate method. Among the 150 isolates, 31 were resistant to ETH, 29 were resistant to KAN, 9 were resistant to PAS, 8 were resistant to OFX, and 19 were resistant to CAP by the PM.

Complete agreement in results was found for KAN, PAS, and OFX by the two methods. Tentative breakpoint concentrations were defined as follows: for PAS and OFX, 2.0 µg/ml; and for KAN, 2.5 µg/ml. The tentative breakpoint concentration of ETH was 2.5 µg/ml. One discordant result was a finding of resistance by the PM and a finding of susceptibility by the REMA plate method at drug MICs of 1.25 µg/ml. The strain with these results was MDR. For CAP we propose a tentative breakpoint concentration of 2.5 µg/ml. Three isolates gave discordant results, as they were resistant by the PM and susceptible by the REMA plate method at drug MICs of 1.25 and 2.5 µg/ml, respectively. These strains were also MDR. The overall performance of the REMA plate method for the five antimicrobial agents in comparison with that of the PM was very good. The specificity was 100% for the five drugs, and the sensitivity ranged from 96.8 to 100%; for CAP the sensitivity was 84.2%. The accuracy of the REMA plate method was very good.

To determine if the REMA plate method could detect small populations of drug-resistant strains in the presence of a large proportion of susceptible strains by visual reading, we mixed




FIG. 1. Sensitivity of detection of resistant strains by visual reading. For each resistant strain (ETH, strain 99-1877; PAS, 99-3304; CAP, 00-1071; OFX, 01-2613; and KAN, 01-2614), a culture of H37Rv containing various proportions of the resistant strain (0 to 16%) was tested by the REMA plate method for MIC determination.

TABLE 2. Comparison of MICs obtained by different studies with *M. tuberculosis*

Method [reference(s)]	MIC ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)				
	ETH	KAN	OFX	CAP	PAS
REMA plate (this study)	2.5	2.5	2.0	2.5	2.0
BACTEC 460 (40)	1.25–2.5	2.5–5.0	1.0–2.0	1.25–2.5	— ^a
BACTEC 460 (44)	0.25–5.0	2.0–4.0	0.5–1.0	1.0–2.0	—
BACTEC 460 (11, 24, 25, 26)	0.3–1.25	—	0.5–2.0	1.5–3.0	—
Alamar blue (4)	—	2.5	—	—	—
Alamar blue (42)	—	—	1.0–2.0	—	—

^a —, not tested.

increasing concentrations of the resistant strain (0 to 16%) with the susceptible H37Rv strain and tested it with the relevant drug. Figure 1 shows that the REMA plate method was able to detect small populations of each resistant strain. Bacterial populations containing 1% or more of drug-resistant bacilli could easily be detected by visual reading of MICs of KAN, ETH, OFX, and CAP that were higher than their cutoff values. When PAS was used, we were able to detect 4% of the resistant bacteria.

In this study, for the first time, DST of 150 *M. tuberculosis* isolates has been performed with five second-line drugs by the REMA plate method. With the spread of MDR TB, there is an increasing demand for DST for second-line drugs. The development of better and faster diagnostic assays is an urgent priority for the management of MDR TB (32). The turnaround time is important for the patient to receive an early start of appropriate treatment. Standard laboratory methods are slow, with results not available before 4 to 8 weeks after testing. An inexpensive and rapid method for MDR TB detection and for DST of second-line drugs is desirable for low-resource countries. One of the few studies of MDR TB detection was a multicenter study by Pfyffer et al. (40), who examined second-line drugs and other antibiotics by using the BACTEC 460 system. This system is rapid and reliable (45, 46), but it requires heavy equipment and the use of radioisotopes, which limits its application. Preliminary studies of DST of MDR TB isolates using MTT, Alamar blue, or resazurin showed a good correlation with the conventional PM (1, 13, 19, 20, 31, 37, 49). The limitation of some proprietary dyes is the lack of knowledge of their compositions (27). Recently, resazurin, a nonproprietary product, has been identified as the main component of Alamar blue (34, 43). The REMA plate method has proven to be, in recent experience, a reliable method for the detection of MDR TB (37). In this study, the REMA plate method has also shown a high level of agreement with the conventional PM. Results are easily determined visually by reading the change to a stable color from blue to pink. The results can be also determined by spectrophotometry or fluorometry, but the cost of equipment is high and would limit its application in low-resource countries. By using populations of each resistant strain mixed with the susceptible strain H37Rv, the REMA plate method could detect the presence of the resistant population at a level as low as 1%. Our proposed breakpoint values fully agree with the drug MICs of previous studies (Table 2). An advantage of the microtiter format is that it allows the screening of several isolates in a short period of time. One disadvan-

tage, however, is biosafety, since the plates require the use of liquid medium and could generate aerosols. It has recently been shown that this format can be adapted for screw-cap tubes to avoid this situation (1, 19, 31). Cost is an important factor for low-resource countries. Resazurin greatly reduces the cost of this method, to approximately \$3 for each strain; it is even cheaper than MTT. Therefore, it would be feasible to implement this method in laboratories with limited resources. Tests like the REMA plate method described here have the potential in the future to be the method of choice for DST of *M. tuberculosis* and other mycobacteria. Our study helps to optimize the critical concentrations of second-line drugs for establishing this type of test.

This study was supported by the European Commission RDG (INCO-DEV Programme), project No. ICA4-CT-2001-10087, and by the Damien Foundation, Brussels, Belgium.

REFERENCES

- Abate, G., R. N. Mshana, and H. Miorner. 1998. Evaluation of a colorimetric assay based on 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) for rapid detection of rifampicin resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* 2:1011–1016.
- Barnes, P. F., D. L. Lakey, and W. J. Burman. 2002. Tuberculosis in patients with HIV infection. *Infect. Dis. Clin. N. Am.* 16:107–126.
- Bastian, I., and R. Colebunders. 1999. Treatment and prevention of multi-drug-resistant tuberculosis. *Drugs* 58:633–661.
- Bastian, I., L. Rigouts, J. C. Palomino, and F. Portaels. 2001. Kanamycin susceptibility testing of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* using *Mycobacterium* Growth Indicator Tube and a colorimetric method. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 45:1934–1936.
- Bloch, A. B., G. M. Cauthen, I. M. Onorato, K. G. Dansbury, G. D. Kelly, C. R. Driver, and D. E. Snider, Jr. 1994. Nationwide survey of drug-resistant tuberculosis in the United States. *JAMA* 271:665–671.
- Brudney, K., and J. Dobkin. 1991. Resurgent tuberculosis in New York City. Human immunodeficiency virus, homelessness, and the decline of tuberculosis control programs. *Am. Rev. Respir. Dis.* 144:745–749.
- Canetti, G., F. Froman, J. Grosset, P. Hauduroy, M. Langerova, H. T. Mahler, G. Meissner, D. A. Mitchison, and L. Sula. 1963. *Mycobacteria*: laboratory methods for testing drug sensitivity and resistance. *Bull. W. H. O.* 29:565–578.
- Canetti, G., W. Fox, A. Khomenko, H. T. Mahler, N. K. Menon, D. A. Mitchison, N. Rist, and N. A. Smelev. 1969. Advances in techniques of testing mycobacterial drug sensitivity, and the use of sensitivity tests in tuberculosis control programmes. *Bull. W. H. O.* 41:21–43.
- Caviedes, L., J. Delgado, and R. H. Gilman. 2002. Tetrazolium microplate assay as a rapid and inexpensive colorimetric method for determination of antibiotic susceptibility of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 40:1873–1874.
- Chaves, F., F. Dronza, M. D. Cave, M. Alonso-Sanz, A. Gonzalez-Lopez, K. D. Eisenach, A. Ortega, L. Lopez-Cubero, I. Fernandez-Martin, S. Catalan, and J. H. Bates. 1997. A longitudinal study of transmission of tuberculosis in a large prison population. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 155:719–725.
- Chen, C. H., J. F. Shih, P. J. Lindholm-Levy, and L. B. Heifets. 1989. Minimal inhibitory concentrations of rifabutin, ciprofloxacin, and ofloxacin against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolated before treatment of patients in Taiwan. *Am. Rev. Respir. Dis.* 140:987–989.
- Cohn, D. L., F. Bustreo, M. C. Raviglione, et al. 1997. Drug-resistant tuberculosis: review of the worldwide situation and the WHO/IUATLD Global Surveillance Project. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 24(Suppl. 1):S121–S130.
- Collins, L. A., and S. G. Franzblau. 1997. Microplate Alamar blue assay versus BACTEC 460 system for high-throughput screening of compounds against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Mycobacterium avium*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 41:1004–1009.
- Espinal, M. A., A. Laszlo, L. Simonsen, F. Boulahbal, S. J. Kim, A. Reniero, S. Hoffner, H. L. Rieder, N. Binkin, C. Dye, R. Williams, M. C. Raviglione, et al. 2001. Global trends in resistance to antituberculosis drugs. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 344:1294–1303.
- Espinal, M. A., C. Dye, M. Raviglione, and A. Kochi. 1999. Rational 'DOTS plus' for the control of MDR-TB. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* 3:561–563.
- Espinal, M. A., K. Laserson, M. Camacho, Z. Fusheng, S. J. Kim, R. E. Thali, I. Smith, P. Suarez, M. L. Antunes, A. G. George, N. Martin-Casabona, P. Simelane, K. Weyer, N. Binkin, and M. C. Raviglione. 2001. Determinants of drug-resistant tuberculosis: analysis of 11 countries. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* 5:887–893.

17. Espinal, M. A., S. J. Kim, P. G. Suarez, K. M. Kam, A. G. Khomenko, G. B. Migliori, J. Baez, A. Kochi, C. Dye, and M. C. Raviglione. 2000. Standard short-course chemotherapy for drug-resistant tuberculosis: treatment outcomes in 6 countries. *JAMA* **283**:2537–2545.
18. Farmer, P., and J. Y. Kim. 1998. Community based approaches to the control of multidrug resistant tuberculosis: introducing "DOTS-plus." *BMJ* **317**:671–674.
19. Foongladda, S., D. Roengsanthia, W. Arjattanakool, C. Chuchottaworn, A. Chairasert, and S. G. Franzblau. 2002. Rapid and simple MTT method for rifampicin and isoniazid susceptibility testing of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* **6**:1118–1122.
20. Franzblau, S. G., R. S. Witzig, J. C. McLaughlin, P. Torres, G. Madico, A. Hernandez, M. T. Degnan, M. B. Cook, V. K. Quenzer, R. M. Ferguson, and R. H. Gilman. 1998. Rapid, low-technology MIC determination with clinical *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates by using the microplate Alamar Blue assay. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **36**:362–366.
21. Frieden, T. R., T. Sterling, A. Pablos-Mendez, J. O. Kilburn, G. M. Cauthen, and S. W. Dooley. 1993. The emergence of drug-resistant tuberculosis in New York City. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **328**:521–526.
22. Gupta, R., M. C. Raviglione, and M. A. Espinal. 2001. Should tuberculosis programmes invest in second-line treatments for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB)? *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* **5**:1078–1079.
23. Harries, A. D., D. Maher, B. Mvula, and D. Nyangulu. 1995. An audit of HIV testing and HIV serostatus in tuberculosis patients, Blantyre, Malawi. *Tuber. Lung Dis.* **76**:413–417.
24. Heifets, L. B., and P. J. Lindholm-Levy. 1987. Bacteriostatic and bactericidal activity of ciprofloxacin and ofloxacin against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Mycobacterium avium* complex. *Tubercle* **68**:267–276.
25. Heifets, L. B., P. J. Lindholm-Levy, and M. Flory. 1991. Comparison of bacteriostatic and bactericidal activity of isoniazid and ethionamide against *Mycobacterium avium* and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Am. Rev. Respir. Dis.* **143**:268–270.
26. Heifets, L., and P. Lindholm-Levy. 1989. Comparison of bactericidal activities of streptomycin, amikacin, kanamycin, and capreomycin against *Mycobacterium avium* and *M. tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **33**:1298–1301.
27. Horobin, R. W. 2001. The problem of proprietary dyes, with special reference to Alamar blue, a proprietary dye revealed. *Biotech. Histochem.* **76**:163–164.
28. Kazionny, B., C. D. Wells, H. Lue, N. Gusseynova, and V. Molotilov. 2002. Implications of the growing HIV-1 epidemic for tuberculosis control in Russia. *Lancet* **358**:1513–1514.
29. Loddenkemper, R., D. Sagebiel, and A. Brendel. 2002. Strategies against multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *Eur. Respir. J. Suppl.* **36**:66s–77s.
30. Mitnick, C., J. Bayona, E. Palacios, S. Shin, J. Furin, F. Alcantara, E. Sanchez, M. Sarria, M. Becerra, M. C. Fawzi, S. Kapiga, D. Neuberger, J. H. Maguire, J. Y. Kim, and P. Farmer. 2003. Community-based therapy for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in Lima, Peru. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **348**:119–128.
31. Mshana, R. N., G. Tadesse, G. Abate, and H. Mörner. 1998. Use of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide for rapid detection of rifampin-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **36**:1214–1219.
32. Nachega, J. B., and R. E. Chaisson. 2003. Tuberculosis drug resistance: a global threat. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **36**:S24–S30.
33. NCCLS. 2000. Susceptibility testing of *Mycobacteria*, *Nocardia*, and other aerobic actinomycetes; tentative standard, 2nd ed. Document M24–T2. NCCLS, Wayne, Pa.
34. O'Brien, J., I. Wilson, T. Orton, and F. Pognan. 2000. Investigation of the Alamar blue (resazurin) fluorescent dye for the assessment of mammalian cell cytotoxicity. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **267**:5421–5426.
35. Pablos-Mendez, A., D. K. Gowda, and T. R. Frieden. 2002. Controlling multidrug-resistant tuberculosis and access to expensive drugs: a rational framework. *Bull. W. H. O.* **80**:489–495.
36. Pablos-Mendez, A., M. C. Raviglione, A. Laszlo, N. Binkin, H. L. Rieder, F. Bustreo, D. L. Cohn, C. S. Lambregts-van Weezenbeek, S. J. Kim, P. Chaulet, P. Nunn, et al. 1998. Global surveillance for antituberculosis-drug resistance, 1994–1997. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **338**:1641–1649.
37. Palomino, J.-C., A. Martin, M. Camacho, H. Guerra, J. Swings, and F. Portaels. 2002. Resazurin microtiter assay plate: simple and inexpensive method for detection of drug resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **46**:2720–2722.
38. Palomino, J. C., and F. Portaels. 1999. Simple procedure for drug susceptibility testing of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* using a commercial colorimetric assay. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **18**:380–383.
39. Pfyffer, G. E., A. Strassle, T. Van Gorkum, F. Portaels, L. Rigouts, C. Mathieu, F. Mirzoyev, H. Traore, and J. D. Van Embden. 2001. Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in prison inmates, Azerbaijan. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **7**:855–861.
40. Pfyffer, G. E., D. A. Bonato, A. Ebrahimzadeh, W. Gross, J. Hotaling, J. Kornblum, A. Laszlo, G. Roberts, M. Salfinger, F. Wittwer, and S. Siddiqi. 1999. Multicenter laboratory validation of susceptibility testing of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* against classical second-line and newer antimicrobial drugs by using the radiometric BACTEC 460 technique and the proportion method with solid media. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **37**:3179–3186.
41. Portaels, F., L. Rigouts, and I. Bastian. 1999. Addressing multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in penitentiary hospitals and in the general population of the former Soviet Union. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* **3**:582–588.
42. Prachartam, R., K. Angkananukool, and A. Vibhagool. 2001. In vitro susceptibility testing of levofloxacin and ofloxacin by microtiter plate Alamar blue against multidrug and non multidrug resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in Thailand. *J. Med. Assoc. Thai.* **84**:1241–1245.
43. Rasmussen, E. V. 1999. Use of fluorescent redox indicators to evaluate cell proliferation and viability. *In Vitro Mol. Toxicol.* **12**:47–58.
44. Rastogi, N., V. Labrousse, and K. S. Goh. 1996. In vitro activities of fourteen antimicrobial agents against drug susceptible and resistant clinical isolates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and comparative intracellular activities against the virulent H37Rv strain in human macrophages. *Curr. Microbiol.* **33**:167–175.
45. Roberts, G. D., N. L. Goodman, L. Heifets, H. W. Larsh, T. H. Lindner, J. K. McClatchy, M. R. McGinnis, S. H. Siddiqi, and P. Wright. 1983. Evaluation of the BACTEC radiometric method for recovery of mycobacteria and drug susceptibility testing of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from acid-fast smear-positive specimens. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **18**:689–696.
46. Siddiqi, S. H., J. P. Libonati, and G. Middlebrook. 1981. Evaluation of rapid radiometric method for drug susceptibility testing of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **13**:908–912.
47. World Health Organization. 2001. Global tuberculosis control. WHO report WHO/CDS/B2001.287. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
48. World Health Organization. 2000. Guidelines for establishing DOTS-Plus pilot projects for the management of multidrug resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB). WHO report WHO/ODS/TB/2000.279. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
49. Yajko, D. M., J. J. Madej, M. V. Lancaster, C. A. Sanders, V. L. Cawthon, B. Gee, A. Babst, and W. K. Hadley. 1995. Colorimetric method for determining MICs of antimicrobial agents for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **33**:2324–2327.
50. Zeig, M. H., and G. Campbell. 1993. Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) plots: a fundamental evaluation tool in clinical medicine. *Clin. Chem.* **39**:561–577.