Novel Mechanism of Resistance to Oxazolidinones, Macrolides, and Chloramphenicol in Ribosomal Protein L4 of the Pneumococcus
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Two clinical Streptococcus pneumoniae isolates, identified as resistant to macrolides and chloramphenicol and nonsusceptible to linezolid, were found to contain 6-bp deletions in the gene encoding riboprotein L4. The gene transformed susceptible strain R6 so that it exhibited such resistance, with the transformants also showing a fitness cost. We demonstrate a novel bacterial mechanism of resistance to chloramphenicol and nonsusceptibility to linezolid.

Macrolide resistance in Streptococcus pneumoniae is predominantly caused by acquisition of the erm(B) (target modification) (33) or mef(A) (drug efflux) (28) gene or a combination of these mechanisms (16). Mutations in 23S rRNA and riboproteins L4 and L22 have more recently been found to confer macrolide resistance (6, 29, 30). Nonsusceptibility to linezolid in laboratory-generated resistant Escherichia coli isolates (35) and enterococci (21) as well as in clinical isolates of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (17, 32) and enterococci (4, 15) has been found to be conferred by mutations in domain V of 23S rRNA. To date, linezolid-nonsusceptible pneumococcal strains are extremely rare. Chloramphenicol resistance in the pneumococcus occurs by acquisition of the cat gene encoding chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (3, 18). Chloramphenicol acetyltransferase acetylates chloramphenicol, resulting in derivatives that are unable to bind the ribosome (22, 23). In this study, two clinical pneumococcal isolates with elevated macrolide, linezolid, and chloramphenicol MICs were identified and investigated for their resistance mechanisms.

PU1071099 (PROTEKT surveillance study) (7) was isolated in Boston in 2001 from a 67-year-old, and TN33388 (ABCs program of the Centers for Disease Control) was isolated in Tennessee in 2003 from the blood of a 32-year-old who had been exposed to long-term azithromycin prophylaxis. Unencapsulated laboratory strain S. pneumoniae R6 was used in transformation studies. Pneumococci were routinely cultured at 37°C in 5% CO2 on Mueller-Hinton agar supplemented with 5% horse blood. MICs were determined according to the CLSI (NCCLS) broth microdilution method (19). Serotyping was by the Quellung reaction with antisera from the Statens Serum Institut (Copenhagen, Denmark). Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) was performed as previously described (5) with primers described by Gertz et al. (9). MLST alleles were determined using the Wisconsin version 10.2 package (Genetics Computer Group, Madison, Wisconsin). Sequence types were assigned using the MLST database (http://spneumoniae.mlst.net/). Phenotypic data for both isolates are shown in Table 1. Based on MLST analyses, the isolates were determined to be clonally unrelated.

Chromosomal DNA was extracted as previously described (24). PCR-based methods were used to screen for the erm(B), mef(A) (27), and cat (34) genes, for which both isolates were negative. The four alleles encoding 23S rRNA were amplified separately according to previously described methods (6, 29). Riboprotein genes rplD (L4) and rplV (L22) were amplified using primer pairs L4F (AAATCAGCAGTTAAAGCTGG) and L4R (GAGCTTTCAGTGATGACAGG) and L22F (GCTTGTTCCGATGACAGG) and L22R (ATTGGATGTA CTTTTTGACC), respectively. For each 50-μl reaction mixture, 3 μl of chromosomal DNA was added to a mix containing 2.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase, 1× reaction buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 200 μM (each) dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP, and 800 nM (each) forward and reverse primers. Cycling parameters were as follows: 94°C for 2 min; 94°C for 1 min, 54°C for 2 min, and 72°C for 3 min for 27 cycles; and 72°C for 10 min. Amplified products were purified from agarose gel with the GeneClean kit (Bio101, Inc., La Jolla, CA). DNA sequencing was performed using the BigDye Terminator cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and an Applied Biosystems model 310 automated DNA sequencer. Six-base-pair deletions resulting in the deletion of two amino acids from L4 were found in both isolates (Table 1). The mutation in
TN33388 (63QKGT70 to 63Q--T70) is a novel mutation in *S. pneumoniae*. For both isolates, the genes encoding riboprotein L22 and 23S rRNA were found to be of the wild type compared with those in *S. pneumoniae* R6 and *S. pneumoniae* ATCC 33400.

The effect of the L4 mutations on susceptibility to protein synthesis-inhibiting antibiotics was investigated. *S. pneumoniae* R6 was made competent by culture in C-medium (31), and transformation was performed as previously described (25). The L4 gene was used as donor DNA, and transformants (four for each isolate) were selected on Mueller-Hinton agar supplemented with 5% horse blood and containing erythromycin (ERY; 0.25 to 0.5 μg/ml). MICs were determined and mutations confirmed by sequencing. R6PU1071099/L4 and R6TN33388/L4 transformants showed decreased susceptibility to ERY, clarithromycin, azithromycin, linezolid, and chloramphenicol in comparison with untransformed R6. A one-dilution increase in the streptogramin B MIC was observed for both sets of transformants. R6TN33388/L4 transformants additionally showed reduced susceptibility to clindamycin and quinupristin-dalfopristin (Table 1).

The L4 mutations detected in this study are likely to account for the macrolide resistance of the isolates since L4 mutations, most commonly in a highly conserved region (63KPWRQKGT GRAR74), have been shown to confer macrolide resistance in *S. pneumoniae* (29, 30). These mutations were also found to be responsible for the nonsusceptibility of the isolates to linezolid, with the MICs for the transformants being equivalent to those for the parent isolates. This represents a novel mechanism of linezolid nonsusceptibility as, in previous reports on gram-positive bacteria, resistance has been attributed to mutations in domain V of 23S rRNA (15, 32). Mutations in the L4 gene confer macrolide resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* (20).

Should these mutations be shown to confer nonsusceptibility to linezolid in *Staphylococcus aureus*, they would be of particular significance as linezolid is widely used for the treatment of infection with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. The L4 deletions described in this study were also found to confer a novel mechanism of resistance to chloramphenicol. Previous studies have indicated that although macrolides, linezolid, and chloramphenicol have different mechanisms of action, they appear to share a common binding site on the large ribosomal subunit. Suryanarayana (26) showed that extracted *E. coli* L4 binds to both ERY- and chloramphenicol-coupled affinity columns. In addition, chloramphenicol competes with the binding of the oxazolidinone eperezolid to the 50S ribosomal subunit.
(14) and mutations in domain V of 23S RNA of Halobacterium halobium confer resistance to linezolid as well as chloramphenicol (13). From this study, it can be concluded that L4 forms an integral part of this common binding site. The L4 deletion detected in PU1071099 has been previously described in group A streptococci (1, 2). However, susceptibility to linezolid and chloramphenicol was not determined in the previous studies. This mutation is also likely to confer nonsusceptibility to chloramphenicol and linezolid in Streptococcus pyogenes. The clinical significance of riboprotein mutations was emphasized by the death of a patient from an infection with a pneumococcal strain with macrolide resistance conferred by an L22 mutation (D. M. Musher, M. E. Dowell, V. D. Shortridge, R. K. Flamm, J. H. Jorgensen, P. Le Magueres, and K. L. Krause, Letter, N. Engl. J. Med. 346:630–631, 2002).

Growth studies were performed in duplicate by inoculating glycerol stocks of pneumococci into tryptone soy broth (1:100 dilution) and monitoring turbidity at 600 nm every 30 min for 9 h (Fig. 1). Mass doubling times (in minutes) during the exponential phase of growth were as follows: PU1071099, 53.9; TN33388, 53.4; untransformed R6, 59.6; R6\textsuperscript{PU1071099}L4, 88.1; and R6\textsuperscript{TN33388}L4, 102.6. The reduced growth rates of the transformants suggest that the L4 mutations are associated with a fitness cost. The \textit{rplD} gene is essential and is regarded as one of the minimal set of genes necessary for bacterial life (10). L4 forms a part of the exit tunnel of the large ribosomal subunit and is thought to be involved in processing of the nascent polypeptide chains (8). Mutations may inhibit antibiotic binding; however, as a consequence protein synthesis may be affected. Decreased growth rates may also be due to the fact that L4 mutations perturb the three-dimensional structure of 23S rRNA (12). In contrast, the mass doubling times of the clinical isolates were shorter than that for R6. Bacteria adapt to a decrease in fitness as a result of resistance mutations by developing compensatory mutations that restore their fitness without affecting resistance (11). Our data suggest that the isolates may have acquired such compensatory mutations.

In conclusion, we have for the first time described mutations in pneumococcal isolates conferring nonsusceptibility to linezolid together with a novel mechanism of simultaneous resistance to macrolides, oxazolidinones, and chloramphenicol.
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