Selective Killing of BacterialPersisters by a Single Chemical Compound without Affecting Normal Antibiotic-Sensitive Cells*†
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We show that 3-[4-(4-(methoxyphenyl)piperazin-1-yl)piperidin-4-yl]biphenyl-4-carboxylate (C10), screened out of a chemical library, selectively kills bacterial persisters that tolerate antibiotic treatment but does not affect normal antibiotic-sensitive cells. C10 led persisters to antibiotic-induced cell death by causing reversion of persisters to antibiotic-sensitive cells. This work is the first demonstration in which the eradication of bacterial persisters is based on single-chemical supplementation. The chemical should be versatile in elucidating the mechanism of persistence.

Bacterial persistence is a phenomenon in which a subpopulation of dormant cells, or persisters, tolerates antibiotic treatment. Persistence is different from resistance in that the cells do not change or acquire inheritable genetic elements but are genetically the same as the cells affected by antibiotics. Persistence has been observed in most microbial species and in relation to different classes of antibiotics in vivo and in vitro. Bacterial persisters have been implicated in biofilms and in chronic and recurrent infections (1, 3–6, 8, 10–14). Despite this clinical relevance, there are no viable means for eradicating persisters. More importantly, low-dose antibiotics are known to enhance the probability of resistant-cell emergence (7) and to promote persistence (9). Thus, a strategy to remove these persisters is a critical outstanding issue both for prevention of secondary infection and for prevention of resistant-cell emergence. The importance of persister eradication was highlighted in a recent study in which specific metabolic stimuli enabled the killing of persisters with aminoglycosides (2). In the present study, we show that persisters can be selectively killed by a single chemical compound without affecting the normal major bacterial population.

First, we confirmed that conventional antibiotics were effective only for the normal antibiotic-sensitive population but were not effective in killing the small fraction of persisters, which was the motivation of this study (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). Next, we screened for killers of persisters in a chemical library composed of 6,800 chemicals that were selected from ~200,000 chemicals (Korea Chemical Bank, Daejeon, South Korea) based on chemical scaffolds and physicochemical properties. In the primary screening (see Fig. S2a in the supplemental material), we selected chemicals that killed all cells in combination with ampicillin (Amp). Each chemical (25 μM) was mixed together with Amp (100 μg/ml) and Escherichia coli K-12 BW25113 (lacIq rhaBAD ΔrrnB_1663::Tn10 ΔhsrR214 ΔaraBAD_M435 ΔheBAD_L1780) (~10⁶ CFU/ml) in each well of 96-well microplates. After 9 h of incubation at 37°C in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium, a 10-μl aliquot from each well was transferred onto an LB agar plate using a 48-pin replica stamp. Because the persistence frequency (number of CFU after antibiotic treatment/number of initial CFU) was ~10⁻³ when cells were cultured in a 96-well microplate due to a low oxygen transfer rate (6, 10, 11), ~1,000 transferred persisters gave rise to a large spot on the LB plate after overnight incubation at 37°C. While most chemicals did not affect spot formation, 52 chemicals did not allow formation of such large spots (see Fig. S2a in the supplemental material). In order to exclude chemicals that affected normal cell growth, we performed a secondary screening by adding only chemicals in one column of wells and both Amp and chemicals in the next column of wells (see Fig. S2b in the supplemental material). Eight chemicals did not show apparent bactericidal activity when used alone but eradicated all cells only when used together with Amp (see the coupled circles in Fig. S2b in the supplemental material). Finally, bacterial cells were cotreated with the selected 8 chemicals and 5 μg/ml norfloxacin (Nor), which has a different action mechanism from Amp. Persistence frequency was evaluated after 9 h of incubation at 37°C. Some chemicals still effectively killed the persister cells by cooperating with Nor, while other chemicals affected persistence frequency less (see Fig. S2c in the supplemental material). Three chemicals that lowered the persistence frequency by more than 10-fold compared to that in the control treated with Nor alone were finally selected.

The chemical structures of the 3 selected chemicals are shown in Fig. 1a. In order to further assess the bactericidal activity of each chemical on normal cells, a serially diluted E. coli culture was treated with a 25 μM concentration of each
chemical for 9 h and transferred onto an LB plate. (E)-2-Nitro-5-styrylfuran (E04) exhibited weak bactericidal effects by itself, but 3-[4-(4-methoxyphenyl)piperazin-1-yl]piperidin-4-yl biphenyl-4-carboxylate (C10) did not show any apparent inhibitory effect on normal cells (Fig. 1b). For detailed observation, we evaluated the effects of the 3 chemicals on persistence frequency as a function of time during batch culture. C10 (Fig. 1c) and 1-{3-[bis(4-fluorophenyl)methoxy]phenyl}piperazine (H04) (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material) did not inhibit cell growth when they were used alone. C10 did not inhibit cell growth even at a 250 μM concentration (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). In contrast, E04 inhibited cell growth even without antibiotics (Fig. 1d). Cotreatment with C10 and Nor left no surviving colonies, even though C10 did not affect normal cell growth by itself (Fig. 1c). The efficacy of H04 was much lower than that of C10. Both C10 and E04 reduced persistence frequency in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 1e).

Antibiotic-induced cell death of bacteria is known to exhibit two distinct phases (3). In the initial phase, normal antibiotic-sensitive cells are killed at a high rate. Then a phase of slow cell death follows, during which the death of persisters occurs. To quantitatively describe the effects of drugs on persister death, the decimal reduction time (D) was calculated. The D value is the time required to reduce CFU by a factor of 10 and is the inverse slope when the y axis is on the common logarithmic scale. In the presence of Nor alone, the D value was 0.31 h during the first phase (1 h) while the D value for persisters was 5.8 h during the second phase (2 to 9 h). The combination of C10 with Nor resulted in the same 0.31-h D value for the initial time period but accelerated cell death during the second phase (2 to 9 h), with a D value of 1.4 h (0 CFU was treated as 10−1). In contrast to C10, E04 accelerated the death rate in the first phase, with a D value of 0.26 h, but did not enhance the persister death rate. H04 showed killing profiles similar to C10, but the efficacy was lower than that of C10 (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). In conclusion, C10 effectively and selectively kills the persisters that tolerate antibiotic exposure but does not affect normal antibiotic-sensitive cells.

There remains the question of how C10 selectively kills only persister cells. To address this question, C10 was added at various time points during Nor-induced cell death and its killing kinetics was observed. C10 dramatically accelerated persister death regardless of the addition time (Fig. 2a), which could not be achieved by adding different classes of
affect cells for a short period of time (see Fig. S4c in the supplemental material). Persisters were prepared by treatment with 5/sensitive, and hence a certain level of cell killing occurred even without the addition of external antibiotics because already-internalized antibiotics affect cells for a short period of time (see Fig. S4c in the supplemental material). Persisters exhibited a long lag period before starting exponential growth, while normal cells immediately started regrowth after inoculation (lag period, ~0 h). This result indicated that reversion of persisters to normal antibiotic-sensitive cells is very slow, which is consistent with previous results (3). Next, we tested whether C10 alone leads persisters to death by measuring CFU. We reasoned that CFU measurement would more sensitively reflect reversion or death of persisters than optical density because there were >1,000 times more dead cells than live cells in our persister preparation. Consistent with optical density measurement, persisters started exponential growth after an ~5-h lag period (Fig. 2b). While C10 effectively led to persister cell death in the presence of Nor, persisters started fast regrowth in the presence of C10 after a short period of cell death (Fig. 2b). This result clearly indicates that C10 caused reversion of dormant persisters to fast-replicating normal cells. These regrown cells could be eradicated when Nor was added at the end of culture (data not shown), indicating that the regrown cells were antibiotic sensitive. We exclude the possibility that C10 kills persisters by itself in spite of the apparent short cell death period. Internalized residual Nor may kill revertant cells even when C10 is applied to persisters after thorough washing. In support of this notion, the same treatment of persisters which overexpressed the multidrug efflux transporter MdtK did not cause a short cell death period because MdtK actively ejects internalized antibiotics (see Fig. S4b in the supplemental material). In conclusion, C10 leads persisters to death in combination with antibiotics by effecting reversion of persisters to normal antibiotic-sensitive cells.

In the present study, we showed that persisters can be selectively eradicated by a single compound, C10. C10 was also effective in killing persisters which were enriched during stationary growth phase (see Fig. S5a in the supplemental material). C10 dramatically reduced persistence frequency with fluoroquinolone antibiotics for both /E. coli/ and /Pseudomonas aeruginosa/ (see Fig. S5b in the supplemental material). While C10 did not affect normal cell growth by itself, it led persisters to death by causing reversion of persisters to antibiotic-sensitive cells. Thus, C10 seems to be a wake-up pill for persisters that ultimately returns dormant persisters to antibiotic-sensitive cells. Finding the binding target of C10 should provide pinpointing information on the mechanism of persistence. Moreover, the strategy demonstrated here, which exploits single-chemical supplementation, might be applied to prevent the advent of resistant cells as well as provide a therapy for infectious diseases.
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