

## *rmtD2*, a New Allele of a 16S rRNA Methylase Gene, Has Been Present in *Enterobacteriaceae* Isolates from Argentina for More than a Decade<sup>∇</sup>

Nathalie Tijet,<sup>1</sup> Patricia Andres,<sup>2</sup> Catherine Chung,<sup>1</sup> Celeste Lucero,<sup>2</sup> WHONET-Argentina Group,<sup>†</sup> Donald E. Low,<sup>1,3,4</sup> Marcelo Galas,<sup>2</sup> Alejandra Corso,<sup>2</sup> Alejandro Petroni,<sup>2</sup> and Roberto G. Melano<sup>1,3,4\*</sup>

Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion, Public Health Laboratories, Toronto, ON, Canada<sup>1</sup>; Servicio Antimicrobianos, Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Infecciosas—ANLIS Dr. Carlos G. Malbrán, Buenos Aires, Argentina<sup>2</sup>; Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathobiology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada<sup>3</sup>; and Department of Microbiology, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, ON, Canada<sup>4</sup>

Received 14 July 2010/Returned for modification 1 August 2010/Accepted 7 November 2010

**The first allele of a 16S rRNA methyltransferase gene, *rmtD2*, conferring very high resistance to all clinically available aminoglycosides, was detected in 7/1,064 enterobacteria collected in 2007. *rmtD2* was located on a conjugative plasmid in a Tn2670-like element inside a structure similar to that of *rmtD1* but probably having an independent assembly. *rmtD2* has been found since 1996 to 1998 mainly in *Enterobacter* and *Citrobacter* isolates, suggesting a possible reservoir in these genera. This presumption deserves monitoring by continuous surveillance.**

Posttranscriptional methylation of the 16S rRNA aminoglycoside binding site, common in aminoglycoside-producing microorganisms, has been described in nosocomial isolates highly resistant to all clinically available aminoglycosides. Currently, seven plasmid-mediated 16S rRNA methyltransferase (16S-methylase) genes have been identified: *armA*, *npmA*, *rmtA*, *rmtB*, *rmtC*, *rmtD* (named *rmtD1* herein), and very recently, *rmtE*, from bovine origin (3, 4, 19). To date, only *Klebsiella pneumoniae* harboring *rmtD1* has been reported in Argentina (7). Herein, a nationwide survey of aminoglycoside resistance mediated by 16S-methylases among enterobacteria in Argentina was performed.

(Preliminary data were presented in abstract form at the 109th General Meeting, American Society for Microbiology, 2009, and at the 50th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, American Society for Microbiology, 2010.)

**Susceptibility and molecular detection of resistance mechanisms.** To determine the prevalence of the 16S-methylases, a collection of 1,064 consecutive, nonduplicate enterobacterial isolates was analyzed. This sample set was collected during a 5-day period in 2007 from 66 hospitals belonging to the WHONET-Argentina Resistance Surveillance Network and submitted to the Servicio Antimicrobianos (the Argentinian National Reference Laboratory). Initial screening of 16S-methylase activity was performed using the disc diffusion susceptibility method for amikacin and gentamicin (inhibition zones of  $\leq 10$  mm) (1, 4). Although almost 80% of

the collection was made up of *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* spp., only 12 isolates belonging to other species (4 *Enterobacter cloacae*, 1 *Enterobacter aerogenes*, 3 *Serratia* species, 2 *Citrobacter freundii*, 1 *Morganella morganii*, and 1 *Proteus mirabilis* isolate) met the screening criteria used. Of these, 5 showed inhibition zones of  $\geq 7$  mm to amikacin, and 7 (all of the *Enterobacter* species and *C. freundii* isolates) showed an absence of inhibition zones for both aminoglycosides. Only these last 7 isolates gave positive results when tested for 16S-methylase genes by PCR (Table 1), and only the primers against *rmtD* genes rendered amplicons (Table 2). Complete gene amplification and DNA sequencing showed a unique 16S-methylase gene in these 7 isolates. This gene displayed 97.3% nucleotide identity (20 nucleotides of difference) and 96.4% amino acid identity (9 residues of difference) with *rmtD1*. Since this is the first description of a 16S-methylase gene allele, it was named *rmtD2*, as recommended by Doi and colleagues (6). The *rmtD2*-harboring isolates were from 6 hospitals in 4 geographic areas across Argentina, and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) of XbaI-digested DNA of the 4 *E. cloacae* and 2 *C. freundii* isolates showed that they were not clonally related (data not shown).

Antibiotic MICs, except that of apramycin (agar dilution method [1]), were determined by Etest (AB bioMérieux, Solna, Sweden). The 7 *rmtD2*-harboring isolates showed high MICs to all of the aminoglycosides tested except apramycin (Table 2). These results were comparable with those of Fritsche and colleagues for enterobacteria producing different enzymes that methylate the 16S rRNA at position G1405 (7). Conversely, Wachino and colleagues described NpmA, which methylates at position A1408, conferring intermediate resistance to amikacin (16  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) and low-level resistance to gentamicin (32  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) but high-level resistance to apramycin ( $>256$   $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) (19).

\* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion, Public Health Laboratory Branch, 81 Resources Road, Toronto, ON, Canada, M9P 3T1. Phone: (416) 235-6136. Fax: (416) 235-6281. E-mail: roberto.melano@oahpp.ca.

<sup>†</sup> Members are listed in Acknowledgments.

<sup>∇</sup> Published ahead of print on 15 November 2010.

TABLE 1. Sequences of primers used for specific gene detection

Target <sup>a</sup>	Primer name <sup>b</sup>	Primer sequence (5' to 3')	Size (bp)	T <sub>a</sub> (°C) <sup>c</sup>	Source or reference
<i>armA</i>	armA-F	AGGTTGTTTCCATTTCTGAG	405	51	This work
	armA-R	ACCTATACTTTATCGTCGTC			
<i>rmtA</i>	rmtA-F	CGTGACATAACATCTGTATG	333	51	This work
	rmtA-R	TTCAAATTCATCAGGCAGTG			
<i>rmtB</i>	rmtB-F	ATTGGGATTTTACCTTTGCC	290	51	This work
	rmtB-R	TATAAGTTCTGTTCCGATGG			
<i>rmtC</i>	rmtC-F	AGATACCAAATCCAACACTACG	369	51	This work
	rmtC-R	TAAGTAGAAGATCACTCTCG			
<i>rmtD</i>	rmtD-F	TCAAAAAGGAAAAGGACGTG	500	51	This work
	rmtD-R	CGATGCGACGATCCATTC			
	rmtD-F2 <sup>d</sup>	ATGAGCGAACTGAAGGAAAAAC	744	57	This work
	rmtD-R2 <sup>d</sup>	TCATTTTCGTTTCAGCACGTAAA			
<i>npmA</i>	npmA-F	CTCAAAGGAACAAAGACGG	641	51	7
	npmA-R	GAAACATGGCCAGAAACTC			
<i>bla</i> <sub>TEM</sub>	tem1-F	ATGAGTATTCAACATTTTCGTG	861	55	This work
	tem1-R	TTACCAATGCTTAATCAGTGAG			
<i>bla</i> <sub>SHV</sub>	shv1-F	ATGCGTTATATTCGCCTGTG	861	55	This work
	shv1-R	TTAGCGTTGCCAGTGCTCG			
<i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M</sub>	ctx-MU1	ATGTGCAGYACCAGTAARGT	803	55	13
	ctx-MU2	TGGGTRAARTARGTSACCAG			
<i>bla</i> <sub>KPC</sub>	Uni-KPC-F	ATGTCACTGTATCGCCGTCT	882	55	17
	Uni-KPC-R	TTACTGCCCGTTGACGCC			
<i>qnrB</i>	qnrB-F	CCGACCTGAGCGGCACTGA	523	55	18
	qnrB-R	CGCTCCATGAGCAACGATGCTT			

<sup>a</sup> *rmtE* was reported after the conclusion of this work, and its analysis was not included.

<sup>b</sup> F, forward; R, reverse.

<sup>c</sup> T<sub>a</sub>, PCR annealing temperature.

<sup>d</sup> Primers to amplify the complete *rmtD* gene.

Taken together, these data suggest that the new variant RmtD2 methylates at position G1405. Moreover, our phenotypic results support the approach of Doi and Arakawa for detecting the 16S-methylases that act at that last position (4).

The *rmtD2*-harboring isolates displayed other resistance mechanisms (Table 2). Under the new carbapenem breakpoints (2), all 7 clinical strains showed resistance/intermediate resistance to ertapenem, and several of them showed intermediate resistance to imipenem and/or meropenem. This is probably due to impermeability combined with hyperproduced AmpC and/or CTX-M-type enzymes (11, 16). Production of metallo-β-lactamases was discarded by EDTA-sodium mercaptoacetic acid (SMA) phenotypic screening (8). All of the isolates were resistant to ciprofloxacin (Q4079 showed reduced susceptibility), tetracycline, and chloramphenicol as well. Biparental conjugations were performed between each *rmtD2*-harboring clinical isolate and sodium azide-resistant *E. coli* J53 or rifampin- and nalidixic acid-resistant *E. coli* ER1793 as recipients, using amikacin (50 μg/ml) and gentamicin (50 μg/ml) plus sodium azide (100 μg/ml) or rifampin (300 μg/ml), respectively, to select the transconjugants. All conjugations rendered *rmtD2*-harboring transconjugants highly resistant to aminoglycosides (Table 2). Resistance to ampicillin, piperacillin, extended-spectrum cephalosporins, and chloramphenicol was cotransferred to *E. coli* in some cases. Transmission of reduced susceptibility to ciprofloxacin was observed in the transconjugant J-4143. The characterization of relevant genetic determinants responsible for these resistant phenotypes, such as *bla*<sub>TEM-1</sub>, *bla*<sub>CTX-M-2</sub>, and *qnrB10*, was performed by PCR and DNA sequencing (Tables 1 and 2). Therefore, RmtD2 was coexpressed with, but not necessarily linked to, other resistance mechanisms.

***rmtD2* flanking regions.** XbaI DNA libraries from *E. cloacae* Q4010, *E. aerogenes* Q4079, and *C. freundii* Q1174 were generated in *E. coli* TOP10 using the cloning vector pACYC184. The *rmtD2*-harboring clones were selected with chloramphenicol (34 μg/ml), gentamicin, and kanamycin (4 μg/ml each), and DNA inserts were sequenced using pACYC184-specific and sequence-based primers. The inserts from isolates Q4010 and Q1174 were completely sequenced, while a 19.7-kb partial sequence was obtained from a longer fragment of Q4079. The genetic environments of *rmtD2* in these three isolates differed only in the cassette arrays of the class 1 integrons and showed the same ISCR14-bracketed genetic architecture as those surrounding *rmtD1* in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA0905 and *K. pneumoniae* R2 from Brazil (5) (Fig. 1A). However, several key differences between the environments of *rmtD1* and *rmtD2* were found (Fig. 1B to D). We concluded that the ISCR14-bracketed structures surrounding *rmtD1* and *rmtD2* are composed of very similar blocks of genes but having different edges. Moreover, it is noteworthy that the second ISCR14 in *E. aerogenes* Q4079, named herein ISCR14B, is actually a chimera, since the last 337 nucleotides of *orf494* and its 256-bp downstream sequence to *oriIS* showed a maximal identity of 96% with ISCR5B (GenBank accession no. AM849110) but only 79% with ISCR14 (9). Therefore, ISCR14B might have resulted from a recombination between two ISCRs (e.g., ISCR14 and ISCR5B), as has already been reported for ISCR5B, which is itself a chimeric element (9). All of the data strongly suggest that the ISCR14-bracketed region found in the enterobacterial isolates from Argentina and that in *P. aeruginosa* PA0905 from Brazil were assembled in independent processes through a series of ISCR transposition/homologous recombination events rather than variants derived

TABLE 2. Antimicrobial susceptibility and molecular typing of resistance mechanisms of clinical isolates and transconjugant strains

Antimicrobial agent or genetic determinant <sup>a</sup>	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) or molecular typing result <sup>b</sup>														<i>E. coli</i> acceptors				
	Donor clinical isolates <sup>c</sup>							<i>E. coli</i> transconjugant strains <sup>d</sup>								<i>E. coli</i> transf. <sup>e</sup>			
	Ecd Q4010	Ecd Q3039	Ecd Q2054	Ecd Q5161	Ecd Q1174	Cfr Q4143	Eae Q4079	ER-4010	ER-3039	J-2054	J-5161	J-1174	J-4143	J-4079			ERI793	J53	
Antimicrobial susceptibility testing																			
AMK	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	0.5	1.5	
GEN	$\geq 1,024$	$\geq 1,024$	256	$\geq 1,024$	$\geq 1,024$	$\geq 1,024$	256	$\geq 1,024$	$\geq 1,024$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	768	768	256	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	0.125	1.5	
KAN	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	0.75	2	
NET	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	0.125	0.125	
TOB	$\geq 1,024$	$\geq 1,024$	$\geq 1,024$	$\geq 1,024$	$\geq 1,024$	$\geq 1,024$	768	$\geq 1,024$	$\geq 256$	256	$\geq 256$	$\geq 1,024$	256	256	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	0.094	1	
APR	8	4	8	8	8	4	4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	4	4	4	
AMP	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	64	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	96	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	ND	6	6	
PIP	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	8	8	6	$\geq 256$	4	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	ND	1	2	
FOX	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	2	4	12	12	12	16	16	ND	8	8	
CAZ	192	$\geq 256$	128	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	24	24	12	0.125	0.064	0.094	0.094	0.094	192	0.094	ND	0.125	0.19	
CTX	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	0.047	0.064	0.094	0.094	0.094	24	0.094	ND	0.094	0.094	
FEP	6	192	4	24	12	256	256	128	0.094	0.094	0.125	0.25	0.25	48	0.25	ND	0.047	0.064	
IPM	0.75	1.5	2	2	0.75	0.38	0.75	0.75	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	ND	0.25	0.38	
ERT	8	24	16	$\geq 32$	6	75	0.5	0.5	0.012	0.016	0.012	0.012	0.032	0.023	0.023	ND	0.016	0.008	
MEM	1	0.5	1.5	3	3	0.25	0.094	0.094	0.023	0.023	0.023	0.047	0.047	0.023	0.023	ND	0.023	0.023	
CIP	$\geq 32$	$\geq 32$	$\geq 32$	$\geq 32$	$\geq 32$	$\geq 32$	$\geq 32$	0.19	0.125	0.19	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016	ND	0.125	0.012	
TET	48	48	96	256	256	48	24	24	1.5	4	2	4	4	4	4	ND	0.5	1	
CHL	256	96	128	256	$\geq 256$	24	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	12	24	16	48	48	$\geq 256$	$\geq 256$	ND	3	6	
PCR																			
<i>armA</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<i>rmtA</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<i>rmtB</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<i>rmtC</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<i>rmtD</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
<i>npvA</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>bla<sub>TEM</sub></i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>bla<sub>SHV</sub></i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>bla<sub>SPC</sub></i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>bla<sub>CTX-M</sub></i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>qnrB</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

<sup>a</sup> AMK, amikacin; GEN, gentamicin; KAN, kanamycin; NET, netilmicin; TOB, tobramycin; AMP, apramycin; APR, apramycin; CIP, ciprofloxacin; TET, tetracycline; CHL, chloramphenicol. <sup>b</sup> + and -, positive and negative amplification, respectively; ND, not determined.

<sup>c</sup> Ecd, *E. cloacae*; Cfr, *C. freundii*; Eae, *E. aerogenes*.

<sup>d</sup> Transconjugant strains are designated with the letter from the acceptor *E. coli* strain (ER- and J- indicate derivation from *E. coli* ERI793 and J53, respectively) and the number from the donor clinical strain.

<sup>e</sup> *E. coli* transformed with the *mtd2* gene.



TABLE 3. Analysis of *Enterobacteriaceae* from WHONET-Argentina database, 2007

Group of isolates analyzed (total no.)	% (no.) of isolates with no inhibition zone for AMK or GEN <sup>a</sup>
All <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> (19,077) .....	2.1 (403)
<i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> minus <i>Enterobacter</i> and <i>Citrobacter</i> spp. (16,906) .....	1.2 (211)*†
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp. (1,730) .....	9.1 (157)*
<i>Citrobacter</i> spp. (441) .....	7.9 (35)†

<sup>a</sup> Absence of inhibition zones for both amikacin and gentamicin. Only one isolate per patient was tested. Symbols indicate significant differences ( $P < 0.0001$ ) by the chi-square test.

lation between the presence of *rmtD2* and the absence of inhibition zones for both amikacin and gentamicin was used as an indicator of the presence of this gene. As observed in the surveillance collection, the overall prevalence of *rmtD2* was low (2.1%) but significantly higher in *Enterobacter* spp. and *Citrobacter* spp. than in other enterobacteria (Table 3), suggesting a possible reservoir in these species.

In order to establish a continuous surveillance, the National Reference Laboratory had recommended to the WHONET-Argentina hospitals to search for enterobacterial isolates showing an absence of inhibition zones for both amikacin and gentamicin. Twelve strains (4 *E. cloacae*, 1 *Enterobacter agglomerans*, 1 *C. freundii*, 4 *K. pneumoniae*, and 2 *P. mirabilis* strains), isolated from January 2008 to April 2009, were selected for molecular characterization. By PCR screening, 10/12 isolates were positive only for *rmtD* genes. The 2 *P. mirabilis* isolates were negative for all of the 16S-methylase genes tested and remain under study. By sequencing, *rmtD1* was found in 2 *K. pneumoniae* isolates, while *rmtD2* was observed in the remaining 8 isolates.

***rmtD2* has been present in Argentina for more than a decade.** The first 16S-methylase producer described in Argentina was a *K. pneumoniae* strain isolated in 2005 (7). To determine whether this resistance mechanism was present earlier, 153 AmpC-producing enterobacterial strains isolated in 1996 to 1998 from a previous national survey were analyzed (15). Thirteen isolates (1 *Enterobacter* sp., 8 *E. cloacae*, 3 *C. freundii*, and 1 *Serratia marcescens* isolate) were highly resistant to amikacin and gentamicin (MICs of  $>256 \mu\text{g/ml}$ ). Four of them (2 *E. cloacae*, 1 *C. freundii*, and 1 *S. marcescens* isolate), selected for 16S-methylase gene characterization, showed *rmtD2* only. These results point out the presence of *rmtD2* in *Enterobacter* spp. and *C. freundii* isolated in the 1990s and strengthen the hypothesis of a reservoir in these species, with a further dissemination to other enterobacteria, such as *K. pneumoniae*. This presumption indicates that continued surveillance is necessary to monitor the spread of *rmtD* genes.

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** The nucleotide sequences determined here have been assigned GenBank accession numbers HQ401565 (*E. aerogenes* Q4079), HQ401566 (*E. cloacae* Q4010), and HQ401567 (*C. freundii* Q1174).

This work was supported in part by a start-up grant from the Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion and by the grant Dr. César Milstein, Ministry of Science, Technology and Productive Innovation, Government of Argentina, to R.G.M.

WHONET-Argentina participants include the following: Daniela Ballester, Hospital Piñero; Ana Di Martino, Sanatorio de la Trinidad Mitre; Laura Errecalde, Hospital Fernández; Angela Famiglietti, Hospital de Clínicas; Analía Fernández, Hospital Universitario Fundación Favalaro; Nora Gomez, Hospital Argerich; Horacio Lopardo, Hospital de Pediatría Garrahan; Nora Orellana, FLENI; Adriana Procopio, Hospital de Niños Gutiérrez; Mirta Quinteros, Hospital Muñiz (all in the city of Buenos Aires); Adriana Di Bella, Hospital Posadas, El Palomar; Diana Gómez, Instituto Nacional de Epidemiología Juan Jara, Mar del Plata; Mónica Machain, Hospital Piñeyro, Junín; Andrea Pacha, Hospital San Juan de Dios, La Plata; Susana Palombarani, Hospital Eva Peron, San Martín; Ana Togneri, Hospital Evita, Lanús; Susana Vaylet, Hospital Penna, Bahía Blanca, and Cecilia Vescina, Hospital de Niños Sor María Ludovica, La Plata (all in the province of Buenos Aires); Viviana David, Hospital Interzonal San Juan Bautista, and Patricia Valdez, Hospital de Niños Eva Perón, Catamarca; Norma Cech, Hospital 4 de Junio-Ramón Carrillo, Pte. Roque Saenz Peña, and Bettina Irigoyen, Hospital Perrando, Resistencia, Chaco; Omar Daher, Hospital Zonal de Esquel, Chubut; Claudia Aimareto, Hospital Regional de Villa María; Marina Bottiglieri, Clínica Reina Fabiola; Catalina Culasso, Hospital de Niños de la Santísima Trinidad; Liliana González, Hospital Infantil Municipal; Ana Littvik, Hospital Rawson, and Lidia Wolf, Clínica Privada Vélez Sársfield, city of Córdoba (all in the province of Córdoba); Ana María Pato, Hospital Llano, and Viviana García Saitó, Hospital Pediátrico Juan Pablo II, Corrientes; María Moulins, Hospital Masvernat, Concordia; Humberto Musa, Centro de Microbiología Médica, Paraná, and Francisco Salamone, Hospital San Martín, Paraná, Entre Ríos; Nancy Pereira, Hospital Central de Formosa, and María Vivaldo, Hosp. de la Madre y el Niño, Formosa; Marcelo Toffoli, Hospital de Niños Quintana, and María Weibel, Hospital Pablo Soria, Jujuy; Gladys Almada, Hospital Molas, Santa Rosa, and Adriana Pereyra, Hospital Centeno, Gral. Pico, La Pampa; Sonia Flores, Hospital Vera Barros, La Rioja; Lorena Contreras, Hospital Central de Mendoza, and Beatriz García, Hospital Pediátrico Notti, Mendoza; Ana María Miranda, Hospital SAMIC El Dorado, Misiones; María Rosa Núñez, Hospital Castro Rendón, and Herman Sauer, Hospital Heller, Neuquén; Néstor Blázquez, Hospital Carrillo, Bariloche, and Cristina Carranza, Hospital Cipolletti, Río Negro; María Luisa Cacace, Hospital San Vicente de Paul, Orán, and Jorgelina Mulki, Hospital Materno Infantil, Salta; Roberto Navarro, Hospital Rawson, and Nancy Vega, Hospital Marcial Quiroga, San Juan; Ema Fernández, Policlínico Regional J.D. Peron, Villa Mercedes, and Hugo Rigo, Policlínico Central de San Luis, province of San Luis; Wilma Krause, Hospital Regional, Río Gallegos, and Josefina Villegas, Hospital Zonal de Caleta Olivia, Santa Cruz; María Baroni, Hospital de Niños Alassia, and Emilce de los Angeles Méndez, Hospital Cullen, city of Santa Fe; Isabel Bogado, Facultad de Bioquímica, Noemí Borda, Hospital Español, and Adriana Ernst, Hospital de Niños Vilela, Rosario, province of Santa Fe; Ana María Nanni de Fuster, Hospital Regional Ramón Carrillo, Santiago del Estero; Iván Gramundi, Hospital Regional de Ushuaia, and Marcela Vargas, Hospital Regional de Río Grande, Tierra del Fuego; and José Assa, Hospital del Niño Jesús, and Amalia del Valle Amilaga, Hospital Padilla, Tucumán.

We are indebted to D. Faccone, L. Guerriero, and M. Rapoport for technical assistance and to J. Guthrie for a critical reading of the manuscript. We are grateful to Y. Arakawa, Y. Doi, J. Iredell, M. Galimand, T. Fritsche, and M. Castanheira for supplying the 16S-methylase gene positive controls.

#### REFERENCES

1. **Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.** 2007. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; seventeenth informational supplement M100-S17. Vol. 27, no. 1. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
2. **Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.** 2010. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; twentieth informational supplement (June 2010 update) M100-S20-U. Vol. 30, no. 15. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
3. **Davis, M. A., et al.** 2010. Discovery of a gene conferring multiple-aminoglycoside resistance in *Escherichia coli*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **54**: 2666–2669.
4. **Doi, Y., and Y. Arakawa.** 2007. 16S ribosomal RNA methylation: emerging resistance mechanism against aminoglycosides *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **45**:88–94.
5. **Doi, Y., J. M. Adams-Haduch, and D. L. Paterson.** 2008. Genetic environ-

- ment of 16S rRNA methylase gene *rmtD*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **52**:2270–2272.
6. Doi, Y., J. Wachino, and Y. Arakawa. 2008. Nomenclature of plasmid-mediated 16S rRNA methylases responsible for panaminoglycoside resistance. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **52**:2287–2288.
  7. Fritsche, T. R., M. Castanheira, G. H. Miller, R. N. Jones, and E. S. Armstrong. 2008. Detection of methyltransferases conferring high-level resistance to aminoglycosides in *Enterobacteriaceae* from Europe, North America, and Latin America. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **52**:1843–1845.
  8. Lee, K., Y. S. Lim, D. Yong, J. H. Yum, and Y. Chong. 2003. Evaluation of the Hodge test and the imipenem–EDTA double-disk synergy test for differentiating metallo-beta-lactamase-producing isolates of *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Acinetobacter* spp. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **41**:4623–4629.
  9. Li, H., T. R. Walsh, and M. A. Toleman. 2009. Molecular analysis of the sequences surrounding *bla*<sub>OXA-45</sub> reveals acquisition of this gene by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* via a novel ISCR element, ISCR5. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **53**:1248–1251.
  10. Liebert, C. A., R. M. Hall, and A. O. Summers. 1999. Transposon Tn21, flagship of the floating genome. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **63**:507–522.
  11. Livermore, D. M., and N. Woodford. 2006. The  $\beta$ -lactamase threat in *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter*. *Trends Microbiol.* **14**:413–420.
  12. Naas, T., et al. 2008. Genetic structure associated with *bla*<sub>OXA-18</sub>, encoding a clavulanic acid-inhibited extended-spectrum oxacillinase. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **52**:3898–3904.
  13. Pallecchi, L., et al. 2004. Detection of CTX-M-type  $\beta$ -lactamase genes in fecal *Escherichia coli* isolates from healthy children in Bolivia and Peru. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **48**:4556–4561.
  14. Partridge, S. R., and R. M. Hall. 2003. The IS1111 family members IS4321 and IS5075 have subterminal inverted repeats and target the terminal inverted repeats of Tn21 family transposons. *J. Bacteriol.* **185**:6371–6384.
  15. Pasterán, F., et al. 1999. High proportion of extended spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamases (ESBL) among AMP-C producer enterobacteria in Argentina, abstr. C2-1475. Abstr. 39th Intersci. Conf. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
  16. Pasterán, F., T. Mendez, M. Rapoport, L. Guerriero, and A. Corso. 2010. Controlling false-positive results obtained with the Hodge and Masuda assays for detection of class A carbapenemase in species of *Enterobacteriaceae* by incorporating boronic acid. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **48**:1323–1332.
  17. Pillai, D. R., et al. 2009. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase, Canada. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **15**:827–829.
  18. Quiroga, M. P., et al. 2007. Complex class 1 integrons with diverse variable regions, including *aac*(6')-Ib-cr, and a novel allele, *qnrB10*, associated with ISCR1 in clinical enterobacterial isolates from Argentina. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **51**:4466–4470.
  19. Wachino, K., et al. 2007. Novel plasmid-mediated 16S rRNA m1A1408 methyltransferase, NpmA, found in a clinically isolated *Escherichia coli* strain resistant to structurally diverse aminoglycosides. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **51**:4401–4409.
  20. Williams, L. E., C. Detter, K. Barry, A. Lapidus, and A. O. Summers. 2006. Facile recovery of individual high-molecular-weight, low-copy-number natural plasmids for genomic sequencing. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **72**:4899–4906.