

# *In Silico* Detection and Typing of Plasmids using PlasmidFinder and Plasmid Multilocus Sequence Typing

Alessandra Carattoli,<sup>a</sup> Ea Zankari,<sup>b</sup> Aurora García-Fernández,<sup>a</sup> Mette Voldby Larsen,<sup>c</sup> Ole Lund,<sup>c</sup> Laura Villa,<sup>a</sup> Frank Møller Aarestrup,<sup>b</sup> Henrik Hasman<sup>b</sup>

Department of Infectious, Parasitic and Immuno-Mediated Diseases, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, Italy<sup>a</sup>; Danish Technical University, National Food Institute, Division for Epidemiology and Microbial Genomics, Lyngby, Denmark<sup>b</sup>; Danish Technical University, Center for Biological Sequence Analysis, Department of Systems Biology, Lyngby, Denmark<sup>c</sup>

In the work presented here, we designed and developed two easy-to-use Web tools for *in silico* detection and characterization of whole-genome sequence (WGS) and whole-plasmid sequence data from members of the family *Enterobacteriaceae*. These tools will facilitate bacterial typing based on draft genomes of multidrug-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* species by the rapid detection of known plasmid types. Replicon sequences from 559 fully sequenced plasmids associated with the family *Enterobacteriaceae* in the NCBI nucleotide database were collected to build a consensus database for integration into a Web tool called PlasmidFinder that can be used for replicon sequence analysis of raw, contig group, or completely assembled and closed plasmid sequencing data. The PlasmidFinder database currently consists of 116 replicon sequences that match with at least at 80% nucleotide identity all replicon sequences identified in the 559 fully sequenced plasmids. For plasmid multilocus sequence typing (pMLST) analysis, a database that is updated weekly was generated from [www.pubmlst.org](http://www.pubmlst.org) and integrated into a Web tool called pMLST. Both databases were evaluated using draft genomes from a collection of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium isolates. PlasmidFinder identified a total of 103 replicons and between zero and five different plasmid replicons within each of 49 *S. Typhimurium* draft genomes tested. The pMLST Web tool was able to subtype genomic sequencing data of plasmids, revealing both known plasmid sequence types (STs) and new alleles and ST variants. In conclusion, testing of the two Web tools using both fully assembled plasmid sequences and WGS-generated draft genomes showed them to be able to detect a broad variety of plasmids that are often associated with antimicrobial resistance in clinically relevant bacterial pathogens.

Plasmids are double-stranded circular or linear DNA molecules capable of autonomous replication and transferable between different bacterial species and clones. Most of the known plasmids have been identified because they confer phenotypes that are subject to positive selection on the bacterial host, such as the presence of antimicrobial resistance or virulence genes. Such features aid the successful spread of different plasmid types among bacteria of different sources and geographical origins. The acquisition of plasmids carrying antimicrobial resistance or virulence genes might drastically alter the prevalence of virulent or multidrug resistant-bacterial clones. It is thus important not only to study the molecular epidemiology of different bacterial clones but also to study and understand the molecular epidemiology of transferable plasmids. For this specific purpose, plasmid typing systems are needed.

Most plasmids include specific regions, called replicons, encoding functions that are able to activate and control replication (1). Since 2005, a PCR-based replicon typing (PBRT) scheme has been available that targets in multiplex PCRs the replicons of the major plasmid families occurring in members of the family *Enterobacteriaceae* (2). This method was initially developed to detect the replicons of plasmids belonging to the 18 major incompatibility (Inc) groups of *Enterobacteriaceae* species (3). More recently, novel replicons and plasmid types were identified by whole-genome and plasmid high-throughput sequencing, extending PBRT to the identification of 25 different replicons (4–9). However, this method is based on multiplex PCR, which is laborious to extend to cover more groups and which is not always suited for the detection of replicon variants, especially if this variation is within the primer

binding sites. Together with other specific characteristics of the bacterial strain (i.e., resistance gene content, sequence type [ST] as determined by multilocus sequence typing [MLST], phylogroup, serotype, etc.), plasmid typing (by PBRT or degenerate primer MOB typing [10]) is currently used for comparative analysis of unrelated and related strains during epidemiological investigations.

Not all plasmid families occur at the same frequency in clinically relevant enterobacterial strains. For very frequent plasmids, sequence-based typing schemes were devised to identify related plasmid scaffolds. IncF, IncI1, IncN, IncHI2, and IncHI1 plasmids are currently subtyped by plasmid MLST (pMLST; <http://pubmlst.org/plasmid/>) (4, 7, 11–14).

With the recent rapid increase in whole-genome sequence (WGS) and whole-plasmid sequence data generated by high-throughput-sequencing platforms, there is a need to be able to identify resistance genes and plasmids using raw sequence data

Received 29 January 2014 Returned for modification 9 March 2014

Accepted 19 April 2014

Published ahead of print 28 April 2014

Address correspondence to Henrik Hasman, [hhas@food.dtu.dk](mailto:hhas@food.dtu.dk).

Supplemental material for this article may be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02412-14>.

Copyright © 2014, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.  
doi:10.1128/AAC.02412-14

The authors have paid a fee to allow immediate free access to this article.

or contigs generated by high-throughput sequencing of entire genomes. To extract the relevant information from the large amount of data generated, a Web-based tool, ResFinder, for the identification of acquired or intrinsically present antimicrobial resistance genes in whole-genome data was recently developed (15).

Here, we describe the design of two new easy-to-use Web tools useful for the rapid identification of plasmids in *Enterobacteriaceae* species that are of interest for epidemiological and clinical microbiology investigations of the plasmid-associated spread of antimicrobial resistance. Currently, most of the plasmid sequences available in GenBank have been automatically annotated, and in many cases, the annotation of the replicon sequences does not refer to any plasmid classification scheme (Inc groups or relaxase groups). Therefore, direct submission by BLASTn to NCBI cannot be easily used to recognize the lineage of the plasmid under study. The PlasmidFinder Web tool is based on a curated database of plasmid replicons intended for the identification of plasmids in whole-genome sequences originating from *Enterobacteriaceae* species by microbiologists without specialized bioinformatics skills using direct high-throughput raw reads, assembled contigs, or assembled Sanger sequences. PlasmidFinder not only provides the detection of replicons in the WGS but also assigns the plasmids under study to lineages that trace back the information to the existing knowledge on Inc groups and suggests possible reference plasmids for each lineage.

The pMLST Web tool is able to perform pMLST analysis on the same variety of data for the five incompatibility groups that currently have a pMLST scheme available.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Plasmid database.** A total of 745 sequences corresponding to nonredundant, complete sequences of plasmids identified in bacterial species belonging to the family *Enterobacteriaceae* were collected from the NCBI nucleotide database (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide/>). Among them, 186 plasmids were identified in bacterial species that were endosymbiont of insects (“*Candidatus* Ishikawaella capsulata Mpkobe,” *Buchnera aphidicola*, *Sodalis glossinidius*, and *Wigglesworthia glossinidia*) or nematodes (*Photorhabdus asymbiotica*), pathogens of plants (*Pantoea* spp. and *Erwinia* spp.) and fish (*Edwardsiella ictaluri*), or living in the rhizosphere and soil (*Rahnella* spp., *Ralstonia eutropha*, and *Delftia acidovorans*). These plasmids were excluded in the current version of the PlasmidFinder database.

Among the 559 plasmid sequences of interest downloaded from the GenBank database and analyzed in this study, 224 small plasmids with sizes ranging from 1,308 to 16,030 bp and 335 large plasmids (>20 kb in size) were identified in 40 different bacterial species of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family (see Table S1 in the supplemental material).

**Construction and evaluation of the PlasmidFinder Web tool.** Based on the analysis described in Results and Discussion, a database containing 116 unique probes was generated. This database included all of the replicons identified in the 559 plasmids of interest. This database was used to build a Web tool (<http://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/PlasmidFinder/>) utilizing the BLASTn algorithm to look for DNA homologies in both raw and assembled sequencing data from four different sequencing platforms. If assembled bacterial genomes or plasmids are uploaded to the Web service, they are immediately converted into a BLAST database. If raw sequence reads are uploaded, they are first assembled (after the sequencing platform is given by the user) as described previously (16). Upon sequence submission, a percent identity (% ID) threshold (the percentage of nucleotides that are identical between the best-matching replicon sequence in the database and the corresponding sequence in the assembled sequencing data) of 100%, 95%, 90%, 85%, 80%, or on down to 50% can be selected.

However, it should be noted that in the current form, PlasmidFinder is designed to identify replicons with at least 80% nucleotide identity with those currently included in the database (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) and will not adequately cover plasmid diversity outside this scope.

Details on how the best match is selected are described in reference 16. For a hit to be reported, it has to cover at least 60% of the length of the replicon sequence in the database. Output data include information on what DNA fragment (contig) was found and the position of the hit within this contig. Also, information regarding the % ID, the length of the hit, and the length of the replicon sequence is included in the output.

Initial validation of the PlasmidFinder was done by submitting the entire replicon sequence database to the PlasmidFinder Web server to ensure perfect recognition of replicon sequences and replicon sequence lengths.

**Validation of the PlasmidFinder.** A set of 24 complete sequences of plasmids associated with important resistance determinants, covering 12 different replicon groups as determined in previous studies by PBRT (5, 8, 9, 17–24), were used to test the ability of PlasmidFinder to detect the correct plasmid replicons. Here, the replicon sequences from the PlasmidFinder database were BLASTed against the complete plasmid sequences and the best-matching hits in each genome for each replicon sequence were given as output using a % ID threshold value of 80%.

**Construction of the pMLST Web tool.** An automatic weekly download script was set up for collecting all pMLST allele sequences and ST profiles from the plasmid MLST Web site ([pubmlst.org/plasmid/](http://pubmlst.org/plasmid/)) and used as the database for the pMLST Web tool (<http://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/pMLST/>). Similar to PlasmidFinder, this Web tool utilizes the BLASTn algorithm for finding DNA homologies in both raw and assembled sequences. Upon submission, the user must select which pMLST scheme to use. The hit in the plasmid has to cover at least 66% of the length of the gene in the database with at least 85% conservation to be reported. After identifying the pMLST allele for all genes of the pMLST scheme, the plasmid sequence type is determined based on the combination of alleles identified. A perfect hit to an allele is marked in green, whereas nonperfect hits are marked in red and should be verified by traditional Sanger sequencing before the ST type is reported.

Validation of the pMLST Web tool was done by submitting a subset of completely sequenced IncF, IncHI1, IncHI2, IncN, and IncI1 plasmids (at least 6 plasmid sequences from each incompatibility group with a pMLST scheme) to the Web server. These plasmids were selected from the studies initially describing the pMLST schemes ([pubmlst.org/plasmid/](http://pubmlst.org/plasmid/)).

**Identifying plasmids in bacterial whole-genome data.** Draft assemblies of short Illumina sequence reads (100-bp paired-end reads) from 49 *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium genomes isolated from healthy pigs, as described previously (25), were analyzed using PlasmidFinder. Here, the replicon sequences from the PlasmidFinder database were BLASTed against the assembled genomes, and the best-matching hits in each genome for each replicon sequence were given as output, using a % ID threshold value of 80%.

**In vitro detection of plasmids in selected isolates.** The contents of large plasmids in the six isolates predicted by PlasmidFinder to be plasmid free were examined using DNA linearization with S1 nuclease followed by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (S1-PFGE). Five units of S1 nuclease (Fermentas) was used per plug slice. Plug slices with XbaI-digested *S. enterica* serovar Branderup (PFGE strain recommended for PFGE analysis) were used as size ladders. Samples were run on the CHEF-DR III System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA), and the conditions used were as follows: 1% agarose gel (SeaKem gold agarose; Lonza) in 0.5× Tris-borate-EDTA, voltage gradient of 6 V/cm, with phase from 6.8 to 38.4 and run time of 19 h.

The content of small plasmids in the same six isolates was analyzed using the Qiagen spin miniprep kit (catalog number 27104) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Five-microliter samples were run on an 0.8% agarose gel at 100V for 4 h.

TABLE 1 List of probes detecting previously characterized replicons

Probe <sup>a</sup>	Position	Locus targeted	Reference
A/C2_1_JN157804	59363–58947	<i>repA</i>	2
B/O/K/Z_2_GU256641	6440–6281	RNAI	2
B/O/K/Z_4_	413–561	RNAI	26
FIA_1_AP001918	48305–48692	<i>repFIA</i>	2
FIA(HI1)_1_HI1_AF250878	157744–157357	<i>repFIA</i>	27
FIB_1_AP001918	37699–37018	<i>repFIB</i>	2
FIB(Kpn3)_1_Kpn3_JN233704	76861–77420	<i>repFIB</i>	19
FIB(KpQIL)_1_pKpQIL_JN233705	85120–85859	<i>repFIB</i>	19
FIB(Mar)_1_pNDM-Mar_JN420336	29199–29637	<i>repFIB</i>	5
FIB(S)_1_FN432031	14696–14054	<i>repFIB</i>	4
FIC_1_AP001918	3421–3660	<i>repFIC</i>	2
FII_1_AY458016	89119–88859	RNAI-FII	2
FII(K)_1_CP000648	5614–5761	RNAI-FII	4
FII(S)_1_CP000858	26564–26303	RNAI-FII	4
FII(Yp)_1_Yersinia_CP000670	114633–114862	RNAI-FII	4
FII(Y)_1_ps_CP001049	20297–20071	RNAI-FII	4
HI1A_1_AF250878	40122–39702	<i>repHIA</i>	27
HI1A(CIT)_1_pNDM-CIT_JX182975	220487–220906	<i>repHIA</i>	8
HI1B(R27)_1_R27_AF250878	54421–54960	<i>repHIB</i>	8
HI2_1_BX664015	209836–209510	<i>repHI2</i>	2
HI2A_1_BX664015	211–840	<i>repHIA</i>	28
HI1B_1_pNDM-MAR_JN420336	126037–126606	<i>repHIB</i>	5
HI1B(CIT)_1_pNDM-CIT_JX182975	203748–203211	<i>repHIB</i>	8
I1_1_Alpha_AP005147	198–339	RNAI-I1	2
L/M_1_AF550415	54207–54947	<i>repA</i>	2
N_1_AY046276	31781–32294	<i>repA</i>	2
N2_1_JF785549	14890–15366	<i>repA</i>	29
P_1_alpha_L27758	12765–12232	Control of <i>repA</i>	2
R_1_DQ449578	19367–19617	<i>repA</i>	6
T_1_AP004237	423–1172	<i>repA</i>	2
U_1_DQ401103	51–615	<i>repA</i>	2
W_1_EF633507	25644–25886	<i>repA</i>	2
X1_1_EU370913	35767–36140	<i>repA</i>	30
X2_1_JQ269335	9035–8662	<i>repA</i>	2
X3_1_JN247852	6440–6067	<i>repA</i>	9
X3(pEC14)_1_pEC14_JN935899	7–380	<i>repA</i>	9
X4_2_FN543504	6671–7382	<i>repA</i>	9
X4_1_CP002895	3–376	<i>repA</i>	9
Y_1_K02380	1075–1839	<i>repA</i>	2

<sup>a</sup> The number following the final underscore is the GenBank accession number of the sequence.

**pMLST on selected genomes.** pMLST analysis was performed using the pMLST Web tool on the subset of draft genomes in which PlasmidFinder identified one or more of the incompatibility groups for which there is a pMLST scheme available.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Definition of the PlasmidFinder database.** A set of 559 complete plasmid sequences available in GenBank were first aligned against 39 DNA sequences of previously characterized replicons in plasmids of *Enterobacteriaceae* species (Table 1). Using these replicon sequences, a total of 263 plasmids from GenBank (262 large and 1 small, belonging to the X2 group; see pFL129 in Table S1 in the supplemental material) were successfully recognized, showing >95% nucleotide identity and >96% coverage with the reference replicon sequences (see Table S1 for the list of plasmids recognized by the probes in Table 1).

Of the 296 plasmids that were not recognized by the 39 existing PBRT probes, 72 and 224 were large and small plasmids, respectively. In the 72 large plasmids, the annotated replication protein

sequences were analyzed by using BLASTp at the NCBI site. Forty of these plasmids showed replicons and replicase protein sequences matching those of plasmids previously classified in the FII, FIB, I, B/O/K/Z, N, A/C, L/M, X, and P groups (>85% amino acid identities). In particular, the replicase proteins showing the pfam02387 or pfam01051 conserved domains were assigned to the FII and FIB groups, respectively (31). Twenty-seven additional FII and FIB probes were therefore included in the PlasmidFinder database (Table 2). Thirteen probes were included for the I2-, B/O/K/Z-, N3-, A/C1-, L/M-, X-, and P-like replicons to recognize (>95% nucleotide identity) 58 of the 72 not-yet-classified plasmids (see Table S1 in the supplemental material for the list of plasmids recognized by the probes in Table 2). The last 14 plasmids encoded RepA proteins that were not clearly homologous to any of the previously assigned Inc groups; for these plasmids, 14 probes were included in the PlasmidFinder database, using as the name of the probe the name of the plasmid each derived from (Table 2; see Table S1).


TABLE 2 List of probes detecting novel replicons in large plasmids

Probe <sup>a</sup>	Position	Locus targeted	Source
A/C_1_FJ705807	684–1100	<i>repA</i>	This study
B/O/K/Z_1_CU928147	119424–119574	RNAI	This study
B/O/K/Z_3_GQ259888	24642–24793	RNAI	This study
FII(p14)_1_p14_JQ418538	34250–33989	<i>repFII</i>	This study
FII(p96A)_1_p96A_JQ418521	42799–43332	<i>repFII</i>	This study
FII(pCoo)_1_pCoo_CR942285	27847–27586	<i>repFII</i>	This study
FII(pCRY)_1_pCRY_NC_005814	739–1331	<i>repFII</i>	This study
FII(pCTU2)_1_pCTU2_FN543095	8327–8903	<i>repFII</i>	This study
FII(pECLA)_1_pECLA_CP001919	3–749	<i>repFII</i>	This study
FII(Phn7A8)_1_JN232517	412–671	<i>repFII</i>	This study
FII(pENTA)_1_pENTA_CP003027	84306–84865	<i>repFII</i>	This study
FII(pMET)_1_pMET1_EU383016	690–1266	<i>repFII</i>	This study
FII(pRSB107)_1_pRSB107_AJ851089	21949–21689	<i>repFII</i>	This study
FII(pSE11)_1_pSE11_AP009242	75349–75085	<i>repFII</i>	This study
FII(pseudo)_1_pseudo_NC_011759	76101–76490	<i>repFII</i>	This study
FII(pYVa12790)_1_pYVa12790_AY150843	121–794	<i>repFII</i>	This study
FII(SARC14)_1_SARC14_JQ418540	36938–37382	<i>repFII</i>	This study
FII(Serratia)_1_Serratia_NC_009829	40691–40968	<i>repFII</i>	This study
FII(29)_pCE10A_CP003035	1393–1135	<i>repFII</i>	This study
FII(pSFO)_AF401292	3516–3773	<i>repFII</i>	This study
FII(pKP91)_CP000966	89831–90060	<i>repFII</i>	This study
FIB(pHCM2)_1_pHCM2_AL513384	104938–105812	<i>repFIB</i>	This study
FIB(pB171)_1_pB171_AB024946	250–892	<i>repFIB</i>	This study
FIB(pCTU1)_1_pCTU1_FN543094	2655–3347	<i>repFIB</i>	This study
FIB(pCTU3)_1_pCTU3_FN543096	142054–142620	<i>repFIB</i>	This study
FIB(pECLA)_1_pECLA_CP001919	211–771	<i>repFIB</i>	This study
FIB(pENTAS01)_1_pENTAS01_CP003027	141679–142239	<i>repFIB</i>	This study
FIB(pENTE01)_1_pENTE01_CP000654	142054–142620	<i>repFIB</i>	This study
FIB(pKPHS1)_1_pKPHS1_CP003223	201–761	<i>repFIB</i>	This study
FIB(pLF82)_1_pLF82_CU638872	211–771	<i>repFIB</i>	This study
I2_1_Delta_AP002527	207–522	<i>repR</i>	This study
L/M(pOXA-48)_1_JN626286	55802–56462	<i>repA</i>	This study
L/M(pMU407)_1_pMU407_U27345	22–760	<i>repA</i>	This study
N3_EF219134	29016–29492	<i>repA</i>	This study
P(Beta)_1_Beta_U67194	15582–16163	<i>repA</i>	This study
X1_2_CP003417	24754–25101	<i>repA</i>	This study
X1_3_CP001123	36953–37325	<i>repA</i>	This study
X1_4_JN935898	844–1220	<i>repA</i>	This study
X5_1_NC_015054	34413–34786	<i>repA</i>	This study
X6_1_AM942760	1–374	<i>repA</i>	This study
p0111_AP010962	54482–53598	<i>repA</i>	This study
ICC168_FN543504	6671–7382	<i>repA</i>	This study
pADAP_1_AF135182	113796–114335	<i>repA</i>	This study
pENTAS02_1_CP003028	25220–24242	<i>repA</i>	This study
pESA2_CP000784	2497–3246	<i>repA</i>	This study
pIP31758_1_CP000718	639–1556	<i>repA</i>	This study
pIP31758_1_CP000719	150807–151715	<i>repA</i>	This study
pIP32953_1_BX936400	262–1188	<i>repA</i>	This study
pSL483_1_CP001137	23947–22953	<i>repA</i>	This study
pXuzhou21_1_CP001927	71–791	<i>repA</i>	This study
pYE854_1_AM905950	77347–78325	<i>repA</i>	This study
pEC4115_1_NC_011351	93–798	<i>repA</i>	This study
pJARS36_1_NC_015068	179–712	<i>repA</i>	This study
pSM22_1_NC_015972	17401–18023	<i>repA</i>	This study

<sup>a</sup> The number following the final underscore is the GenBank accession number of the sequence.

The analysis of the replication initiation protein genes in plasmid data released in GenBank provided evidence that the majority of large plasmids were still classifiable by DNA homology into phylogenetically related families corresponding to the formerly defined Inc groups (Fig. 1). Therefore, PlasmidFinder has been

conceived to translate plasmid DNA sequences obtained by WGS into the well-established Inc group-based classification framework, allowing the WGS results to be traced back into the scaffold of previous knowledge and literature on relevant resistance plasmids characterized by standard methods (PBRT or Inc typing by



**FIG 1** Numbers of fully sequenced plasmids (*y* axis) classified into incompatibility groups occurring in the different bacterial species of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family. The collection of 335 large plasmids (>20 kb) (listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material) downloaded from the GenBank database was classified into Inc groups by BLASTn (using the criterion of >95% nucleotide identity) using reference replicon sequences (Table 1) and the novel probes in the PlasmidFinder database (Table 2).

conjugation). For each replicon, the PlasmidFinder output is linked to an NCBI GenBank entry connecting to the whole sequence of the plasmid showing that respective replicon. This allows the identification of related plasmids that can be used as references for further, more extensive and accurate comparative analysis of the WGS data.

**Definition of a database for small plasmids in *Enterobacteriaceae*.** The 224 fully sequenced small plasmids were classified into 23 families of homology. Because of the huge sequence variety within the replication controls of this group of plasmids, the number and length of replicon sequences recognizing these plasmids in the PlasmidFinder database were maintained at the minimum for using the >80% nucleotide identity and 96% coverage criteria (Table 3), to avoid the occurrence of multiple alignments on different replicon sequences, which would make interpretation of the output results difficult. This classification was based on multiple phylogenetic analyses of nucleotide alignments of one or more plasmid targets among the *repA*, RNAI, and other plasmid sequences. The largest homologous group was obtained using the RNAI of the small narrow host range (NHR) ColE1-like plasmids from *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (pIGMS31, pIGMS32, and pIGRK), which can be maintained in several closely related species of the class *Gammaproteobacteria* but not in members of the *Alphaproteobacteria* (32). In fact, one replicon sequence (probe ColRNAI\_DQ298019) alone detected 146 of the 224 small plasmids and 1 large plasmid (*K. pneumoniae* p15S; see Table S1 in the supplemental material) at >80% nucleotide identity and >96% coverage. For the majority of the remaining plasmids, the replicon sequences were devised on the *repA* gene, since they lacked the RNAI. Two replicon sequences (probes Q1 and Q2) were devised to recognize the *repA* gene of 7 small IncQ-like plasmids, and one replicon sequence [probe P(6)] was devised to detect the small IncP plasmid pRIO-5 (see Table S1 for the list of plasmids recognized by the probes in Table 3).

In conclusion, a total of 77 novel replicon sequences, 54 and 23 recognizing large and small plasmids, respectively, were devised in

this study and included in the PlasmidFinder database, together with the 39 sequences of previously studied replicons, thus obtaining a database of 116 specific plasmid replicon sequences.

**Evaluating PlasmidFinder on complete resistance plasmid sequences.** A collection of 24 previously characterized and fully

**TABLE 3** List of probes detecting small plasmids

Probe <sup>a</sup>	Position	Locus targeted <sup>b</sup>	Source
Col156_NC_009781	1552–1705	<i>repA</i>	This study
Col3M_JX514065	2453–2609	Intergenic region	This study
Col8282_DQ995352	3349–3555	<i>repA</i>	This study
Col(BS512)_1_NC_010656	1096–1328	<i>repA</i>	This study
ColE10_1_AY167049	159–177	Intergenic region	This study
Col(IMGS31)_1_NC_011406	700–897	ORF2	This study
Col(IRGK)_1_AY543071	2041–2224	ORF2	This study
ColKP3_JN205800	6541–6820	<i>repA</i>	This study
Col(KPHS6)_1_NC_016841	326–503	<i>repA</i>	This study
Col(MG828)_1_NC_008486	967–1228	<i>repA</i>	This study
Col(MGD2)_NC_003789	1235–1370	<i>repA</i>	This study
Col(MP18)_1_NC_013652	266–458	<i>repA</i>	This study
Col(pVC)_JX133088	799–991	<i>repA</i>	This study
Col(pWES)_1_DQ268764	10151–10328	<i>repA</i>	This study
ColRNAI_DQ298019	5738–5867	RNAI	This study
Col(SD853)_1_NC_015392	1363–1556	<i>repA</i>	This study
Col(VCM04)_1_HM231165	776–952	<i>repA</i>	This study
Col(YC)_1_NC_002144	5043–5195	<i>repA</i>	This study
Col(Ye4449)_1_FJ696405	2409–2602	<i>mobC</i>	This study
Col(YF27601)_1_JF937655	1–175	RNAI	This study
Q1_1_HE654726	2181–2630	<i>repA</i>	This study
Q2_1_NC_014356	4547–4996	<i>repA</i>	This study
P(6)_1_JF785550	1477–2282	<i>repA</i>	This study

<sup>a</sup> The number following the final underscore is the GenBank accession number of the sequence.

<sup>b</sup> ORF, open reading frame.

sequenced plasmids, carrying different assortments of replicons of the FII, FIIK, HI1, FIB, FIA, X, I1, N, and A/C types and associated with the most relevant and diffused resistance genes, such as *bla*<sub>NDM-1</sub>, *bla*<sub>KPC-2</sub>, and *bla*<sub>CTX-M-15</sub>, were used to test the ability of PlasmidFinder to correctly identify the replicons located on these plasmids. PlasmidFinder was able to recognize each replicon correctly at a 100% match.

**Using PlasmidFinder on whole-genome sequencing data.** A selection of 49 *S. Typhimurium* draft genomes were chosen in order to test the ability of PlasmidFinder to detect plasmid replicons in bacterial whole-genome sequencing data. These genomes originated from isolates from healthy pigs in Denmark, collected as part of the DANMAP program (33) in 2011, and represent an unbiased sample. An identity threshold of 80% was used in order to detect both large and small plasmids based on the criteria described above. Among the 49 *S. Typhimurium* isolates, none had more than five unique hits to replicons in the database, 1 isolate had five unique hits, 10 isolates had four hits, 8 isolates had three hits, 10 isolates had two hits, 14 isolates had one hit, and 6 isolates had no hits to any of the replicons in the database. Of the *S. Typhimurium* replicons identified through the PlasmidFinder Web tool, 97 sequence hits had a % ID score of 90% or above, whereas only six had % ID scores between 85% and 90%, and no hits were found with % ID scores below 85%. In 74 hits, the full length of the replicon sequence present in the database was found to be present in the draft genomes, while 29 hits had a length between the cutoff length of 60% and 100% relative to the matching sequence in the database.

The six plasmids with % ID below 90%, as well as all but one of the 29 hits with non-full-length sequences, all mapped to replicon sequences from plasmids predicted to belong to the small-size Col plasmid group (expected size, <20 kb).

Among the 116 replicon sequences in the PlasmidFinder database, 22 were found to match sequences in the draft *S. Typhimurium* genomes (Table 4) according to the criteria listed above. The most abundant hits were to the Col(RNAI) sequence ( $n = 21$ ), the IncQ1 sequence ( $n = 18$ ), and the FIB(S) and FII(S) sequences ( $n = 13$ ).

The IncQ1 replicon is identical to the replicon of the broad-host-range RSF1010 plasmid from *E. coli*, containing the *strA*, *strB*, and *sul2* resistance genes, which confer resistance toward streptomycin and sulfonamides. This was found to be present in 39% of the isolates and was in all cases located on sequence contigs with an approximate size of 4.5 kb. This element corresponds to the previously characterized IS26- $\Delta$ *repA*, *repC*, *sul2*, *strA*, *strB*-IS26 composite transposon, in which part of the IncQ1 replicon of the RSF1010 plasmid has been mobilized by IS26, together with the streptomycin and sulfonamide resistance genes (34). This mobile element is widely disseminated on plasmids and bacterial chromosomes; for instance, it was previously reported to be present in 88% of *S. Typhimurium* isolates from an international collection of strains originating mostly from humans but also from various animals, including pigs (35). Interestingly, resistance gene analysis on the same draft genomes using the online search tool ResFinder to detect resistance genes (<http://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/ResFinder/>) also found the *strA*, *strB*, and *sul2* genes to be located on this 4.5-kb fragment, as previously described in other *Salmonella* plasmids (34, 35). This analysis, therefore, enabled us to link antimicrobial resistance determinants directly to the repli-

**TABLE 4** Distribution of replicons identified among 49 whole-genome-sequenced *Salmonella* Typhimurium isolates by using the PlasmidFinder Web server

Replicon <sup>a</sup>	Isolate(s) with replicon (% identity) <sup>b</sup>
Col(RNAI)	S2* (91.7), S4* (89.4), S8* (91.3), S9* (95.0), S11* (89.4), S16* (89.4), S17* (91.2), S18* (89.4), S19* (90.4), S20* (90.4), S32* (89.4), S33* (91.5), S37* (92.0), S39* (95.1), S40* (91.2), S41* (91.5), S43* (91.6), S44 (100), S45* (89.36), S47* (91.5), S50* (92.7)
Q1	S3 (100), S6 (100), S8 (100), S9 (100), S12 (100), S20 (100), S21 (100), S22 (100), S24 (100), S26 (100), S27 (100), S28 (100), S29 (100), S30 (100), S34 (100), S36 (100), S38 (100), S44 (100)
FIB(S)	S1 (99.8), S2 (100), S4 (99.8), S11 (100), S13 (100), S16 (99.8), S32 (99.8), S33 (100), S40 (100), S41 (100), S45 (99.8), S47 (100), S50 (100)
FII(S)	S1 (100), S2 (100), S4 (100), S11 (100), S13 (100), S16 (199), S32 (100), S33 (100), S40 (100), S41 (100), S45 (100), S47 (100), S50 (100)
Col(pVC)	S11 (100), S33* (99.2), S41* (100), S47* (100), S50 (100)
Col(8282)	S5 (100), S6* (91.4), S22* (91.4), S30* (91.4), S38* (91.4)
I1	S13 (100), S25 (99.3), S31 (99.3)
FIA(HI1)	S25 (100), S36 (100), S38 (100)
HI1A	S25 (99.8), S36 (99.8), S38 (99.8)
HI1B(R27)	S25 (100), S36 (100), S38 (100)
X1	S1 (98.9), S28 (98.7)
X4	S28 (100), S37 (99.7)
COL(156)	S39 (94.8), S40 (94.8), S49* (95.1)
F1A	S49 (100)
FII(pCoo)	S49 (96.2)
F(AP001918)	S48 (97.7)
FII(pHN7A8)	S48 (97.3)
HI1A(CIT)	S14 (100)
HI1B(CIT)	S14 (99.0)
HI2	S39 (100)
HI2A	S39 (100)
Col(BS512)	S2* (100)

<sup>a</sup> Replicon types matching the probes in the PlasmidFinder database. Subvariants of replicons are given in parentheses.

<sup>b</sup> Isolate names are given in boldface if more than one replicon was identified on the same contig, indicating colocalization of the replicons on the same plasmid. Hits not in full length are marked with an asterisk. No replicons were identified in strains S7, S10, S15, S23, S42, and S46.

con sequence, predicting the presence of the RSF1010-derived element in these strains.

The FIB(S) and FII(S) sequences (Table 1) present in 27% of the isolates were always found to be present together in the same isolates and were in more than half the cases actually located on the same DNA fragment (contig), with sizes between 20 kb and 93 kb and thereby, also on the same plasmid. These replicons are characteristic for the *Salmonella* virulence plasmids, which represent a specific subgroup within the large family of the IncF plasmids (4). Here, no antimicrobial genes could be identified on the contigs carrying the replicons for FIB(S) and FII(S) sequences, which could be explained either by the lack of such genes on the virulence plasmids or by the inability of the current sequencing and *de novo* assembly methods to assemble complete plasmid sequences.

As the actual plasmid content of the test strains examined was not known, it was not possible to verify that all plasmids present in the isolates were also detected within the WGS data. However, this

also applies to the current *in vitro* PBRT method, which can fail in detecting replicons because of nucleotide mutations occurring at the primer site sequences (4, 8). Furthermore, the PlasmidFinder database can detect many more plasmid replicon sequences than PBRT, also including novel groups. However, to get an indication of the extent of underreporting from PlasmidFinder, we subjected the six isolates reported by PlasmidFinder not to contain any plasmids to *in vitro* plasmid analysis by S1-PFGE analysis and DNA electrophoresis of purified plasmids. Using these methods, we were not able to detect any plasmids present in the strains, thus confirming the PlasmidFinder result (data not shown).

*In silico* detection using PlasmidFinder and ResFinder on WGS data offers the opportunity to link replicons, as well as other genetic features, such as multiple replicons, antimicrobial resistance genes, and virulence genes, to the same DNA fragment, because these tools provide the exact position of the genes in the uploaded sequence data. However, with the limitations in the current sequencing technology, it cannot be concluded that these genetic elements identified on different contigs are not located on the same plasmid. To examine this, transfer experiments by conjugation or transformation of the relevant plasmid(s) combined with S1-PFGE to ensure transfer of a single plasmid is most likely still required. But as the next-generation sequencing technologies mature even further to produce longer read lengths or single-molecule sequencing of larger pieces of DNA than today and thereby increase the ability to assemble sequencing data into longer contigs, this linking of genes will at some point be generally achievable. Furthermore, novel plasmid replicon groups identified by *in silico* BLAST homology searches or traditional replicon cloning and subsequent sequencing can easily be compared to the existing sequences and eventually be added to the database if they are found to differ significantly from these, based on the criteria used to build the PlasmidFinder database (>95% ID for large plasmids and >80% ID for Col-like plasmids).

**pMLST analysis of plasmids.** Among the replicons identified in our *S. Typhimurium* collection, some belonged to four of the five incompatibility groups with available pMLST schemes. We detected strains carrying IncF, IncI1, IncHI1, and IncHI2 plasmids, while we did not detect any IncN plasmids as present in the *S. Typhimurium* WGS data.

Eighteen isolates contained an IncF replicon. In addition to the 13 with the IncFIB(S)/IncFII(S) virulence plasmid described above, this also included other IncF-related replicons, i.e., IncFIA(HI1), IncFIB(AP001918), IncFII(pRSB107), IncFIA, IncFII(pHN7A8), and IncFII(pCoo). *In silico* pMLST analysis using the FAB (FII:FIA:FIB) typing scheme for IncF plasmids suggested in reference 4 on the 13 sets of sequencing data carrying IncFIB(S)/IncFII(S) replicons showed 8 to belong to the S1:A<sup>-</sup>:B17 FAB type previously found to be associated with the *S. Typhimurium* virulence plasmid (4), while 5 belonged to a highly similar FAB type only differing in a single mutation within the IncFIB fragment (assigned to a new FIB allele 35, leading to an S1:A<sup>-</sup>:B35 FAB type).

A new FIA allele that was identified among the three isolates containing IncFIA(HI1) replicons (strains S25, S36, and S38) had only 88% identity to the closest match in the pMLST database (FIA allele 2). The FIA allele 8 was therefore assigned to this allelic variant, resulting in the F:A8:B<sup>-</sup> FAB type. Strain S48 carried an IncFIB(AP001918) and an IncFII(pHN7A8) replicon with an F40:A<sup>-</sup>:B20 FAB type, and strain S49 carried IncFII(pCoo) and

IncFIA replicons, leading to a new FII allele closely related to allele 13 (assigned to FII allele 67) and an FIA allele 6, giving the FAB type F67:A6:B<sup>-</sup>.

Three strains contained replicons belonging to the IncI1 group. pMLST analysis showed them to belong to sequence type 25 (ST25) (strain S13), ST3 (strain S25), and ST36 (strain S31). In addition, two of these strains (strains S25 and S36), as well as a fourth strain (strain S14), contained IncHI1 replicons and one strain (strain S39) contained two IncHI2 replicons (HI2 and HI2A) located on the same DNA fragment after *de novo* assembly of the sequencing data. Isolates S25 and S36 both had perfect matches to all 6 alleles of the IncHI1 pMLST scheme; however, the combination of alleles was new (subsequently assigned to ST10), while isolate S14 produced six completely new alleles with 85% to 93% identity to the alleles already present in the pMLST database for IncHI1 plasmids. These alleles have been added to the pMLST scheme, and ST13 has been assigned to the IncHI1 plasmid from isolate S14. Interestingly, five of the six IncHI1 alleles in S14 were identical to the IncHI1 plasmid pNDM-CIT that was previously identified in a *Citrobacter freundii* isolate from a French patient with urinary tract infection returning from India (8). Finally, isolate S39 carrying two IncHI2 replicons only produced a result in one of the two alleles (smr0018 allele 1) of the IncHI2 scheme and is therefore not typeable by this method.

Based on the results presented above, the PlasmidFinder and pMLST Web tools present an opportunity for microbiologists without particular bioinformatics skills to analyze whole-genome data in both raw and assembled formats obtained from their own benchtop sequencers and retrieve plasmid replicon information to be used in clinical and epidemiological investigations. An advantage of using freely available Web-based services is that different investigators can use the same comprehensive curated database and standardized analytic settings, thus enabling more reproducible data for comparison of results between studies. At the moment, only one data set per each query session can be submitted, but a tool for batch upload of multiple data sets is currently under construction. Finally, correlation between plasmid replicons and antimicrobial resistance determinants is in some cases possible with the current technology; however, this is expected to further improve when next-generation sequencing technology and assembly eventually is able to produce long contigs and, ultimately, complete plasmid sequences.

**Conclusion.** Here, we present two free, easy-to-use Web tools, PlasmidFinder and pMLST, to analyze and classify plasmids from bacterial species of the family *Enterobacteriaceae*. To generate the PlasmidFinder tool, plasmids in GenBank have been classified into homology groups, which were, however, referred to the current plasmid nomenclature based on incompatibility groups. Therefore, PlasmidFinder not only provides the detection of replicons in the WGS but also assigns the plasmid under study to Inc groups and refers to the GenBank accession number of the plasmid that is the reference for that group. The advantage of using PlasmidFinder instead of submitting the query directly to BLASTn at the NCBI consists in the immediate classification of the plasmid into existing plasmid lineages, which is very useful for epidemiological tracing of the horizontal spread of antimicrobial resistance associated with particular epidemic plasmid types. Furthermore, PlasmidFinder will accept raw sequencing data directly from the sequencers and assemble these prior to comparing to the plasmid replicon database, a feature which is not possible at NCBI.

Testing of these tools using both fully assembled plasmid sequences and WGS-generated draft genomes showed them to be able to detect a broad variety of plasmid replicons among a collection of *S. Typhimurium* isolates, as well as to be able to subtype IncHI1, IncHI2, IncI1, and IncF plasmids present among the isolates. With the decrease in the price of WGS and the increases in read lengths, plasmid replicon typing in relation to plasmid epidemiology and the spread of antimicrobial resistance determinants by this method offers an alternative to traditional *in vitro* plasmid detection and subtyping.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Lisbeth Andersen and Rolf Sommer Kaas for excellent technical assistance.

This study was supported by the Center for Genomic Epidemiology ([www.genomicsepidemiology.org](http://www.genomicsepidemiology.org)) grant 09-067103/DSF, from the Danish Council for Strategic Research, and by the InterOmics project (PB.P05), funded by the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research (MIUR).

## REFERENCES

- Couturier M, Bex F, Bergquist PL, Maas WK. 1988. Identification and classification of bacterial plasmids. *Microbiol. Rev.* 52:375–395.
- Carattoli A, Bertini A, Villa L, Falbo V, Hopkins KL, Threlfall J. 2005. Identification of plasmids by PCR-based replicon typing. *J. Microbiol. Methods* 63:219–228. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2005.03.018>.
- Datta N, Hedges RW. 1971. Compatibility groups among  $f_i^-$  R factors. *Nature* 234:222–223. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/234222a0>.
- Villa L, García-Fernández A, Fortini D, Carattoli A. 2010. Replicon sequence typing of IncF plasmids carrying virulence and resistance determinants. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 65:2518–2529. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkq347>.
- Villa L, Poirel L, Nordmann P, Carta C, Carattoli A. 2012. Complete sequencing of an IncH plasmid carrying the *bla*NDM-1, *bla*CTX-M-15 and *qnrB1* genes. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 67:1645–1650. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks114>.
- García-Fernández A, Fortini D, Veldman K, Mevius D, Carattoli A. 2009. Characterization of plasmids harbouring *qnrS1*, *qnrB2* and *qnrB19* genes in *Salmonella*. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 63:274–281. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkn470>.
- García-Fernández A, Carattoli A. 2010. Plasmid double locus sequence typing for IncHI2 plasmids, a subtyping scheme for the characterization of IncHI2 plasmids carrying extended-spectrum beta-lactamase and quinolone resistance genes. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 65:1155–1161. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkq101>.
- Dolejska M, Villa L, Poirel L, Nordmann P, Carattoli A. 2013. Complete sequencing of an IncHI1 plasmid encoding the carbapenemase NDM-1, the ArmA 16S RNA methylase and a resistance-modulation-cell division/multidrug efflux pump. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 68:34–39. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks357>.
- Johnson TJ, Bielak EM, Fortini D, Hansen LH, Hasman H, Debroy C, Nolan LK, Carattoli A. 2012. Expansion of the IncX plasmid family for improved identification and typing of novel plasmids in drug-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. *Plasmid* 68:43–50. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2012.03.001>.
- Alvarado A, Garcillán-Barcía MP, de la Cruz F. 2012. A degenerate primer MOB typing (DPMT) method to classify gamma-proteobacterial plasmids in clinical and environmental settings. *PLoS One* 7:e40438. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0040438>.
- Jolley KA, Maiden MC. 2010. BIGSdb: scalable analysis of bacterial genome variation at the population level. *BMC Bioinformatics* 11:595. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-595>.
- García-Fernández A, Chiaretto G, Bertini A, Villa L, Fortini D, Ricci A, Carattoli A. 2008. Multilocus sequence typing of IncI1 plasmids carrying extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* of human and animal origin. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 61:1229–1233. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkn131>.
- García-Fernández A, Villa L, Moodley A, Hasman H, Miriagou V, Guardabassi L, Carattoli A. 2011. Multilocus sequence typing of IncN plasmids. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 66:1987–1991. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr225>.
- Phan MD, Kidgell C, Nair S, Holt KE, Turner AK, Hinds J, Butcher P, Cooke FJ. 2009. Variation in *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhi IncHI1 plasmids during the global spread of resistant typhoid fever. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 53:716–727. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00645-08>.
- Zankari E, Hasman H, Cosentino S, Vestergaard M, Rasmussen S, Lund O, Aarestrup FM, Larsen MV. 2012. Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 67:2640–2644. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks261>.
- Larsen MV, Cosentino S, Rasmussen S, Friis C, Hasman H, Marvig RL, Jelsbak L, Sicheritz-Pontén T, Ussery DW, Aarestrup FM, Lund O. 2012. Multilocus sequence typing of total-genome-sequenced bacteria. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 50:1355–1361. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.06094-11>.
- Villa L, Carattoli A, Nordmann P, Carta C, Poirel L. 2013. Complete sequence of the IncT-type plasmid pT-OXA-181 carrying the *bla*OXA-181 carbapenemase gene from *Citrobacter freundii*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 57:1965–1967. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01297-12>.
- Villa L, Capone A, Fortini D, Dolejska M, Rodríguez I, Taglietti F, De Paolis P, Petrosillo N, Carattoli A. 2013. Reversion to susceptibility of a carbapenem-resistant clinical isolate of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* producing KPC-3. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 68:2482–2486. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt235>.
- García-Fernández A, Villa L, Carta C, Venditti C, Giordano A, Venditti M, Mancini C, Carattoli A. 2012. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ST258 producing KPC-3 identified in Italy carries novel plasmids and *OmpK36/OmpK35* porin variants. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56:2143–2145. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.05308-11>.
- Dolejska M, Villa L, Hasman H, Hansen L, Carattoli A. 2013. Characterization of IncN plasmids carrying *bla*CTX-M-1 and *qnr* genes in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* from animals, the environment and humans. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 68:333–339. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks387>.
- Woodford N, Carattoli A, Karisik E, Underwood A, Ellington MJ, Livermore DM. 2009. Complete nucleotide sequences of plasmids pEK204, pEK499, and pEK516, encoding CTX-M enzymes in three major *Escherichia coli* lineages from the United Kingdom, all belonging to the international O25:H4-ST131 clone. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 53:4472–4482. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00688-09>.
- Bonnin RA, Poirel L, Carattoli A, Nordmann P. 2012. Characterization of an IncFII plasmid encoding NDM-1 from *Escherichia coli* ST131. *PLoS One* 7:e34752. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0034752>.
- Carattoli A, Aschbacher R, March A, Larcher C, Livermore DM, Woodford N. 2010. Complete nucleotide sequence of the IncN plasmid pKOX105 encoding VIM-1, QnrS1 and SHV-12 proteins in Enterobacteriaceae from Bolzano, Italy compared with IncN plasmids encoding KPC enzymes in the USA. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 65:2070–2075. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkq269>.
- Carattoli A, Villa L, Poirel L, Bonnin RA, Nordmann P. 2012. Evolution of IncA/C *bla*CMY-2-carrying plasmids by acquisition of the *bla*NDM-1 carbapenemase gene. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56:783–786. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.05116-11>.
- Zankari E, Hasman H, Kaas RS, Seyfarth AM, Agersø Y, Lund O, Larsen MV, Aarestrup FM. 2013. Genotyping using whole-genome sequencing is a realistic alternative to surveillance based on phenotypic antimicrobial susceptibility testing. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 68:771–777. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks496>.
- Cottell JL, Webber MA, Coldham NG, Taylor DL, Cerdeño-Tárraga AM, Hauser H, Thomson NR, Woodward MJ, Piddock LJ. 2011. Complete sequence and molecular epidemiology of IncK epidemic plasmid encoding *bla*CTX-M-14. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 17:645–652. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1704.101009>.
- Sherburne CK, Lawley TD, Gilmour MW, Blattner FR, Burland V, Grotbeck E, Rose DJ, Taylor DE. 2000. The complete DNA sequence and analysis of R27, a large IncHI plasmid from *Salmonella* Typhi that is temperature sensitive for transfer. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 28:2177–2186. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.10.2177>.
- Gilmour MW, Thomson NR, Sanders M, Parkhill J, Taylor DE. 2004. The complete nucleotide sequence of the resistance plasmid R478: defining the backbone components of incompatibility group H conjugative plasmids through comparative genomics. *Plasmid* 52:182–202. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2004.06.006>.



29. Poirel L, Bonnin RA, Nordmann P. 2011. Analysis of the resistome of a multidrug-resistant NDM-1-producing *Escherichia coli* strain by high-throughput genome sequencing. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 55:4224–4229. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00165-11>.
30. Hansen LH, Johannesen E, Burmolle M, Sorensen AH, Sorensen SJ. 2004. Plasmid-encoded multidrug efflux pump conferring resistance to olaquinox in *Escherichia coli*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 48:3332–3337. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.9.3332-3337.2004>.
31. Marchler-Bauer A, Lu S, Anderson JB, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, DeWeese-Scott C, Fong JH, Geer LY, Geer RC, Gonzales NR, Gwatz M, Hurwitz DI, Jackson JD, Ke Z, Lanczycki CJ, Lu F, Marchler GH, Mullokandov M, Omelchenko MV, Robertson CL, Song JS, Thanki N, Yamashita RA, Zhang D, Zhang N, Zheng C, Bryant SH. 2011. CDD: a Conserved Domain Database for the functional annotation of proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39:D225–D229. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq1189>.
32. Smorawska M, Szuplewska M, Zaleski P, Wawrzyniak P, Maj A, Plucienniczak A, Bartosik D. 2012. Mobilizable narrow host range plasmids as natural suicide vectors enabling horizontal gene transfer among distantly related bacterial species. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 326:76–82. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2011.02432.x>.
33. DANMAP. 2012. DANMAP 2011—use of antimicrobial agents and occurrence of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria from food animals foods and humans in Denmark. 2012. [http://www.danmap.org/Downloads/~media/Projekt%20sites/Danmap/DANMAP%20reports/Danmap\\_2011.ashx](http://www.danmap.org/Downloads/~media/Projekt%20sites/Danmap/DANMAP%20reports/Danmap_2011.ashx).
34. Miriagou V, Carattoli A, Fanning S. 2006. Antimicrobial resistance islands: resistance gene clusters in *Salmonella* chromosome and plasmids. *Microbes Infect.* 8:1923–1930. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf.2005.12.027>.
35. Helmuth R, Stephan R, Bunge C, Hoog B, Steinbeck A, Bulling E. 1985. Epidemiology of virulence-associated plasmids and outer membrane protein patterns within seven common *Salmonella* serotypes. *Infect. Immun.* 48:175–182.