# LETTER TO THE EDITOR



# Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Staphylococcus Isolates from the Skin of Patients with Diarrhea-Predominant Irritable Bowel Syndrome Treated with Repeat Courses of Rifaximin

## Herbert L. DuPont,<sup>a</sup> Ray A. Wolf,<sup>b\*</sup> Robert J. Israel,<sup>b</sup> Mark Pimentel<sup>c</sup>

Antimicrobial Agents

MICROBIOLOGY and Chemotherapy®

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR

The University of Texas School of Public Health, Kelsey Research Foundation, Baylor College of Medicine, Baylor St. Luke's Medical Center, Houston, Texas, USA<sup>a</sup>; Salix Pharmaceuticals, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA<sup>b</sup>; Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, California, USA<sup>c</sup>

**KEYWORDS** antibiotics, irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhea, resistance, rifaximin, skin swabs, staphylococcal isolates, susceptibility

**R**ifaximin is a nonsystemic antibiotic indicated for diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome (IBS-D) (1). A randomized, double-blind (DB), placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial (TARGET 3; NCT01543178) assessed up to three 2-week courses of rifaximin (2). Adults with IBS-D who responded to 2 weeks of open-label rifaximin treatment (550 mg three times a day [TID]) during a 4-week posttreatment follow-up but subsequently relapsed during 18 additional weeks of follow-up were randomly assigned to DB treatment with rifaximin or placebo for two 2-week repeat courses (10 weeks apart). Given the potential risk of antibiotic resistance, the antibiotic susceptibility of *Staphylococcus* skin isolates was tested during various study phases.

(These data were presented in part at the American College of Gastroenterology Annual Scientific Meeting and Postgraduate Course, 16 to 21 October 2015, Honolulu, HI.)

Details of patient population and study design were previously published (2). In the current substudy, isolates were cultured from skin swabs of the peri-anus, nostrils, forearms, and palms of hands at 5 occasions: start and end of open-label rifaximin treatment, start and end of first DB treatment, and study end. Cultures were analyzed at central laboratories. Skin swabs were plated on both tryptic soy agar with 5% sheep blood and Columbia colistin nalidixic acid (NCA) agar with 5% sheep blood and then incubated in a 5% to 7% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 35°C for 24 and 48 h. Broth microdilution was used to determine MICs of 11 antibiotics—rifaximin, rifampin, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, cephalothin, ciprofloxacin, imipenem, meropenem, piperacillin-tazobactam, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, and vancomycin—against *Staphylococcus* isolates. MIC ranges were based on Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines (3) or the literature (4). Plates containing each antibiotic were inoculated and incubated at  $35^{\circ}$ C  $\pm$  1°C in CO<sub>2</sub>. Purity control and positive- and negative-growth control plates were included. To provide side-by-side comparisons of rifaximin and rifampin, the rifampin MIC value for resistance ( $\geq 4 \mu g/mI$ ) was assigned to rifaximin.

Skin swabs were obtained from 115 patients; 31 also participated in the DB phase (rifaximin treatment, n = 19; placebo treatment, n = 12). A total of 1,381 staphylococcal isolates (18 strains) were identified; the majority of the isolates were *Staphylococcus* epidermis (54.2%) or *Staphylococcus* hominis (17.2%) species. *Staphylococcus* haemolyticus (8.2%), *Staphylococcus* aureus (5.1%), and *Staphylococcus* capitis (4.3%) strains were less commonly isolated.

#### Accepted manuscript posted online 24 October 2016

**Citation** Dupont HL, Wolf RA, Israel RJ, Pimentel M. 2017. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Staphylococcus* isolates from the skin of patients with diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome treated with repeat courses of rifaximin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61:e02165-16. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AAC.02165-16.

**Copyright** © 2016 DuPont et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Herbert L. DuPont, Herbert.L.Dupont@uth.tmc.edu.

\* Present address: Ray A. Wolf, kaléo, Inc., Richmond, Virginia, USA.

Time point <sup>6</sup> (patients) and	No. of	Rifaximin ( $\mu$ g/ml	)		Rifampin (µg/ml)			
treatment group	isolates	MIC range	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	MIC range	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	
DB rifaximin								
Day 1 ( <i>n</i> = 18)	65	≤0.001 to 64	0.015	0.03	≤0.015 to >32	≤0.015	≤0.015	
Wk 2; EOT $(n = 18)$	64	0.004 to 64	0.015	32	≤0.015 to >32	≤0.015	16	
Wk 11–14 ( $n = 1$ )	5	0.008 to 64	0.06	64	≤0.015 to >32	0.03	>32	
Wk 15–18 (n = 1)	3	0.015 to 0.5	0.015	0.5	≤0.015 to 0.25	≤0.015	0.25	
Wk 19–22 ( <i>n</i> = 10)	43	0.008 to 0.32	0.015	0.5	≤0.015 to >32	≤0.015	0.12	
Wk $\ge$ 23 (n = 6)	28	0.004 to 64	0.015	0.06	$\leq$ 0.015 to $>$ 32	≤0.015	≤0.015	
DB placebo								
Day 1 ( $n = 12$ )	48	≤0.001 to 64	0.015	0.03	≤0.015 to 8	≤0.015	≤0.015	
Wk 2 (EOT; $n = 12$ )	63	≤0.001 to 64	0.015	0.03	≤0.015 to >32	≤0.015	≤0.015	
Wk 15–18 (n = 1)	4	0.008 to 0.03	0.03	0.03	≤0.015 to ≤0.015	≤0.015	≤0.015	
Wk 19–22 ( $n = 5$ )	27	0.004 to 0.03	0.015	0.03	≤0.015 to ≤0.015	≤0.015	≤0.015	
Wk $\ge$ 23 (n = 6)	29	0.008 to 0.06	0.015	0.03	≤0.015 to 0.03	≤0.015	≤0.015	

<sup>a</sup>Patients received open-label (OL) rifaximin (550 mg 3 times daily [TID]) for 2 weeks followed by a 4-week, treatment-free follow-up period to determine response. Responders to OL rifaximin who experienced symptom recurrence during an 18-week treatment-free follow-up period were randomly assigned, in a double-blind (DB) manner, to receive 2 repeat treatments of rifaximin (550 mg) or placebo TID for 2 weeks, with the courses separated by 10 weeks.

<sup>b</sup>The follow-up periods differed; therefore, the follow-up visits were grouped into 4-week periods to determine whether there was an effect of time on antibiotic susceptibility of staphylococcal isolates. Only data from weeks in which isolates were obtained are shown in the table. EOT, end of treatment.

At the DB baseline, placebo group isolates had rifaximin MIC<sub>50</sub> (0.015  $\mu$ g/ml) and MIC<sub>90</sub> (0.03  $\mu$ g/ml) values identical to those observed with rifaximin (Table 1). Rifaximin MIC<sub>50</sub> values remained low (0.015 to 0.06  $\mu$ g/ml) through the end-of-study visit. Transient increases in rifaximin MIC<sub>90</sub> values were observed in the DB-rifaximin group but not the DB-placebo group, with a return to DB baseline MIC<sub>90</sub> values by the time of the end-of-study visit. Similar patterns in MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub> values had been observed for rifaximin during open-label treatment (data not shown). Rifampin susceptibility results were comparable with rifaximin susceptibility results (DB data, Table 2). For other antibiotics tested, MIC values were also low, with minimal changes (DB data, Table 3). For the 71 *S. aureus* isolates, no rifaximin- or rifampin-resistant isolates were cultured, nor was

Time point <sup>c</sup> (patients)	No. of isolates	No. of antibiotic-resistant isolates from indicated location									
		Rifaximi	n <sup>b</sup>			Rifampin					
		Arms <sup>d</sup>	Nostrils	Palms	Perianal	Total	Arms <sup>d</sup>	Nostrils	Palms	Perianal	Total
DB rifaximin											
Day 1 ( $n = 18$ )	65	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	1	2
Wk 2; EOT (n = 18)	64	0	0	0	12	12	0	0	0	11	11
Wk 11–14 ( $n = 1$ )	5	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	2
Wk 15–18 (n = 1)	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wk 19–22 (n = 10)	43	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	4	4
Wk $\ge$ 23 (n = 6)	28	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	2
DB placebo											
Day 1 ( $n = 12$ )	48	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
Wk 2 (EOT; n = 12)	63	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	2
Wk 11–14 ( $n = 0$ )	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wk 15–18 (n = 1)	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wk 19–22 ( $n = 5$ )	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wk $\ge$ 23 (n = 6)	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 2 Rifaximin- and rifampin-resistant staphylococcal isolates obtained during the DB phase of study<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup>Patients received open-label (OL) rifaximin (550 mg 3 times daily [TID]) for 2 weeks followed by a 4-week, treatment-free follow-up period to determine response. Responders to OL rifaximin who experienced symptom recurrence during an 18-week treatment-free follow-up period were randomly assigned, in a double-blind (DB) manner, to receive 2 repeat treatments of rifaximin (550) mg or placebo TID for 2 weeks, with the courses separated by 10 weeks. EOT, end of treatment. <sup>*b*</sup>To compare the levels of sensitivity of *Staphylococcus* isolates to rifaximin and rifampin, the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute-established MIC breakpoint for rifampin (i.e., resistance at MIC  $\geq$  4 µg/ml) was applied to rifaximin.

The follow-up periods differed; therefore, the follow-up visits were grouped into 4-week periods to determine whether there was an effect of time on antibiotic susceptibility of staphylococcal isolates. Only data from weeks in which isolates were obtained are shown in the table.

<sup>d</sup>Data from forearms of each patient were pooled.

Time point <sup>c</sup> (patients)	MIC <sub>50</sub> (µg/ml)										
	CAZ	CRO	CEF	CIP	IPM	MEM	TZP	SXT	VAN		
DB rifaximin											
Day 1 ( <i>n</i> = 18)	8	2	0.25	0.25	0.015	0.12	0.5/4	0.25/4.8	1		
Wk 2; EOT $(n = 18)$	4	2	0.12	0.25	0.015	0.12	0.25/4	0.25/4.8	1		
Wk 11–14 ( $n = 1$ )	16	4	0.25	0.5	0.015	0.12	0.5/4	0.25/4.8	1		
Wk 15–18 ( $n = 1$ )	4	1	0.12	0.25	0.015	0.12	0.25/4	0.5/9.5	2		
Wk 19–22 ( $n = 10$ )	8	2	0.12	0.25	0.015	0.12	0.5/4	0.25/4.8	1		
Wk $\ge$ 23 (n = 6)	8	2	0.25	0.25	0.015	0.12	0.5/4	0.12/2.4	1		
DB placebo											
Day 1 ( $n = 12$ )	8	2	0.25	0.25	0.015	0.12	0.5/4	0.25/4.8	1		
Wk 2; EOT ( $n = 12$ )	8	2	0.25	0.25	0.015	0.12	0.5/4	0.25/4.8	1		
Wk 15–18 ( $n = 1$ )	8	4	0.25	1	0.03	0.25	0.5/4	0.06/1.2	1		
Wk 19–22 ( $n = 5$ )	8	2	0.12	0.25	0.015	0.12	0.5/4	0.25/4.8	1		
Wk $\ge$ 23 (n = 6)	8	4	0.25	0.25	0.015	0.25	0.5/4	0.25/4.8	1		

TABLE 3 In vitro activity <sup>a</sup>	of 9	antibiotics	against	staphy	lococcal	isolates	obtained	during	the DB	phase <sup>t</sup>
,										

<sup>a</sup>Based on MIC<sub>50</sub> values. CAZ, ceftazidime; CEF, cephalothin; CIP, ciprofloxacin; CRO, ceftriaxone; DB, double-blind; EOT, end of treatment; IPM, imipenem; MEM, meropenem; SXT, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; TZP, piperacillin-tazobactam; VAN, vancomycin.

<sup>b</sup>Patients received open-label (OL) rifaximin (550 mg 3 times daily [TID]) for 2 weeks followed by a 4-week, treatment-free follow-up period to determine response. Responders to OL rifaximin who experienced symptom recurrence during an 18-week treatment-free follow-up period were randomly assigned, in a DB manner, to receive 2 repeat treatments of rifaximin (550 mg) or placebo TID for 2 weeks, with the courses separated by 10 weeks.

<sup>c</sup>The follow-up periods differed; therefore, the follow-up visits were grouped into 4-week periods to determine whether there was an effect of time on antibiotic susceptibility of staphylococcal isolates. Only data from weeks in which isolates were obtained are shown in the table.

there any *S. aureus* overgrowth apparent. Overall, this analysis of *Staphylococcus* skin isolates from patients with IBS-D demonstrated that short-term (2-week) exposure to rifaximin (1,650 mg/day for up to 3 courses) did not lead to clinically significant or persistent resistance to rifaximin, rifampin, or other clinically important antibiotics.

(This study has been registered at ClinicalTrials.gov under registration no. NCT01543178.)

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The study sponsor Salix Pharmaceuticals, Raleigh, NC, was involved in all stages of the study protocol development, study conduct, and data analyses. All of us had full access to the data.

H.L.D. reports serving as a consultant for Salix Pharmaceuticals and receiving research grants from Santarus (previously, a wholly owned subsidiary of Salix Pharmaceuticals). R.A.W. is a former employee of Salix Pharmaceuticals. R.J.I. is an employee of Salix Pharmaceuticals or its affiliates. M.P. reports serving as a consultant for and receiving research grants from Salix Pharmaceuticals. In addition, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center has a licensing agreement with Salix Pharmaceuticals. Technical editorial and medical writing assistance was provided by Mary Beth Moncrief and Linda A. Goldstein, Synchrony Medical Communications, LLC, West Chester, PA, under our direction. Funding for this support was provided by Salix Pharmaceuticals.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Salix Pharmaceuticals. 2015. Xifaxan (rifaximin) tablets, for oral use (package insert). Salix Pharmaceuticals, Raleigh, NC.
- Lembo A, Pimentel M, Rao SS, Schoenfeld P, Cash B, Weinstock LB, Paterson C, Bortey E, Forbes WP. 13 August 2016. Repeat treatment with rifaximin is safe and effective in patients with diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome. Gastroenterology https://doi.org/10.1053/ j.gastro.2016.08.003.
- 3. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2014. Performance standards

for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; 24th informational supplement. CLSI document M100-S24. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.

 Finegold SM, Molitoris D, Vaisanen ML. 2009. Study of the in vitro activities of rifaximin and comparator agents against 536 anaerobic intestinal bacteria from the perspective of potential utility in pathology involving bowel flora. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:1–3. https:// doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00441-08.