



# *In Vitro* Activity of the Novel Lactone Ketolide Nafithromycin (WCK 4873) against Contemporary Clinical Bacteria from a Global Surveillance Program

R. K. Flamm, P. R. Rhomberg, H. S. Sader

JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA

**ABSTRACT** Nafithromycin (WCK 4873), a novel antimicrobial agent of the lactone ketolide class, is currently in phase 2 development for treatment of community-acquired bacterial pneumonia (CABP). A total of 4,739 nonduplicate isolates were selected from a 2014 global surveillance program at medical institutions located in 43 countries within the United States, Europe, Latin America, and the Asia-Pacific region. Nafithromycin and comparator agents were used for susceptibility testing by reference broth microdilution methods. Nafithromycin was active against *Staphylococcus aureus* (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.06/>2 μg/ml), including erythromycin-resistant strains exhibiting an inducible clindamycin resistance phenotype (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.06/0.06 μg/ml) and telithromycin-susceptible strains (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.06/0.06 μg/ml), but it exhibited limited activity against most telithromycin-resistant and clindamycin-resistant isolates that were constitutively resistant to macrolides (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, >2/>2 μg/ml). Nafithromycin was very active (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.015/0.06 μg/ml) against 1,911 *Streptococcus pneumoniae* strains, inhibiting all strains, with MIC values of ≤0.25 μg/ml. Telithromycin susceptibility was 99.9% for *Streptococcus pneumoniae* strains, and nafithromycin was up to 8-fold more potent than telithromycin. Overall, 37.9% of *S. pneumoniae* strains were resistant to erythromycin, and 19.7% were resistant to clindamycin. Nafithromycin was highly active against 606 *Streptococcus pyogenes* strains (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.015/0.015 μg/ml), inhibiting 100.0% of isolates at ≤0.5 μg/ml, and MIC<sub>50/90</sub> values (0.015/0.015 to 0.03 μg/ml) were similar for the 4 geographic regions. Nafithromycin and telithromycin demonstrated comparable *in vitro* activities against 1,002 *Haemophilus influenzae* isolates and 504 *Moraxella catarrhalis* isolates. Overall, nafithromycin showed potent *in vitro* activity against a broad range of contemporary (2014) global pathogens. These results support the continued clinical development of nafithromycin for treatment of CABP.

**KEYWORDS** *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, ketolides

Nafithromycin (WCK 4873) is a novel antimicrobial agent of the lactone ketolide class that was awarded qualified infectious disease product (QIDP) status by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA) in 2015. Nafithromycin has been shown to exhibit potent *in vitro* activity against respiratory tract pathogens, including multidrug-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (1, 2). It is currently undergoing clinical development in the United States that includes a pharmacokinetic study (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT02770404) and a phase 2 study for the treatment of community-acquired bacterial pneumonia (CABP) (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT02903836). In Europe, it has completed single ascending dose (SAD) and multiple ascending dose (MAD) phase 1 pharmacokinetic studies (3, 4).

Ketolides are derivatives of the erythromycin A class that lack the L-cladinose sugar at position 3 of the erythronolide ring and have a ketone in its place (5). Ketolides

Received 13 June 2017 Returned for modification 6 July 2017 Accepted 28 September 2017

Accepted manuscript posted online 2 October 2017

**Citation** Flamm RK, Rhomberg PR, Sader HS. 2017. *In vitro* activity of the novel lactone ketolide nafithromycin (WCK 4873) against contemporary clinical bacteria from a global surveillance program. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61:e01230-17. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01230-17>.

**Copyright** © 2017 American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

Address correspondence to R. K. Flamm, robert-flamm@jmilabs.com.

interact at multiple positions on the ribosome, thus allowing for activity against macrolide-resistant organisms even though the presence of ribosome methylation prevents macrolides from being active (5–8). Due to a spectrum of activity that includes macrolide-resistant organisms, the ketolides have been viewed as an attractive choice for treating infections where multidrug-resistant Gram-positive bacteria may occur, such as CABP (5, 9, 10).

In this study, we evaluated the activities of nafithromycin and comparator antimicrobial agents, measured by reference Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) methods, and tested them against a large collection of contemporary clinical isolates collected in medical centers worldwide as part of the 2014 SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program.

## RESULTS

Nafithromycin demonstrated bimodal activity ( $MIC_{50/90}$ , 0.06/>2  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) against 716 *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates (Tables 1 and 2). Regardless of the methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) profile, nafithromycin showed limited activity (MICs of >2  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) against most (80/83 strains) telithromycin-resistant ( $n = 80$ ) and telithromycin-intermediate ( $n = 3$ ) strains that were also clindamycin resistant, i.e., constitutively resistant to macrolides (Tables 1 and 2). Conversely, nafithromycin activity was high ( $MIC_{50/90}$ , 0.06/0.06  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) against telithromycin-susceptible strains as well as against erythromycin-resistant strains that were susceptible to clindamycin ( $n = 85$ ) and strains with inducible clindamycin resistance (Table 1). The activity of nafithromycin varied by geographic region, with activity being greater in Europe (EU) ( $MIC_{50/90}$ , 0.06/0.06  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) (Table 2) and the Asia-Pacific region (APAC) ( $MIC_{50/90}$ , 0.06/0.12  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) (Table 2) than in the United States (US) ( $MIC_{50/90}$ , 0.06/>2  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) (Table 2) and Latin America (LATAM) ( $MIC_{50/90}$ , 0.06/>2  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) (Table 2). However, the overall MIC distributions were similar (bimodal) for these regions, and the lower  $MIC_{90}$  values observed for the EU and APAC were reflective of the lower rates of telithromycin resistance in these regions (6.9% and 8.4%, respectively) than in the US (12.2%) and LATAM (18.2%) (Table 2).

Against *S. aureus* isolates from all geographic regions combined, nafithromycin ( $MIC_{50/90}$ , 0.06/>2  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) demonstrated a potency most similar to that of telithromycin ( $MIC_{50/90}$ , 0.06/>2  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) (88.4% susceptible). Overall, resistance rates were higher for oxacillin (MRSA) (34.6%), erythromycin (33.1 to 37.2% [CLSI/EUCAST]), ciprofloxacin (25.1 to 27.1% [CLSI/EUCAST]), and clindamycin (11.9% [data not shown]). MRSA rates ranged from 24.6% in the EU (Table 2) to 46.3% in the US (Table 2). Erythromycin resistance rates ranged from 24.7% in APAC (Table 2) to 56.1% in the US (Table 2). No resistance was observed when *S. aureus* isolates were tested against linezolid and vancomycin (Table 2).

Nafithromycin was very active ( $MIC_{50/90}$ , 0.015/0.06  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) against *S. pneumoniae* isolates, with all isolates inhibited at MIC values of 0.25  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  or less (Tables 1 and 2). Similar  $MIC_{50/90}$  values were observed for the 4 geographic regions sampled (Table 2). Overall, telithromycin susceptibility was 99.9%/89.3% (CLSI/EUCAST criteria [data not shown]). Nafithromycin ( $MIC_{90}$ , 0.06  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) was 8-fold more potent than telithromycin ( $MIC_{90}$ , 0.5  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) against *S. pneumoniae*. Against erythromycin- and clindamycin-resistant pneumococci (constitutively macrolide resistant), the  $MIC_{50}$  and  $MIC_{90}$  of nafithromycin were 0.03 and 0.12  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ , respectively (Tables 1 and 2). Importantly, nafithromycin was very active against telithromycin-nonsusceptible *S. pneumoniae* isolates, with  $MIC_{50}$  and  $MIC_{90}$  values of 0.06 and 0.12  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ , respectively (Tables 1 and 2).

Using the EUCAST susceptibility breakpoint of  $\leq 0.25$   $\mu\text{g/ml}$  for telithromycin, 204 *S. pneumoniae* isolates were nonsusceptible to telithromycin, and all of these strains demonstrated nafithromycin MIC values of  $\leq 0.25$   $\mu\text{g/ml}$  ( $MIC_{50/90}$ , 0.06/0.12  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) (Table 1). Nafithromycin retained good activity against erythromycin-resistant/clindamycin-susceptible ( $MIC_{50/90}$ , 0.03/0.06  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) and erythromycin-resistant/clindamycin-resistant ( $MIC_{50/90}$ , 0.03/0.12  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) (Table 1) isolates. Using CLSI breakpoints, resistance to oral

**TABLE 1** Cumulative frequency distributions of nafithromycin MIC results against 4,739 bacterial isolates (all regions combined)

Organism or phenotype (MIC) <sup>c</sup>	No. of isolates	No. of isolates (cumulative %) with nafithromycin MIC (μg/ml)															MIC <sub>50</sub> (μg/ml)	MIC <sub>90</sub> (μg/ml)
		≤0.002	0.004	0.008	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	> <sup>a</sup>		
<i>S. aureus</i>	716	1 (0.1)	39 (5.6)	562 (84.1)	27 (87.8)	3 (88.3)	2 (88.5)	0 (88.5)	2 (88.8)	80 (100.0)	0.06	>2						
MSSA	468	1 (0.4)	28 (6.0)	409 (93.4)	20 (97.6)	1 (97.9)	2 (98.3)	0 (98.3)	2 (98.7)	6 (100.0)	0.06	0.06						
MRSA	248	1 (0.2)	39 (6.3)	153 (66.5)	7 (69.4)	2 (70.2)	0 (70.2)	0 (70.2)	0 (70.2)	74 (100.0)	0.06	>2						
Telithromycin <sup>s</sup> (≤1 μg/ml)	633	1 (0.2)	39 (6.3)	562 (95.1)	27 (99.4)	3 (99.8)	1 (100.0)	0 (100.0)	0 (100.0)	2 (100.0)	0.06	0.06						
Telithromycin <sup>i</sup> (2 μg/ml)	3																	
Telithromycin <sup>r</sup> (≥4 μg/ml)	80																	
ERY <sup>r</sup> (≥1 μg/ml), CLI <sup>s</sup> (≤0.5 μg/ml), D test <sup>-b</sup>	85																	
ERY <sup>r</sup> (≥1 μg/ml), CLI <sup>s</sup> (≤0.5 μg/ml), D test <sup>+b</sup>	110																	
CLI <sup>ns</sup> (≥1 μg/ml)	85																	
ERY <sup>s</sup> (≤0.5 μg/ml), CLI <sup>s</sup> (≤0.5 μg/ml)	436	1 (0.2)	25 (6.0)	395 (96.6)	14 (99.8)	1 (100.0)												
<i>S. pneumoniae</i>	1,911	1 (0.1)	20 (1.1)	396 (21.8)	1014 (74.9)	224 (86.6)	162 (95.1)	88 (99.7)	6 (100.0)	0.015	0.06							
PEN <sup>s</sup> (≤2 μg/ml)	1,762	1 (0.1)	20 (1.2)	392 (23.4)	994 (79.9)	200 (91.2)	127 (98.4)	25 (99.8)	3 (100.0)	0.015	0.03							
PEN <sup>i</sup> (4 μg/ml)	133	4 (3.0)	18 (16.5)	21 (32.3)	29 (54.1)	59 (98.5)	2 (100.0)			0.06	0.12							
PEN <sup>r</sup> (≥8 μg/ml)	16		2 (12.5)	3 (31.2)	6 (68.8)	4 (93.8)	1 (100.0)			0.06	0.12							
ERY <sup>s</sup> (≤0.25 μg/ml), CLI <sup>s</sup> (≤0.25 μg/ml)	1,173	19 (1.6)	371 (33.2)	765 (98.5)	14 (99.7)	1 (100.0)				0.015	0.015							
ERY <sup>r</sup> (≥0.5 μg/ml), CLI <sup>s</sup> (≤0.25 μg/ml)	344	1 (0.3)	1 (0.6)	11 (3.8)	121 (39.0)	114 (72.1)	88 (97.7)	8 (100.0)		0.03	0.06							
ERY <sup>r</sup> (≥0.5 μg/ml), CLI <sup>r</sup> (≤0.25 μg/ml)	394	14 (3.6)	128 (36.0)	96 (60.4)	71 (78.4)	79 (98.5)	6 (100.0)			0.03	0.12							
Telithromycin <sup>s</sup> (≤0.25 μg/ml)	1,707	1 (0.1)	20 (1.2)	396 (24.4)	1014 (83.8)	209 (96.1)	64 (99.8)	3 (100.0)		0.015	0.03							
Telithromycin <sup>ns</sup> (≥0.5 μg/ml)	204									0.06	0.12							
<i>S. pyogenes</i>	606	46 (7.6)	513 (92.2)	22 (95.9)	10 (97.5)	6 (98.5)	2 (98.8)	7 (100.0)		0.015	0.015							
ERY <sup>s</sup> (≤0.25 μg/ml), CLI <sup>s</sup> (≤0.25 μg/ml)	542	42 (7.7)	483 (96.9)	16 (99.8)	1 (100.0)					0.015	0.015							
ERY <sup>r</sup> (≥0.5 μg/ml), CLI <sup>s</sup> (≤0.25 μg/ml)	33	3 (9.1)	18 (63.6)	4 (75.8)	7 (97.0)	1 (100.0)				0.015	0.06							
ERY <sup>r</sup> (≥0.5 μg/ml), CLI <sup>r</sup> (≥0.5 μg/ml)	31	1 (3.2)	12 (41.9)	2 (48.4)	2 (54.8)	5 (71.0)	2 (77.4)	7 (100.0)		0.06	0.5							
<i>H. influenzae</i>	1002																	
β-Lactamase positive	210																	
β-Lactamase negative	792																	
<i>M. catarrhalis</i>	504	1 (0.2)	6 (1.4)	1 (1.6)	6 (2.8)	58 (14.3)	265 (66.9)	159 (98.4)	8 (100.0)	0.12	0.25							

<sup>a</sup>The MIC was greater than the highest concentration tested, which was 16 μg/ml for *H. influenzae* and 2 μg/ml for all other organisms.

<sup>b</sup>The D test was performed by broth microdilution as described in CLSI document M100-S26 (20).

<sup>c</sup>Abbreviations: MSSA, methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus*; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*; <sup>s</sup>, susceptible; <sup>i</sup>, intermediate; <sup>r</sup>, resistant; ERY, erythromycin; CLI, clindamycin; PEN, penicillin; <sup>ns</sup>, nonsusceptible.

**TABLE 2** Activities by geographic region for nafcithromycin and comparator antimicrobial agents tested against selected clinical isolates

Organism or antimicrobial agent	US				EU				LATAM				APAC			
	n	MIC <sub>50</sub> <sup>a</sup>	MIC <sub>90</sub> <sup>a</sup>	% susceptible/ % resistant	n	MIC <sub>50</sub> <sup>a</sup>	MIC <sub>90</sub> <sup>a</sup>	% susceptible/ % resistant	n	MIC <sub>50</sub> <sup>a</sup>	MIC <sub>90</sub> <sup>a</sup>	% susceptible/ % resistant	n	MIC <sub>50</sub> <sup>a</sup>	MIC <sub>90</sub> <sup>a</sup>	% susceptible/ % resistant
<i>S. aureus</i>	205	0.06	>2	86.8/12.2	203	0.06	0.06	93.1/6.9	154	0.06	>2	81.2/18.2	154	0.06	0.12	91.6/8.4
Nafcithromycin	0.12	>2	86.3/13.7	86.3/13.7	0.06	0.12	>2	92.6/7.4	0.06	>2	81.2/18.8	80.5/18.8	0.06	0.25	91.6/8.4	
Telithromycin	≤0.25	>2	40.5/49.3	42.0/56.1	≤0.25	>2	74.0/26.0	73.9/25.6	≤0.25	>2	51.8/48.2	50.0/48.2	≤0.25	>2	72.3/27.7	
Clindamycin	4	>16	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0	1	>16	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0	1	>16	37.5/62.5	37.5/62.5	1	>16	34.0/57.4	
Erythromycin	1	>2	53.7/46.3	53.7/46.3	0.5	>2	75.4/24.6	75.4/24.6	0.5	>2	63.6/36.4	63.6/36.4	1	>2	69.5/30.5	
Linezolid	1	≤0.5	98.5/1.5	98.5/1.5	1	≤0.5	99.5/0.5	99.5/0.5	1	≤0.5	99.4/0.6	99.4/0.6	1	≤0.5	97.4/2.6	
Oxacillin	1	1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0	1	1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0	1	1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0	1	1	100.0/0.0	
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	1	1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0	1	1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0	1	1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0	1	1	100.0/0.0	
Vancomycin	95	0.06	>2	76.8/23.2	50	0.06	>2	76.0/24.0	56	0.06	>2	51.8/46.4	47	0.06	>2	72.3/27.7
MRSA	0.12	>2	18.9/70.5	20.0/78.9	0.12	>2	34.0/66.0	34.0/66.0	0.12	>2	37.5/62.5	37.5/62.5	0.06	>2	34.0/57.4	
Nafcithromycin	≤0.25	>16	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0	1	>16	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0	1	>16	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0	1	>16	100.0/0.0	
Telithromycin	1	1	96.8/3.2	96.8/3.2	1	1	98.0/2.0	98.0/2.0	1	1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0	1	1	100.0/0.0	
Clindamycin	1	≤0.5	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0	0.5	1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0	1	1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0	1	1	100.0/0.0	
Erythromycin	712	0.015	0.06	99.0/0.0	698	0.015	0.03	99.9/0.0	250	0.015	0.06	100.0/0.0	251	0.015	0.06	100.0/0.0
Linezolid	0.015	0.5	88.5/72.2	82.7/72.7	0.015	0.12	94.8/1.0	94.8/1.0	0.015	0.25	90.8/3.2	90.8/3.2	0.015	0.25	91.2/0.0	
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	≤1	4	81.6/6.3	81.6/0.8	≤1	2	92.6/5.2	92.6/5.2	≤1	8	79.2/12.8	79.2/12.8	≤1	8	79.7/16.3	
Vancomycin	≤0.06	1	93.7/0.8	93.7/0.8	1	1	84.2/1.0	84.2/1.0	0.12	2	69.2/13.6	69.2/13.6	0.12	2	64.1/19.5	
<i>S. pneumoniae</i>	≤0.25	>2	82.2/16.6	83.4/16.6	≤0.25	>2	80.8/18.2	81.8/18.2	≤0.25	>2	78.4/21.6	78.4/21.6	≤0.25	>2	68.5/30.7	
Nafcithromycin	0.25	>16	50.0/49.0	50.0/49.0	0.12	>16	74.1/25.4	74.1/25.4	0.12	>16	62.8/37.2	62.8/37.2	0.12	>16	57.0/42.2	
Telithromycin	≤0.06	2	58.1/13.6	58.1/41.9	≤0.06	2	68.5/11.9	68.5/11.9	0.12	4	49.2/26.8	49.2/26.8	≤0.06	4	51.8/29.1	
Amoxicillin-clavulanate	93.5/0.6	>4	67.8/20.1	75.4/20.1	95.0/0.7	>4	70.6/20.1	76.1/20.1	1	>4	48.8/39.6	48.8/39.6	98.4/0.4	>4	84.5/2.4	
Ceftriaxone	0.03	0.25	100.0/0.0	50.0/25.0	0.06	0.25	100.0/0.0	60.0/40.0	1	0.06	0.0/0.0	0.0/0.0	6	0.06	0.0/0.0	
Clindamycin	>8	8	0.0/100.0	0.0/75.0	>8	8	0.0/80.0	0.0/60.0	100.0/0.0	0.0/0.0	0.0/0.0	0.0/0.0	6	0.25	100.0/0.0	
Erythromycin	8	0.0/100.0	0.0/75.0	0.0/75.0	8	0.0/80.0	0.0/60.0	20.0/60.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/0.0	0.0/0.0	0.0/0.0	4	>8	0.0/83.3	
Penicillin	≤0.25	8	50.0/50.0	50.0/50.0	>2	8	20.0/80.0	20.0/80.0	20.0/60.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	2	16.7/66.7	0.0/66.7	
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	8	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	>16	8	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	>2	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	
<i>E. coli</i>	8	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	8	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	>16	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	4	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	>4	4	0.0/60.0	40.0/60.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	>4	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	
<i>E. coli</i>	127	0.03	0.12	100.0/0.0	66.9/6.3	0.03	0.12	99.3/0.0	79.9/5.2	0.03	0.12	100.0/0.0	79	0.03	0.12	100.0/0.0
Nafcithromycin	0.06	0.5	57.5/33.9	48.8/1.6	≤1	8	79.1/16.4	79.1/16.4	99.3/0.0	0.5	8	46.3/40.7	64.8/9.3	0.06	0.5	77.2/0.0
Telithromycin	1	2	48.8/28.3	71.7/1.6	0.5	2	51.5/24.6	51.5/3.7	51.5/3.7	1	2	68.5/1.9	29.6/1.9	4	8	45.6/46.8
Amoxicillin-clavulanate	>2	>2	0.0/92.9	7.1/92.9	>2	>2	0.0/94.8	5.2/94.8	0.0/94.8	>2	>2	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	2	4	19.0/50.6
Erythromycin	>16	>16	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	>16	>16	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	>16	>16	0.0/100.0	0.0/100.0	>16	>16	0.0/98.7

(Continued on next page)

Downloaded from <http://aac.asm.org/> on April 19, 2021 by guest



penicillin V among *S. pneumoniae* isolates was 16.7% overall, with rates of 29.1% in APAC, 11.9% in the EU, 13.6% in the US, and 26.8% in LATAM (Table 2). Nafithromycin was 4-fold less active against penicillin-intermediate and -resistant isolates than against penicillin-susceptible isolates, with nafithromycin MIC<sub>50/90</sub> values of 0.06/0.12, 0.06/0.12, and 0.015/0.03 µg/ml, respectively (Table 1).

Nafithromycin showed potent activity (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.015/0.015 µg/ml) against *Streptococcus pyogenes*, inhibiting 100.0% of isolates, with an MIC value of ≤0.5 µg/ml (Table 1). Nafithromycin MIC<sub>50/90</sub> values (0.015/0.015 to 0.03 µg/ml) were similar for the 4 geographic regions (Table 2). Erythromycin resistance was only 10.4% overall (data not shown), with rates ranging from 5.1% in APAC to 16.8% in the US (Table 2). Nafithromycin (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.015/0.015 µg/ml) demonstrated up to 2-fold greater activity than that of telithromycin (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.015/0.03 µg/ml) (data not shown).

Against *Haemophilus influenzae*, nafithromycin demonstrated moderate activity (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 4/4 µg/ml) (Table 2). MIC<sub>50/90</sub> results were similar (4/4 µg/ml) for the 4 geographic regions and regardless of β-lactamase production (Table 2). Nafithromycin activity (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 4/4 µg/ml) showed equal or 2-fold less potency than that of telithromycin (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 2/4 µg/ml) against *H. influenzae* (Table 2 and data not shown).

Nafithromycin exhibited good activity (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.12/0.25 µg/ml) against *Moraxella catarrhalis* isolates (Table 1). MIC<sub>50/90</sub> results for nafithromycin were similar (0.12 to 0.25/0.25 µg/ml) for the 4 geographic regions and were not influenced by β-lactamase production (Table 2). Nafithromycin (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.12/0.25 µg/ml) demonstrated a potency comparable to that of telithromycin (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.06 to 0.12/0.12 to 0.25 µg/ml) (Table 2).

## DISCUSSION

Multidrug-resistant *S. pneumoniae* isolates are a current concern in community-acquired pneumonia in adults (11–13). These multidrug-resistant organisms are included in the serious threat list in the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) 2013 report on current antimicrobial threats (14). The potential for the presence of these resistant organisms affects therapy choices (11, 15).

Ketolides are newer macrolides that are active against macrolide-resistant *S. pneumoniae*. The ketolide class derived its name from the ketone functional group at the 3 position, where traditional macrolides have an L-cladinose sugar attached (6–9). The lack of the L-cladinose moiety is believed to reduce the potential for the induction of inducible macrolide resistance. Additionally, ketolides have a bridged 11,12-aryl side chain that allows for an additional interaction with the 23S ribosome in domain II, at A752 (6, 8, 9). The fluoroketolide solithromycin exhibits tight binding to the ribosome, presumably due to the fluorine at the C-2 position of the 14-membered ring, and therefore provides low MICs for *ermB*-expressing *S. pneumoniae* (7). However, in the past, certain ketolides and fluoroketolides have been reported to be associated with an unacceptable safety profile (9, 16). Nafithromycin has unique structural features, with a lactone ketolide nucleus bearing a 2-pyridine-1,3,4-thiadiazole biaryl side chain that is spaced through a four-atom spacer containing a *cis* double bond and a chiral methyl group. The nonflexible spacer possibly aligns the biaryl ring system, resulting in a favorable interaction with dual 23S rRNA targets. Thus, these structural features enabled nafithromycin to demonstrate potent activity against *ermB*-expressing as well as telithromycin-resistant *S. pneumoniae*, without the need to have a fluorine moiety at the C-2 position (5–10). Moreover, it has been reported that compared to solithromycin, nafithromycin retains a higher degree of activity under hyper-*ermB*-induction conditions in *S. pneumoniae*, indicating a better target interaction even for methylated 23S rRNA (17).

Nafithromycin is a novel antimicrobial agent of the lactone ketolide class that is in clinical development for treatment of CABP. In this report, nafithromycin was shown to be as active *in vitro* as the comparator ketolide, telithromycin (88.4% of isolates were susceptible), against a global collection of *S. aureus* isolates cultured during 2014. The activities of nafithromycin and telithromycin were considerably lowered against *S.*

*aureus* strains demonstrating a constitutively expressed macrolide resistance phenotype. Nafithromycin was also very active against *S. pneumoniae*, with 100.0% of isolates inhibited, with MIC values of  $\leq 0.25$   $\mu\text{g/ml}$ . Nafithromycin also showed excellent activity against *S. pyogenes* (all MIC values were  $\leq 0.5$   $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ), moderate activity (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 4/4  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) against *H. influenzae*, and potent activity (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.12/0.25  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) against *M. catarrhalis*.

In a phase 1 intrapulmonary pharmacokinetic study involving an 800-mg once-daily dose administered over 3 days, nafithromycin showed high lung epithelial lining fluid and alveolar macrophage concentrations that lasted at clinically relevant levels ( $1.62 \pm 0.86$  and  $22.4 \pm 10.4$   $\mu\text{g/ml}$  for epithelial lining fluid and alveolar macrophages, respectively) for at least 48 h after the last dose (18). The spectrum of activity of nafithromycin and its chemical characteristics, which allow for the development of oral and intravenous formulations, indicate that further clinical development to treat infections caused by multidrug-resistant Gram-positive organisms is warranted.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Organisms.** A total of 4,739 nonduplicate isolates were selected from a 2014 global surveillance program at medical institutions located in 43 countries within the US, EU, LATAM, and APAC (Table 1). Only clinically significant isolates were included in the study (1 per infection episode). Species identification was performed at the site and confirmed at the central monitoring laboratory, when necessary, by standard biochemical tests and matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization–time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS), using a Bruker Daltonics MALDI Biotyper machine (Billerica, MA, USA) per the manufacturer's instructions. Organisms chosen for this study were a selected group of species generally recognized as respiratory pathogens and were primarily Gram positive.

**Antimicrobial susceptibility testing.** MIC values were determined using CLSI broth microdilution methods as described in CLSI document M07-A10 (2015) (19). Cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth (CA-MHB) was used for inoculation of MIC panels for all nonfastidious organisms. *Haemophilus influenzae* isolates were tested in *Haemophilus* test medium (HTM). In addition, lysed horse blood (3.75%) was used to supplement the CA-MHB for testing of *S. pneumoniae* and *S. pyogenes*. For nafithromycin and telithromycin, MIC results were obtained using validated broth microdilution panels produced by JMI Laboratories (North Liberty, IA). For other antimicrobial agents, dry-form MIC panels were manufactured by Thermo Fisher Scientific (formerly TREK Diagnostics Systems/Sensititre; Cleveland, OH, USA). MIC value validation was performed by concurrent testing of the following quality control (QC) reference strains: *S. pneumoniae* ATCC 49619, *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 29212, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213, and *H. influenzae* ATCC 49247. All nafithromycin and telithromycin MIC values were within the QC ranges recommended by the CLSI. MIC interpretations were based on CLSI and EUCAST breakpoint criteria (20, 21).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the following staff members at JMI Laboratories (North Liberty, IA, USA) for technical support: L. Duncan, M. Huband, M. Janecek, J. Oberholser, J. Schuchert, and J. Streit.

This study was performed by JMI Laboratories and supported by Wockhardt Bio AG, which included funding for services related to preparing the manuscript.

JMI Laboratories was contracted to perform services in 2016 for Achaogen, Actelion, Allegra, Allergan, Ampliphi, API, Astellas, AstraZeneca, Basilea, Bayer, BD, Biomodels, Cardeas, CEM-102 Pharma, Cempra, Cidara, Cormedix, CSA Biotech, Cubist, Debiopharm, Dipexium, Duke, Durata, Entasis, Fortress, Fox Chase Chemical, GSK, Medpace, Melinta, Merck, Micurx, Motif, N8 Medical, Nabriva, Nexcida, Novartis, Paratek, Pfizer, Polyphor, Rempex, Scynexis, Shionogi, Spero Therapeutics, Symbal Therapeutics, Synolgoic, TGV Therapeutics, The Medicines Company, Theravance, Thermo Fisher, Venatorx, and Zavante. There are no speakers' bureaus or stock options to declare.

## REFERENCES

- Farrell DJ, Sader HS, Rhomberg PR, Flamm RK, Jones RN. 2016. *In vitro* activity of lactone ketolide WCK 4873 when tested against contemporary community-acquired bacterial pneumonia pathogens from a global surveillance program, abstr Sunday-476. ASM Microbe, Boston, MA.
- Farrell DJ, Sader HS, Rhomberg PR, Flamm RK, Jones RN. 2016. *In vitro* activity of WCK 4873 (nafithromycin) against resistant subsets of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* from a global surveillance program (2014), abstr Saturday-455. ASM Microbe, Boston, MA.
- Chugh R, Gupta M, Iwanowski P, Bhatia A. 2016. Nafithromycin phase 1 multiple ascending dose study in healthy subjects, abstr Monday-513. ASM Microbe, Boston, MA.
- Bhatia A, Chugh R, Gupta M, Iwanowski P. 2016. Nafithromycin single ascending dose (SAD) and food effect (FE) study in healthy subjects, abstr Monday-514. ASM Microbe, Boston, MA.
- Zhanel GG, Walters M, Noredin A, Vercaigne LM, Wierzbowski A, Embil JM, Gin AS, Douthwaite S, Hoban DJ. 2002. The ketolides: a critical

- review. *Drugs* 62:1771–1804. <https://doi.org/10.2165/00003495-200262120-00006>.
6. Krokidis MG, Marquez V, Wilson DN, Kalpaxis DL, Dinos GP. 2014. Insights into the mode of action of novel fluoroketolides, potent inhibitors of bacterial protein synthesis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 58:472–480. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01994-13>.
  7. Rodgers W, Frazier AD, Champney WS. 2013. Solithromycin inhibition of protein synthesis and ribosome biogenesis in *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, and *Haemophilus influenzae*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 57:1632–1637. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02316-12>.
  8. Douthwaite S. 2001. Structure-activity relationships of ketolides vs. macrolides. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 7(Suppl 3):S11–S17.
  9. Fernandes P, Martens E, Bertrand D, Pereira D. 2016. The solithromycin journey—it is all in the chemistry. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 24:P6420–P6428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2016.08.035>.
  10. Sader HS, Farrell DJ, Flamm RK, Jones RN. 2016. Antimicrobial activity of ceftaroline tested against *Staphylococcus aureus* from surgical skin and skin structure infections in US medical centers. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 17:443–447. <https://doi.org/10.1089/sur.2015.209>.
  11. Mandell LA, Wunderink RG, Anzueto A, Bartlett JG, Campbell GD, Dean NC, Dowell SF, File TM, Jr, Musher DM, Niederman MS, Torres A, Whitney CG. 2007. Infectious Diseases Society of America/American Thoracic Society consensus guidelines on the management of community-acquired pneumonia in adults. *Clin Infect Dis* 44(Suppl 2):S27–S72. <https://doi.org/10.1086/511159>.
  12. Jones RN, Sader HS, Mendes RE, Flamm RK. 2013. Update on antimicrobial susceptibility trends among *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in the United States: report of ceftaroline activity from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (1998–2011). *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 75:107–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2012.08.024>.
  13. Farrell DJ, Mendes RE, Rhomberg PR, Jones RN. 2014. Revised reference broth microdilution method for testing telavancin: effect on MIC results and correlation with other testing methodologies. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 58:5547–5551. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.03172-14>.
  14. CDC. 2013. Antibiotic resistance threats in the United States. CDC, Atlanta, GA.
  15. File TM, Jr. 2006. Clinical implications and treatment of multiresistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae* pneumonia. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 12(Suppl 3):S31–S41.
  16. Brinker AD, Wassel RT, Lyndly J, Serrano J, Avigan M, Lee WM, Seeff LB. 2009. Telithromycin-associated hepatotoxicity: clinical spectrum and causality assessment of 42 cases. *Hepatology* 49:250–257. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.22620>.
  17. Khande H, Satav J, Kulkarni A, Bhagwat S, Patel M. 2017. WCK 4873 (nafithromycin): impact of hyper *ermB* induction in *S. pneumoniae* and *S. aureus* on the activity of ketolides, abstr P1350. ECCMID, Vienna, Austria.
  18. Chugh R, Bhatia A, Gupta M, Sharma N, Gotfried M, Rodvold K. 2016. Nafithromycin (WCK 4873) concentrations in plasma, epithelial lining fluid, and alveolar macrophages of healthy subjects, abstr Monday-506. ASM Microbe, Boston, MA.
  19. CLSI. 2015. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard—10th ed. M07-A10. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
  20. CLSI. 2016. M100-S26. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: 26th informational supplement. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
  21. EUCAST. 2016. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 6.0, January 2016. European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. [http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST\\_files/Breakpoint\\_tables/v\\_6.0\\_Breakpoint\\_table.xls](http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/Breakpoint_tables/v_6.0_Breakpoint_table.xls).